

## **Appendix 5**

Detailed SA Matrices for all of the Site Options considered to date

DRAFT

## Summary of Sustainability Appraisal findings for all of the Reasonable Site Options considered to date

- 1.46 A summary of the SA findings for the reasonable alternative site options that have been considered for the Local Plan Review to date is presented below in **Table A5.1** to **Table A5.5**. The SA findings for the sites considered at the earlier stages of the Local Plan making process are presented by the type of site uses being considered: residential, employment, mixed use, community/retail and open space. A small number of sites have been appraised as options for more than one type of use, in line with the audit trail of site options provided by Stroud District Council (SDC) – see **Appendix 9**.
- 1.47 This work was originally presented in an internal SA note to Stroud District Council officers in early August 2018, so that the findings could be taken into account to inform the selection of potential sites for inclusion in the Local Plan Review Emerging Strategy Paper. However, some additional site options have been appraised following their promotion as part of the 2019 Site Submissions which was undertaken as part of the SALA. The appraisal of these sites at this stage also included some which were submitted previously but were then considered for an alternative use. These sites are presented in a separate summary table (**Table A5.6**) so that they can be easily identified from the other site options considered.
- 1.48 The sites in **Table A5.7** are new site options considered following the Draft Plan (November 2019) including those included as part of the Additional Housing Option consultation in October 2020.
- 1.49 The detailed matrices and justifications for the sustainability effects identified for each site option is presented below the summary tables in this appendix.

**Table A5.1: Summary of SA scores for residential site options**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
BER002	+	++	0	0	+	+	-?	?	0	+	0	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
BER003	+	++	0	0	+	+	-?	?	0	+	0	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
BER004	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+?
BER005	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+?
BER006	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+?
BER011	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+?
BER014	+	-	0	0	+	--	-?	-?	?	-	0	0	+	0	+?	--	-?
BIS001	+	+/-	0	0	+	0	0?	--?	?	-	--?	0	+	0	+?	--	+?
BIS002	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
BRI004	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI005	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI007	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI008	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	+/--?	0	--?	--	+	0	+?	+	+?
BRI010	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI011	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	--	0	--?	--	+	0	+?	--	+?
BRI012	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	+/--?	0	--?	--	+	0	+?	--	+?
BRI013	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	?	0	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	--	-?
BRI014	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	--	-?
BRI015	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	0	--?	--	-	0	0	--	-?
BRI016	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	--?	--	-	0	0	--	-?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
BRI017	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	+	--?	--	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI019	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI020	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI022	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	+/--?	0	--?	--	+	0	+?	+	+?
BRO001	+	-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
CAM001	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
CAM003	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM004	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
CAM005	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM006	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM007	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	-?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
CAM008	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	--	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
CAM009	+	+/-	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	?	+	--?	0	+	0	+?	+/--	+?
CAM010	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM011	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM013	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	-	--?	-	--	0	0	++	-?
CAM015	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--	0	0	-	-?
CAM016	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--	0	0	+	-?
CAM021	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	+	--?	--	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM022	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
CAM023	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
CAM024	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
CAM025	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--	0	0	+	-?
CAM026	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--	0	0	++	-?
CAM A	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+
CAM E	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	--	--?	--	--?	0	0	++	+
CBR002	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--	0	0	--	+
CBR003	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	?	-	--?	-	--	0	0	--	+
CHA001	+	+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	++?
COA001	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	-?	--	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
COA002	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
COA A	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	-?	--	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
CRA001	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	--?	--?	?	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
DUR001	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	-?	--	++	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
DUR002	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	-?	0	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
DUR005	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	--	--?	0	0	++	++?
DUR006	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	--	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	++?
DUR007	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
DUR008	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/-	+	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
DUR009	+	++	0	0	0	++	0?	--?	?	+	--?	--	-	0	0	+/--	+
DUR010	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
DUR011	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
DUR012	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
DUR013	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
DUR014	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	-?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
DUR017	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	-	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	++	+?
DUR020	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	--?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
DUR021	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	++	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
DUR022	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
DUR A	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
EAS001	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	--	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
EAS003	+	+/--?	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	-	--?	--	--?	0	0	-	-?
EAS004	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	+?
EAS005	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	+?
EAS007	++	++/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	--	-	--?	--	--	0	0	+	+?
EAS008	+	++/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	0	-	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+?
EAS009	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	--	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
EAS011	+	-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	?	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
EAS012	+	-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
EAS013	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
EAS014	++	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	--	--?	-	--	0	0	-	-?
EAS015	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
EAS A	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
EAS B1	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
FRA001	+	++	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	0	-	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
FRA004	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	-	0	-	--	0	0	+	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
FRL001	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
HAR001	++	+/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	-?	--	-	0	--	--	0	0	+	+
HAR002	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	--	-	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+
HAR004	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	?	0	-	0	--	--	0	0	+	-?
HAR005	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
HAR007	++	-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	--	0	-	--	0	0	+	-?
HAR008	+	-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
HAR010	+	-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	--	0	-	--	0	0	-	-?
HAR011	+	-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
HAR012	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	?	?	-	0	--	--?	0	0	+	-?
HAR014	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	0	+	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
HFD001	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	-	+
HFD002	+	+/-	0	0	+	-	0?	-?	?	--	0	0	+	0	+	-	+
HFD003	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	0?	-?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	-	+
HFD004	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	0?	--?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	-	+
HFD005	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	0?	--?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
HFD006	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	-	+
HIL001	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	?	--	--?	-	--	0	0	--	+
HOR001	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
HOR002	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	?	-	--?	--	-	0	0	--	+
HOR A	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
KST001	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	+

