

From: _WEB_Planning
Sent: 16 December 2020 10:45
To: _WEB_Local Plan
Subject: FW: Consultation on the Local Plan Review Additional Housing Options.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: Consultation response

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 December 2020 10:44
To: _WEB_Planning <Planning@stroud.gov.uk>
Subject: Consultation on the Local Plan Review Additional Housing Options.

Submission by Painswick Valleys Conservation Society

Dear Sirs

I went onto your website to submit our comments only to find the consultation had closed; this is surprising as it clearly states that the consultation runs until 16 December ie today.

I therefore attach our comments in letter form instead of answering your on-line questionnaire response.

Local plan review comments December 2020

Additional housing options

Spacial options:

Q1

1a. Option A - *yes*

Intensifying on existing site - do not support cramming of sites but favour expansion of tier 1 hubs as being more sustainable for transport and employment.

1b. Option B - *yes*

Towns and villages could provide small 'affordable' sites. However, there is a considerable disparity in the size of the various tier 2 settlements. Painswick was elevated to tier 2 in a previous round of consultation, being the only larger settlement north of the Frome valley, but not comparable to tier 2 settlements such as Nailsworth and Stonehouse, which qualify as small towns with a range of facilities. Where the level of provision of facilities is limited, any additional housing would require proper assessment of the ability to meet demand for school places and health care and the necessary finance be provided through CIL payments.

1c. Option C - *no*

This option would meet demand to provide for wider commuting in the region but not necessarily meet local demand.

1d. Option D - *no*

Wider dispersal would add to the traffic in local lanes as the additional housing would not be 'married' with employment opportunities or be in close proximity of public facilities.

1e. *yes (see Q2)*

Q2

Choose a combination of A and B to provide the most sustainable option for transport and centralised community facilities.

Q3 *no*

This will establish hope value for these sites and may prevent their proper use for other activities; it would be difficult to resist any speculative planning application if the sites are designated for reserve development

Q4

4b *yes* but only if there is capacity in the provision of health/educational services

4c *no*

4d *no*

Q5

N/A

Q6

Failure or delay in the provision of sites should only allow reserve sites to come forward where there is an urgent need for that housing to accommodate key workers or specific employment demand.

Thank you for your attention.

Yours faithfully

██████████