

For office use

[already on database? Y / N]

Consultee ref:

Date rec:

Comment ref:

Date input:



STROUD DISTRICT COUNCIL
www.stroud.gov.uk

Your details

Thank you for taking part. Please fill out this page with your personal information. Your details won't be used for any purpose other than this consultation, and to help us keep you up to date with progress if you want us to. Please note we will not process anonymous responses.

Would you like to be kept informed and notified about future stages of consultation?

Yes please

[Please tick one of the boxes below. You can contact us and get yourself added or removed from our contact list at any point if you change your mind]

No thank you

Your name

Title name

Company name or organisation (if relevant):

Your address [this information will not be made public]

Postcode

Please help us to save paper and save time. We would like to be able to keep in touch via email wherever possible.

Your email address

Your phone number

About you [this information will not be made public]

Are you male
Or female

Aged under 18
18 – 29
30 – 49
50 – 65
Over 65

Ethnic group

Do you consider yourself to be...

White British
White other
Black or Black British
Asian or Asian British
Mixed ethnicity
Romany Gypsy
Other

Disability

I consider myself to be disabled

Yes

No

Your district Your future

Core Strategy Consultation response form:
Alternative Strategies for shaping the future
of Stroud District

Please complete and return this form by Monday 22nd March 2010

Core Strategy, Stroud District Council, Ebley Mill,
Westward Road, Stroud, Glos. GL5 4UB

email core@stroud.gov.uk

phone 01453 754092 for additional copies of this form
01453 754143 for other core strategy queries or
large print, foreign language or Braille versions of
the consultation documents



Find more information or
answer these questions
online at
www.stroud.gov.uk/core

Strategy Option A

QA. PLEASE ANSWER THIS QUESTION:

What do you think of Strategy Option A?

Please consider whether you would support this option in very broad terms – you will have the opportunity to tell us which is your preferred strategy later and to comment about the pros and cons of specific locations.

Overall, I would broadly support this option

Overall, I would oppose this option

I would support this option but with reservations

I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

**THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS ARE OPTIONAL:
YOU CAN GIVE US SOME MORE DETAIL IF YOU LIKE OR YOU CAN MOVE ON
TO THE NEXT STRATEGY...**

Locations:

QA1. Do you **object** to a particular location? Please tell us which one(s) and why (you can use the reverse of this sheet to add more detail or attach a separate sheet if you wish)

If you responded to Question QA (top of this page) with either "OPPOSE" or "SUPPORT WITH RESERVATIONS", please answer the following question. Otherwise, please move on to QB2.

QA1(a). If the site(s) you object to were not included, would you be more supportive of this overall strategy?

No, I would still feel the same about it overall

Yes, I would broadly support this option

Yes, I would support this option more but I would still have some other reservations

Locations:

QA2. Do you **support** a particular location? Please tell us which one(s) and why (you can use the reverse of this sheet to add more detail or attach a separate sheet if you wish)

Employment:

QA3. Do you think that this Strategy would be a good thing or a bad thing for employment provision in the District?

I think the impact would be broadly positive

I think the impact would be broadly negative

Please tell us why:

Climate Change:

QA4. Do you think that this Strategy would be a good thing or a bad thing for the District's ability to adapt to climate change and minimise our contribution to it?

I think the impact would be broadly positive

I think the impact would be broadly negative

Please tell us why:

Strategy Option B

QB. PLEASE ANSWER THIS QUESTION:

What do you think of Strategy Option B?

Please consider whether you would support this option in very broad terms – you will have the opportunity to tell us which is your preferred strategy later and to comment about the pros and cons of specific locations.

Overall, I would broadly support this option

Overall, I would oppose this option

I would support this option but with reservations

I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

**THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS ARE OPTIONAL:
YOU CAN GIVE US SOME MORE DETAIL IF YOU LIKE OR YOU CAN MOVE ON
TO THE NEXT STRATEGY...**

Locations:

QB1. Do you **object** to a particular location? Please tell us which one(s) and why (you can use the reverse of this sheet to add more detail or attach a separate sheet if you wish)

If you responded to Question QB (top of this page) with either "OPPOSE" or "SUPPORT WITH RESERVATIONS", please answer the following question. Otherwise, please move on to QB2.