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
KST002	+	++/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	-	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
KST003	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
KST004	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
KST006	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
KIN001	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	+	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+++
KIN002	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+++
KIN003	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	?	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
KIN004	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	?	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
KIN005	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	0	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
KIN007	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	--?	?	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+++
KIN008	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	0?	--?	?	-	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+++
KIN009	+	-	0	0	0	--	0?	--?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
KIN010	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+/--	+
KIN011	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	0	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
LEO001	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--	0	0	-	+
LEO002	+	++/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	?	0	--?	0	+	0	+	-	+
LEO004	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	0	--?	-	--	0	0	-	+
LEO005	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--	0	0	+	+
LEO007	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	+
LEO B	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	0	--?	-	--	0	0	--	+
MAN001	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	--?	-	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+++
MAN002	+	+/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	0	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+++



SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
MAN003	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	-	+
MAN A	+	+/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	++?
MID001	+	++/-	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
MIN001	+	++/--?	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
MIN002	+	+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
MIN003	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
MIN004	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
MIN005	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
MIN006	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
MIN007	+	+/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
MIN008	+	+	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	0	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+
MIN009	+	+	0	0	0	--	--?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	-	+
MIN013	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	--?	--?	?	--	--?	-	-	0	0	-	-?
NAI001	+	++	0	0	0	+	--?	-?	-	0	--?	-	-	0	0	++	-?
NAI004	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	+	--?	--	-	0	0	++	-?
NAI006	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	-?
NAI007	+	+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+
NAI008	+	+/--?	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	0	0	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
NAI009	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+
NAI010	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+
NAI011	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+
NAI012	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
NPT001	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	?	-	0	--	-	0	0	--	-?
NEW003 a	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	-	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+?
NEW003 b	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
NEW005	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	-	0	-	-	0	0	-	+?
NEW006	+	++/--?	0	0	+	--	--?	-?	0	-	0	0	+	0	+?	--	+?
NIB001	+	++/-	0	0	0	0	--?	--?	-	0	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
NIB002	+	++/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
NIB003	+	++/-	0	0	0	0	--?	--?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
NWO00 1	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	-	--?	-	-	0	0	-	+?
NWO00 3	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	--	0	--?	-	-	0	0	-	+?
NYM001	+	++/-	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
NYM002	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
OAK001	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
OAK002	+	+/-	0	0	+	--	0?	--?	?	--	--?	0	+	0	+?	--	-?
OAK A	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
PAI001	+	++	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	--	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
PAI002	+	++	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	--	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
PAI004	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
PAI005	+	+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	0	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+?
PAI006	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
PAI007	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
PAI008	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
PAI009	+	++/--?	0	0	0	--	--?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	--	-?
PAI010	+	++/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	--	-?
PAI011	+	++/-	0	0	0	--	--?	--?	?	-	--?	-	-	0	0	--	-?
PAI012	+	++/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
PAI A	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
PAI B	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
RAN001	+	++/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	-	0	0	--	+
SAU001	+	++	0	0	+	-	-?	?	0	--	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
SLI001	+	++/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	--	-	--?	-	--	0	0	--	+
SLI003	+	++/-	0	0	0	0	-?	-?	0	-	--?	-	--	0	0	--	+
SWO002	+	++/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	--	0	--?	--	-	0	0	+	+
STI001	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--	0	0	--	-?
STN002	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	-?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
STN003	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	-?	-	0	-	--	0	0	--	+
STO002	+	+/--?	0	0	+	++	--?	-?	0	+	--?	0	+	0	+	++	++?
STO003	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	?	0	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
