Appendix 4 Rejected sites

Site Ref.	Parish	Site Name	Reason
		Land rear of	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements
CBR003	Cambridge	Caterham House	have not been assessed.
0.41/002	Bisley With	Birds Frith	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements
OAK002	Lypiatt	Farm	have not been assessed.
		Land north	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements
PAI011	Painswick	of Groves Close	have not been assessed
		Northwest of	Planning permission has been granted and
M/I II O O O	\A/la:twa:wataw	Whitminster	therefore the site has been excluded to avoid
WHI009	Whitminster	Garden	double counting.
		Centre	
BISO03	Bisley With	Northwest of	The land is in active community use and is not
	Lypiatt	the	available for housing or employment development
		allotments	
		on Bisley	
		Road	
CAM022	Cam	Street Farm	The site is not suitable for development because of
			the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into
			the green buffer, including well used public
			footpaths, between the AONB and the main
			settlement of Cam. There are therefore potential
			impacts preventing sustainable development in
			this location.
CAM023	Cam	Land	The site is not suitable for development because of
		adjacent to	the likely high landscape impact; open to view and
		Orchard	helping to separate Cam from the M5, including
		Leaze	well used public footpaths. Access to the site, from
			Orchard Leaze, is off a single width access lane.
			There are therefore physical impacts and potential
			constraints preventing sustainable development in
0444004			this location.
CAM024	Cam	Land at	The site is not suitable for development because of
		Bowlers Lea	the likely high landscape impact. Development on
			the rising slopes would impinge on their function as backcloth to the settlement as well as
			potentially being highly visible to the wider
			countryside and the M5 to the north. There are
			therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable
			development in this location.
CHA002	Chalford	Land at the	The site is not suitable for housing development
CHAUUZ	Chanora	west side of	due to the impact on landscape, the role of the site
		Middle Hill	as a green buffer between settlements, and the
			lack of a viable access point. The site has potential
			for future community uses which maintain the
			sites role in the landscape.
EAS011	Eastington	Land at	The site is not suitable for development because of
		Nupend	the likely detrimental impact upon the setting of
		(Parcel A)	Nupend. The site currently functions as a valuable
			amenity space crossed by public rights of way.

			When development to the south of Nupend at West of Stonehouse is completed, the site will function as an important buffer helping to retain
			the rural character of the settlement.
EAS013	Eastington	Land at Westend Farm	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the character and landscape setting of the listed buildings and the landscape of Westend and the erosion of the countryside buffer between Stonehouse and the M5 and vale to the west.
EAS014	Eastington	Land north of Westend Farm	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the setting of Westend and the erosion of the countryside buffer between Stonehouse and the M5 and vale to the west.
EAS015	Eastington	Land at Claypits	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the local landscape. Development would materially extend built development into the countryside. There will be impacts upon the adjacent listed Claypits farmhouse and historic linear and intermittent pattern of Eastington's hamlet based pattern. Despite proximity to the A38 and local bus services, the site is about 1km from facilities and services at the nearest settlement of Alkerton (Eastington).
HOR002	Horsley	Fields south of Wormwood Hill	The land is not suitable for development because of the potential constraints and high landscape sensitivity of the site. The site is very steep with poor access and development would extend the settlement beyond the natural boundary of the steep valley sides and would be out of scale with the small valley character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
KST007	Stonehouse	Land parcel north west of Stanley Mills	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely harm to local heritage (conservation areas and listed buildings), harm or loss to a key wildlife site and because of its location within flood risk zones 2 and 3. The site also lacks an obvious vehicular access. There are therefore constraints/ potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
PAI012	Painswick	Land to the east of Stamages Lane	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely impacts on heritage assets where the area provides a rare space within the settlement fabric providing a contrast to the generally dense built form. Housing could block the open views which would be significantly detrimental to the character of the approach to the core of the settlement and conservation area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing further

			sustainable development in this location.
STO020	Standish	Stroud Green	The site is not suitable for development because of the distance from local facilities at Stonehouse, the potential impact on local heritage and because of the likely high impact on the local landscape. The site contains a rising ridge where development is likely to be highly visible within the local area and incongruous as a finger of development within an otherwise rural landscape.
STR053	Randwick	Land at Rose Cottage	The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, and the role it plays in the setting of local heritage assets. Development within this land parcel would be widely visible and would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape of the AONB, as well as having a negative impact on the setting of local heritage assets. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR054	Cainscross	Land off Meadow Lane	The land is not suitable for development due to the significant heritage constraints presented by its location within the Ebley Mills Conservation Area and the potential impact on the setting of surrounding heritage assets. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR057	Rodborough	Land at Woodhouse Farm	The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site and the role it plays in the heritage setting of local heritage asset and conservation areas. The site sits within the Cotswold AONB and the majority of the site constitutes a key wildlife site and development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. It also has significant physical constraints relating to topography. The impact on the character and setting of multiple heritage assets, particularly Stroud's conservation areas, and on the setting and significance of listed buildings, including Rodborough Fort, would be likely to preclude development on the majority of this site (the upper slopes). There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR055	Stroud	Land south of Bisley Road	The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site. Development within this land parcel would be widely visible and would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape. It would be detrimental to the character of the local landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
UPT011	Upton St Leonards	Land at Birchall Lane	This area is generally not suitable for built development because of the likely adverse

			landscape impact. Housing within the area would extend the settlement along the M5, adversely affecting the intimate character of the valley and tree cover. It would erode the separate character of Upton St Leonards settlement by coalescing sporadic development at Grove and St Leonards Court with a main access into adjoining Gloucester City suburbs.
UPT012	Upton St Leonards	Field south of Fieldway	The site is not suitable for development as this would detrimentally alter the rural character. There is a likely high landscape impact from employment development and housing development would be in a relatively remote location detached from the village core and affecting the open rural character of the landscape along a river corridor important to the footslopes of the AONB. The area acts as a visual and physical separation between the main settlement and the scattered rural dwellings further to the south east. The Lane is also narrow and rural in character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.