QB1(a). If the site(s) you object to were not included, would you be more supportive of this overall strategy?

No, I would still feel the same about it overall

Yes, I would broadly support this option

Yes, I would support this option more but I would still have some other reservations

Locations:

QB2. Do you **support** a particular location? Please tell us which one(s) and why (you can use the reverse of this sheet to add more detail or attach a separate sheet if you wish)

Employment:

QB3. Do you think that this Strategy would be a good thing or a bad thing for employment provision in the District?

I think the impact would be broadly positive

I think the impact would be broadly negative

Please tell us why:

Climate Change:

QB4. Do you think that this Strategy would be a good thing or a bad thing for the District's ability to adapt to climate change and minimise our contribution to it?

I think the impact would be broadly positive

I think the impact would be broadly negative

Please tell us why:

Strategy Option C

QC. PLEASE ANSWER THIS QUESTION:

What do you think of Strategy Option C?

Please consider whether you would support this option in very broad terms – you will have the opportunity to tell us which is your preferred strategy later and to comment about the pros and cons of specific locations.

Overall, I would broadly support this option

Overall, I would oppose this option

I would support this option but with reservations

I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

**THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS ARE OPTIONAL:
YOU CAN GIVE US SOME MORE DETAIL IF YOU LIKE OR YOU CAN MOVE ON
TO THE NEXT STRATEGY...**

Locations:

QC1. Do you **object** to a particular location? Please tell us which one(s) and why (you can use the reverse of this sheet to add more detail or attach a separate sheet if you wish)

If you responded to Question QC (top of this page) with either "OPPOSE" or "SUPPORT WITH RESERVATIONS", please answer the following question. Otherwise, please move on to QC2.

QC1(a). If the site(s) you object to were not included, would you be more supportive of this overall strategy?

No, I would still feel the same about it overall

Yes, I would broadly support this option

Yes, I would support this option more but I would still have some other reservations

Locations:

QC2. Do you **support** a particular location? Please tell us which one(s) and why (you can use the reverse of this sheet to add more detail or attach a separate sheet if you wish)

Employment:

QC3. Do you think that this Strategy would be a good thing or a bad thing for employment provision in the District?

I think the impact would be broadly positive

I think the impact would be broadly negative

Please tell us why:

Climate Change:

QC4. Do you think that this Strategy would be a good thing or a bad thing for the District's ability to adapt to climate change and minimise our contribution to it?

I think the impact would be broadly positive

I think the impact would be broadly negative

Please tell us why:

Strategy Option D

QD. PLEASE ANSWER THIS QUESTION:

What do you think of Strategy Option D?

Please consider whether you would support this option in very broad terms – you will have the opportunity to tell us which is your preferred strategy later and to comment about the pros and cons of specific locations.

Overall, I would broadly support this option

Overall, I would oppose this option

I would support this option but with reservations

I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

**THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS ARE OPTIONAL:
YOU CAN GIVE US SOME MORE DETAIL IF YOU LIKE OR YOU CAN MOVE ON
TO THE NEXT STRATEGY...**

Locations:

QA1. Do you **object** to a particular location? Please tell us which one(s) and why (you can use the reverse of this sheet to add more detail or attach a separate sheet if you wish)

If you responded to Question QD (top of this page) with either "OPPOSE" or "SUPPORT WITH RESERVATIONS", please answer the following question. Otherwise, please move on to QD2.

QD1(a). If the site(s) you object to were not included, would you be more supportive of this overall strategy?

No, I would still feel the same about it overall

Yes, I would broadly support this option

Yes, I would support this option more but I would still have some other reservations

Locations:

QD2. Do you **support** a particular location? Please tell us which one(s) and why (you can use the reverse of this sheet to add more detail or attach a separate sheet if you wish)

Employment:

QD3. Do you think that this Strategy would be a good thing or a bad thing for employment provision in the District?