STO004	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	?	0	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+
STO007	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	?	--	0	--?	--	--?	0	0	++	+
STO008	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	--	0	--?	--	-	0	0	++	-?
STO009	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
STO010	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	?	++	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
STO012	+	+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+++
STO013	+	+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+++
STO014	+	+/-	0	0	+	--	-?	--?	+/--?	-	--?	0	+	0	+?	--	+?
STO015	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
STO017	+	-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
STO018	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	--?	0	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
STO019	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	?	+/--?	0	--?	--	--?	0	0	++	+?
STO020	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	--	-	--?	-	--	0	0	-	-?
STO B1	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
STR001	+	+	0	0	0	++	-?	?	0	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+++
STR003	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	+	--?	--	+	0	+?	++/-	+++
STR005	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	-	++	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+++
STR007	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	--	+	0	+?	++/-	+++
STR008	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	-	++	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+++
STR010	+	++/--?	0	0	+	++	--?	--?	+/--?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	++/-	+++
STR018	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	++/-	+?
STR019	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
STR020	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
STR021	+	+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
STR022	+	++/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	--?	0	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+
STR023	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	-	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+
STR024	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+
STR025	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+
STR026	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
STR030	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+
STR031	+	+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	--	-	0	0	++	+
STR033	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+
STR034	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	++?
STR035	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	-	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	++?
STR036	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
STR037	++	+	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	--	-	--?	--	--	0	0	+	+
STR038	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+
STR039	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+
STR040	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+
STR041	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	-?
STR043	+	++/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	--?	--	0	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+
STR044	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	--	-	--?	--	--?	0	0	+/--	+
STR045	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	--	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	-?
STR046	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	--	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	-?
STR047	+	++/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	-?	0	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	-?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
STR048	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	--	+	--?	--	-	0	0	++	-?
STR053	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	-	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	++?
STR054	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	+/--?	+	--?	--	-	0	0	++	+
STR056	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+
STR057	+	++	0	0	0	++	--?	--?	--	+	--?	--	-	0	0	++	+
STR055	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
STR D	+	+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+
UPT001	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	+/--?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
UPT002	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0?	-?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
UPT003	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0?	-?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
UPT004	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0?	-?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
UPT005	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
UPT006	+	+	0	0	0	--	--?	-?	0	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
UPT007	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	--?	-?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
UPT008	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	-	0	-	--	0	0	--	+
UPT009	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
UPT010	+	++/--?	0	0	+	--	--?	--?	?	--	0	0	+	0	+	--	-?
UPT011	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	0?	-?	?	0	0	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
UPT012	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	0?	-?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WHI001	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WHI002	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WHI004	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
WHI005	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WHI006	+	++/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WHI008	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WHI A	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WHI E	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WUE001	+	++	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	?	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+
WUE002	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
WUE003	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	+	0	-	--?	0	0	++	+
WUE004	+	+	0	0	0	+	0?	--?	?	0	0	--	--	0	0	+	+
WUE005	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+
WUE006	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+
WUE007	++	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	-	0	--	--	0	0	++	++?
WUE008	+	++	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	+/-?	+	0	0	+	0	+	++/-	+