I think the impact would be broadly positive

I think the impact would be broadly negative

Please tell us why:

Climate Change:

QD4. Do you think that this Strategy would be a good thing or a bad thing for the District's ability to adapt to climate change and minimise our contribution to it?

I think the impact would be broadly positive

I think the impact would be broadly negative

Please tell us why:

Strategy Option E

QE. PLEASE ANSWER THIS QUESTION:

What do you think of Strategy Option E?

Please consider whether you would support this option in very broad terms – you will have the opportunity to tell us which is your preferred strategy later and to comment about the pros and cons of specific locations.

Overall, I would broadly support this option

Overall, I would oppose this option

I would support this option but with reservations

I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

**THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS ARE OPTIONAL:
YOU CAN GIVE US SOME MORE DETAIL IF YOU LIKE OR YOU CAN MOVE ON
TO THE NEXT STRATEGY...**

Locations:

QE1. Do you **object** to a particular location? Please tell us which one(s) and why (you can use the reverse of this sheet to add more detail or attach a separate sheet if you wish)

If you responded to Question QE (top of this page) with either "OPPOSE" or "SUPPORT WITH RESERVATIONS", please answer the following question. Otherwise, please move on to QE2.

QE1(a). If the site(s) you object to were not included, would you be more supportive of this overall strategy?

No, I would still feel the same about it overall

Yes, I would broadly support this option

Yes, I would support this option more but I would still have some other reservations

Locations:

QE2. Do you **support** a particular location? Please tell us which one(s) and why (you can use the reverse of this sheet to add more detail or attach a separate sheet if you wish)

Employment:

QE3. Do you think that this Strategy would be a good thing or a bad thing for employment provision in the District?

I think the impact would be broadly positive

I think the impact would be broadly negative

Please tell us why:

Climate Change:

QE4. Do you think that this Strategy would be a good thing or a bad thing for the District's ability to adapt to climate change and minimise our contribution to it?

I think the impact would be broadly positive

I think the impact would be broadly negative

Please tell us why:

Strategy Option F

QF. PLEASE ANSWER THIS QUESTION:

What do you think of Strategy Option F?

Please consider whether you would support this option in very broad terms – you will have the opportunity to tell us which is your preferred strategy later and to comment about the pros and cons of specific locations.

Overall, I would broadly support this option

Overall, I would oppose this option

I would support this option but with reservations

I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

**THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS ARE OPTIONAL:
YOU CAN GIVE US SOME MORE DETAIL IF YOU LIKE OR YOU CAN MOVE ON
TO THE NEXT STRATEGY...**

Locations:

QF1. Do you **object** to a particular location? Please tell us which one(s) and why (you can use the reverse of this sheet to add more detail or attach a separate sheet if you wish)

If you responded to Question QF (top of this page) with either "OPPOSE" or "SUPPORT WITH RESERVATIONS", please answer the following question. Otherwise, please move on to QF2.

QF1(a). If the site(s) you object to were not included, would you be more supportive of this overall strategy?

No, I would still feel the same about it overall

Yes, I would broadly support this option

Yes, I would support this option more but I would still have some other reservations

Locations:

QF2. Do you **support** a particular location? Please tell us which one(s) and why (you can use the reverse of this sheet to add more detail or attach a separate sheet if you wish)

Employment:

QF3. Do you think that this Strategy would be a good thing or a bad thing for employment provision in the District?

I think the impact would be broadly positive

I think the impact would be broadly negative

Please tell us why:

Climate Change:

QF4. Do you think that this Strategy would be a good thing or a bad thing for the District's ability to adapt to climate change and minimise our contribution to it?

I think the impact would be broadly positive

I think the impact would be broadly negative

Please tell us why:

Strategy Option G

QG. PLEASE ANSWER THIS QUESTION:

What do you think of Strategy Option G?

Please consider whether you would support this option in very broad terms – you will have the opportunity to tell us which is your preferred strategy later and to comment about the pros and cons of specific locations.