**Table A5.2: Summary of SA scores for employment site options**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
BER012	0	++	0	0	0	0	0?	-?	0	-?	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+
BER013	0	+	0	0	+	0	--?	?	0	+	0	--	++	0	+	++	++
BRI001	0	+	0	0	+	0	-?	-?	+/--?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
BRI006	0	+	0	0	+	0	-?	-?	+/--?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
BRI018	0	+	0	0	+	0	-?	-?	+/--?	+	--?	-	+	0	+	+	+
CAM002	0	+	0	0	+	0	0?	?	?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
CAM019	0	+/--?	0	0	+	0	--?	?	?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
CAM020	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	?	0	+	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+
EAS006	0	++	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	--	+	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+
EAS007	0	++	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	--	+	--?	--	--?	0	0	++	++
EAS010	0	-	0	0	+	0	-?	--?	0	-?	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
FRA003	0	-	0	0	+	0	-?	-?	0	+	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
FRA C	0	-	0	0	+	0	-?	?	0	+	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
HAR003	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	?	?	+	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
HAR006	0	+	0	0	+	0	0?	-?	?	+	0	--	+	0	+	+	+
HAR009	0	-	0	0	+	0	0?	--?	0	+	0	0	++	0	+	++	++
HFD007	0	+	0	0	+	0	0?	--?	0	+	0	--	+	0	+	+	+
HFD008	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	?	0	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	++
HFD009	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	?	0	+	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
MIN011	0	-	0	0	+	0	-?	--?	?	+	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+



SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
MIN012	0	-	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
NAI002	0	++	0	0	+	0	--?	--?	-/+?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
NAI005	0	+	0	0	+	0	-?	--?	-	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
NEW004	0	+	0	0	0	0	--?	?	0	+	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+
SWO001	0	++/-- ?	0	0	+	0	--?	-?	0	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
STO001	0	+	0	0	0	0	-?	?	0	++	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
STR012	0	++	0	0	+	0	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
STR027	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	-?	0	++	--?	--	-	0	0	+	+
STR029	0	+	0	0	+	0	0?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
STR042	0	++	0	0	+	0	-?	-?	+/--?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
STR049	0	++	0	0	0	0	-?	-?	-	++	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+
STR B2	0	++	0	0	+	0	-?	-?	+/--?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+