Overall, I would broadly support this option

Overall, I would oppose this option

I would support this option but with reservations

I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

**THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS ARE OPTIONAL:
YOU CAN GIVE US SOME MORE DETAIL IF YOU LIKE OR YOU CAN MOVE ON
TO THE NEXT SECTION...**

Locations:

QG1. Do you **object** to a particular location? Please tell us which one(s) and why (you can use the reverse of this sheet to add more detail or attach a separate sheet if you wish)

If you responded to Question QG (top of this page) with either "OPPOSE" or "SUPPORT WITH RESERVATIONS", please answer the following question. Otherwise, please move on to QG2.

QG1(a). If the site(s) you object to were not included, would you be more supportive of this overall strategy?

No, I would still feel the same about it overall

Yes, I would broadly support this option

Yes, I would support this option more but I would still have some other reservations

Locations:

QG2. Do you **support** to a particular location? Please tell us which one(s) and why (you can use the reverse of this sheet to add more detail or attach a separate sheet if you wish)

Employment:

QG3. Do you think that this Strategy would be a good thing or a bad thing for employment provision in the District?

I think the impact would be broadly positive

I think the impact would be broadly negative

Please tell us why:

Climate Change:

QG4. Do you think that this Strategy would be a good thing or a bad thing for the District's ability to adapt to climate change and minimise our contribution to it?

I think the impact would be broadly positive

I think the impact would be broadly negative

Please tell us why:

A Vision for Stroud District to 2026

Q1. THIS IS AN OPTIONAL QUESTION:

What do you think of the vision set out in Chapter 4?

Please consider whether you would support this vision by selecting one of these responses: (if you want to comment on possible amendments, please attach a separate sheet)

Overall, I would broadly support this vision

Overall, I would oppose this vision

I would support this vision but with reservations

I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

The seven Strategy Options

Q2. PLEASE ANSWER THIS QUESTION:

Which of the seven options is your preferred strategy?

Having looked at all the strategy options, please tell us whether you have a favourite. Please select your top three options: mark your favourite with a '1', your second favourite with a '2' and your third favourite with a '3'.

Strategy A: Concentrated Growth Point Strategy

Strategy B: Concentrated Development Strategy

Strategy C: Cluster Strategy

Strategy D: Stroud Valleys Strategy

Strategy E: Town & Country Combination Strategy

Strategy F: Rural Communities Strategy

Strategy G: Dispersal Strategy

Employment Strategy

Q3. THIS IS AN OPTIONAL QUESTION:

Given the need to address climate change and reduce out-commuting, what sort of approach should the Council take to identify and secure employment land throughout the district?

Please consider which of the following you think would be preferable. Please select your top three options: mark your favourite with a '1', your second favourite with a '2' and your third favourite with a '3' :

Rely on employment allocations already identified in the Local Plan?

Rely on protected Key Employment sites already identified in the Local Plan?

Make better use of existing Local Plan Key Employment sites?

Provide new employment locations integral with any proposed strategic housing sites?

Provide new employment locations adjacent to any proposed strategic housing site?

Seek new employment allocations independent of housing sites?

Seek new employment allocations at selected settlements

Please name them here:

Q4. CALL FOR EMPLOYMENT SITES:

Please tick here if you have attached site details with this form

In order to deliver our employment strategy in conjunction with new housing across the district, we need to be aware of as many sites as possible that are potentially suitable for employment uses. If you own or represent a site that you consider **suitable, available** and **deliverable**, please contact us with this information:

1. your contact details; 2. a map showing site location and extent; 3. the site area (in hectares); 4. site ownership; 5. current land use; 6. indicative floorspace and proposed use(s); 7. if possible, an indication which of the alternative strategies you consider the site would best fit in with.

Proposed policies

Q5. THIS IS AN OPTIONAL QUESTION:

Have we missed anything from our policy proposals?

Are the policy topics covered in draft policies CP1-CP15 the right ones? Please tell us if you think there is an important strategic matter that is not covered by any of them. (if there is not sufficient space here to comment on possible amendments, please attach a separate sheet)

Proposed policies

QUESTION CP1. RESPONSE TO CLIMATE CHANGE, NATURAL RESOURCE USE AND WIDER ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it

I would oppose it

I would support it
but with
reservations

I don't feel strongly either
way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

QUESTION CP2a. VIBRANT AND INCLUSIVE COMMUNITIES

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it

I would oppose it

I would support it
but with
reservations

I don't feel strongly either
way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

QUESTION CP2b. VIBRANT AND INCLUSIVE COMMUNITIES

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it

I would oppose it

I would support it
but with
reservations

I don't feel strongly either
way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

Proposed policies

QUESTION CP3.

DEVELOPING THE RIGHT HOMES IN THE RIGHT PLACES

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it

I would oppose it

I would support it but with reservations

I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

QUESTION CP4.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it

I would oppose it

I would support it but with reservations

I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

QUESTION CP5.

LOCATION OF NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENT

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it

I would oppose it

I would support it but with reservations

I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

Proposed policies

QUESTION CP6.

GYPSY, TRAVELLING SHOWPEOPLE AND TRAVELLER PITCH REQUIREMENTS

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it

I would oppose it

I would support it but with reservations

I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

QUESTION CP7.

NEW EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it

I would oppose it

I would support it but with reservations

I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

QUESTION CP8.

HIGH QUALITY DEVELOPMENT

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it

I would oppose it

I would support it but with reservations

I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

Proposed policies

QUESTION CP9. DEVELOPERS' CONTRIBUTIONS AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it I would oppose it

I would support it but with reservations I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

QUESTION CP10a. MAINTAINING A QUALITY LIVING AND WORKING COUNTRYSIDE

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it I would oppose it

I would support it but with reservations I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

QUESTION CP10b. MAINTAINING THE QUALITY OF RURAL LIFE

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it I would oppose it

I would support it but with reservations I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

Proposed policies

QUESTION CP11. MAINTAINING THE QUALITY OF THE LANDSCAPE AND HISTORIC TOWNSCAPES

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it I would oppose it

I would support it but with reservations I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

QUESTION CP12. TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT AND SUSTAINABLE TRAVEL MEASURES

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it I would oppose it

I would support it but with reservations I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

QUESTION CP13. ENCOURAGING HEALTHY LIFESTYLES THROUGH CYCLING AND WALKING

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it I would oppose it

I would support it but with reservations I don't feel strongly either way / don't know

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

Proposed policies

QUESTION CP14. BIODIVERSITY AND GEOLOGICAL CONSERVATION

What do you think of this policy proposal?

I would support it	<input type="checkbox"/>	I would oppose it	<input type="checkbox"/>
I would support it but with reservations	<input type="checkbox"/>	I don't feel strongly either way / don't know	<input type="checkbox"/>

If you would like to comment on how it could be improved, please attach a separate sheet.

Parish Clusters

Q6. PLEASE ANSWER THIS QUESTION:

Do you live or work in Stroud District? Please tell us which of the parish clusters you are principally based in:

Berkeley cluster	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stroud Valleys	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cam/Dursley cluster	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stonehouse cluster	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cotswold	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wotton cluster	<input type="checkbox"/>
Gloucester Fringe	<input type="checkbox"/>	I am based outside the District	<input type="checkbox"/>
Severn Voice	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Please have a look at the map (right), which shows how the parishes have been grouped for consultation purposes. We are hoping that this clustering of parishes will help us to build up a picture of the sorts of issues and options that most concern or appeal to communities in different parts of the district. It would be very helpful if you would tick whichever location you most strongly identify yourself with (whether that is where you live or where you work).

Thank you for taking part...

Please make sure you fill in your personal details before you return your form: we will not be processing anonymous responses.

All consultation responses will be published on our online consultation portal once processed. ONLY YOUR NAME, YOUR COMPANY NAME/ORGANISATION (IF RELEVANT) AND YOUR PARISH CLUSTER WILL BE MADE PUBLIC alongside your answers.

If you choose to attach any separate sheets with written comments, please ensure that each comment is clearly numbered/referenced to the question you are answering.