**Table A5.3: Summary of SA scores for mixed use site options**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
BRI002	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	+/--?	+	--?	--	+	0	+?	+	+?
BRI003	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	+	--?	--	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI009	+	+/--?	0	0	+	+	--?	--?	+/--?	0	--?	--	+	0	+?	+?	+?
BRI021	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	+/--?	0	--?	--	+	0	+?	+?	+?
BRO002	++	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	-	--	0	--	--	0	0	++	++/--?
CAM014	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	+/-?	0	--?	-	--	0	0	+?	+/-?
CAM017	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	0	--?	--	--	0	0	+?	++?
CAM018	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	0	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+?
CAM027	++	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	0	-	--?	--	--	0	0	+	+/-?
CAM D	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	--	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+/-?
DUR003	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/-?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
DUR004	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/-?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
DUR018	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	--	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	+?	+?
EAS002	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	+/--?	--	--?	0	+	0	+?	+?	+/-?
EAS B2	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	+/--?	--	--?	0	+	0	+?	+?	+/-?
FRA002	+	++/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	-	-	--?	-	--	0	0	+	+?
HAR013	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	0?	?	0	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+?	+/-?
KST007	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	+	--?	--	--	0	0	++	++?
MIN010	+	+/-	0	0	+	--	0?	--?	0	--	--?	0	+	0	+?	+?	+/-?
NPT002	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+/-?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
NEW001	+	+/-?	0	0	+	+	--?	-?	+/--?	--	0	--	+	0	+?	+?	+?
NEW002	++	++/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	-	0	0	--	--?	0	0	++	++?
SAU002	+	+/-	0	0	+	--	-?	--?	+/--?	--	--?	--	+	0	+?	+?	+/-?
SLI002	++	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--	0	0	+	+?
SLI004	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--	0	0	+	+?
SLI005	++	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--	0	0	+	+?
SLI002, SLI004, SLI005	++	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--	0	0	++	++?
STN001	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
STO006	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	--	0	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+?
STO016	++	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	--	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+/-?
STR002	+	++/-	0	0	+	++	-?	?	+?/-	+	--?	0	++	0	+?	+	++?
STR004	+	++/--?	0	0	+	++	--?	?	+/--?	+	--?	--	+	0	+?	+?	++?
STR006	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	--	++	--?	--	+	0	+?	+?	++?
STR014	+	+/-	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
STR015	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	--	+	0	+?	+	+?
STR016	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+	++	--?	--	+	0	+?	+?	+?
STR017	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
STR032	+	-/++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+?/-	+	--?	0	+	0	+?	+?	++?
WHI003	+	-/++	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	?	-	--?	0	+	0	+?	+?	+/-?
WHI007	+	-/++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	++/-?

**Table A5.4: Summary of SA findings for community and retail use site options**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
EAS007	0	+?	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	--	-?	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+?
NAI003	0	+?	0	0	0	0	--?	--?	?	?	--?	--	-	0	0	+	+?
STR009	0	0	0	0	+	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
WUE009	0	0	+	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	-?	0	-	--?	0	0	0	++

DRAFT

**Table A5.5: Summary of SA scores for open space site options**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geo diversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
BER001	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+?	+?	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0
BER008	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+?	+?	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
CHA002	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+?	+?	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
STO005	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+?	+?	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
STO011	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+?	+?	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
STR028	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+?	+?	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0

DRAFT

**Table A5.6: Summary of SA scores for site options considered following the Emerging Strategy Paper consultation (prior to consultation on the Draft Local Plan)**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
BER015 (Res.)	+	-	0	0	0	--	0?	-?	?	0	0	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
CAM028 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
CAM029 (Mixed use)	+	++/-	+	0	+	++	0?	--?	-	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+
EAS016 (Res.)	+	-	0	0	+	--	0?	-?	0	--	0	0	+	0	+	--	-?
EAS017 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	0	--	0?	-?	-	0	--?	0	--?	0	0	+	+
EAS017 (Emp.)	0	++	0	0	0	0	0?	-?	-	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
EAS018 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	0?	-?	--	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+
EAS019 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	0?	-?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+
EAS20 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	0?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
FRO001 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	+	--	0?	--?	--	--	--?	0	+	0	+	--	-?
HAR015 (Res.)	++	+/-	0	0	0	--	0?	--?	--	--	0	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
HAR016 (Res.)	++	+/-	0	0	0	--	0?	--?	--	--	0	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
HFD010 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	+	--	0?	--?	?	-	0	0	+	0	+	--	-?
HFD011 (Empl.)	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	--	+	0	-	--?	0	0	++	++
HFD012 (Empl.)	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	0	+	0	-	--	0	0	++	++
HOR003 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	0?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
KIN012 (Empl.)	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	--	+	0	-	--?	0	0	++	++
KIN013 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	--?	-	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	++?
KIN014 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	--?	0	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	++?
KST008 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	-	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	--	+?
KST009 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
KST010 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
LEO008 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	-	0	--?	-	--	0	0	--	+?
LEO009 (Res.)	+	+?/--	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
MID002 (Res.)	+	++/--?	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	--	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
MIN014 (Res.)	+	+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
MIN015 (Res.)	+	++	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
MIN016 (Res.)	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
MIN017 (Res.)	+	+?/--	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
NAI013 (Res.)	+	++/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	0	0	--?	-	-	0	0	++	-?
NEW002 <sup>a</sup> (Mixed use)	++	+?/--	0	0	0	--	--?	--?	0	+	0	-	--?	0	0	++	++
NEW007 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	?	0	0	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
NIB004 (Res.)	+	++/--?	0	0	0	0	--?	--?	-	0	0	-	--	0	0	--	+
NIB005 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	-	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
NPT003 (Empl.)	0	+	0	0	+	0	0?	-?	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+	+
NPT004 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	+	--	0?	-?	?	--	0	0	+	0	+	--	-?
PAI013 (Res.)	+	++/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
SLI006 (Mixed use)	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	0?	-?	0	-	--?	-	--	0	0	+	+
SLI007 (Mixed use)	+	++/-	+	0	+	+	0?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--	0	0	+	+
STN004 (Mixed use)	+	+/-	+	0	+	--	0?	-?	?	--	0	-	--	0	0	+	+
STO021 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	0?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	-?
STO022 (Res.)	+	+?/--	0	0	0	++	--?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
STO023 (Res.)	+	+	0	0	+	++	0?	--?	0	+	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+++
STO023 (Empl.)	0	+	0	0	+	0	0?	--?	0	++	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
STO024 (Res.)	++	+/-	0	0	0	++	0?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--	0	0	++	-?
STR058 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	0	0	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+++
STR059 (Res.)	+	+/--?	0	0	+	++	--?	-?	0	0	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+++
STR060 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	0	+	--?	0	+	0	+	++	+



SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
STR061 (Res.)	+	+	0	0	+	++	-?	?	0	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	++	+?
STR062 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
UPT013 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
UPT014 (Res.)	+	+	0	0	0	++	--?	--?	0	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
WHI010 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	0?	--?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
WUE010 (Res.)	+	+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	-	0	-	--?	0	0	+	++?

DRAFT

**Table A5.7 Summary of SA scores for new site options considered following the Draft Plan (November 2019) including those included as part of the Additional Housing Option consultation (October 2020)**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social Inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/ geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/ townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
BER016 (Res.)	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
BER017 (Res.)	+	+	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
BRI023 (Mixed Use)	+	-/+	0	0	+	--	-?	--?	?	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
CAM030 (Res.)	+	-/++	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	-?
CAM031 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	-	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	-?
CAM032 (Res.)	+	-/++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM033 (Res.)	+	-/++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
DUR024 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	-	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
EAS021 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
EAS022 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	+	--	-?	-?	-	--	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	-?
HAR017 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	+	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
HAR018 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	--	--	0	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
HAR019 (Empl.)	0	+	0	0	+	0	0?	--?	0	+	0	0	++	0	+	++	++
HAR020 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
HFD013 (Res.)	+	--/+	0	0	0	-	--?	--?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
HOR004 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
KIN015 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	--	0?	--?	?	0	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+?
NAI014 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
NYM003 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
PAI014 (Res.)	+	-/++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
STO025 (Res.)	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	?	-/+?	+	--?	0	+	0	++?	++/--	-?
STR063 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	-	0	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
STR064 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
STR065 (Mixed Use)	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	0/+?	++	--?	0	+	0	++?	++	+?
STR066 (Res.)	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	++	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
WHI011 (Mixed use)	++	-/++	0	0	0	+	-?	-	-	--?	--?	-	--	0	0	++	-?/++?
WHI012 (Res.)	+	-/++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
WHI013 (open space)	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	++?	++?	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0
WHI014 (PGP1) (Mixed Use)	++	-/++	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	-	-	--?	-	--	0	0	++	+++?
HAR006-HAR009 and HAR015-HAR016	++	-/++	0	0	+	+/--	-?	--?	--	--	0	-	--	0	0	++	+++?

DRAFT

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
(PGP2) (Mixed Use)																	

## Residential site options

### BER002: Garage court r/o Marybrook Street car park, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### BER003: Former Berkeley hospital site, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

#### BER004: Land to the rear of Canonbury Street, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 188 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 250m of Berkeley Heath Water Meadows KWS and within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BER005: Land off Fitzhardinge Way, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## BER006: Land north of Berkeley and south west of bypass, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 110 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BER011: Land between B4066 and Station Road

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is 250m of Tintock Wood (including Pitbrook Brake & Penny Grove) KWS and within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BER014: Land East of Berkeley Heath Motors filling station, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Berkeley).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 52. The work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500-5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at eight homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not assessed in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**BIS001: Graduate Gardeners, Bisley**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**BIS002: Land off Calway Lane, Bisley**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**BRI004: Land off Dalloway, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 78 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. It is also within 250m of a number of KWSs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not assessed in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**BRI005: Land north-east of London Road, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. It is also within 250m of a number of KWSs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not assessed in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BRI007: Land south of Bourne Lane, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Hillsdon Meadows KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



**BRI008: Brimscombe Mills & Mill Pond, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**BRI010: Brimscombe Farm, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Hillsdon Meadows KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**BRI011: Dockyard Works, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Hillsdon Meadows KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**BRI012: Val D'Or Works, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Knapp Farm Meadows and Alder Grove KWS, Blackness Banks KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**BRI013: Queens Court, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Knapp Farm Meadows and Alder Grove KWS, Blackness Banks KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**BRI014: Land North of Queens Court, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 33 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of several KWSs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**BRI015: Land east of Toadsmoor Road, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Lawrenceland KWS, Blackness Banks KWS, and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**BRI016: Land at Gussage Mill, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Lawrenceland KWS, Blackness Banks KWS, and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



**BRI017: Land at Bowbridge Lock, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 67 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**BRI019: Quarry Hill Farm Fields, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 42 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Claypits Wood (South) KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**BRI020: Field on the east side of London Road, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 60 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Claypits Wood (South) KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**BRI022: Lakeside Depot, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**BRO001: Land south east of Pear Tree Cottage, Brookthorpe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Brookthorpe).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Upper Wells Fields KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## CAM001: Cam Sports Club, Everlands, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 89 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but also contains a green space and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Cam Mills, Everlands) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### CAM003: Upthorpe Farm, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 300 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Cam Mills, Everlands) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**CAM004: 14 St. George's Close, Cam**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 53 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.



**CAM005: Land east of Old Court, Cam**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 53 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

**CAM006: Land south of Old Court, Cam**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 53 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

## CAM007: Land at Norman Hill playing fields, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a green space which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 51 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## CAM008: Land adjacent to Tilsdown House, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 16 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**CAM009: 4 Tiltdown/ Indoor & Outdoor, Tiltdown, Cam**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 11 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+/--	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate). The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CAM010: Land off Elstub Lane, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 215 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CAM011: Land parcel to south west of Manor Close, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### CAM013: Land west of Draycott Crescent, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 375 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## CAM015: Land west of Cam and Dursley Train Station, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Cam).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## CAM016: Land north east of Box Road Avenue, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 90 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Cam).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## CAM021: Land east of Court House Gardens, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 265 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**CAM022: Street Farm, Cam**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 28 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## CAM023: Land adjacent to Orchard Leaze, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CAM024: Land at Bowlers Lea, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**CAM025: Land NW Cam, Cam**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 175 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoin a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Cam).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**CAM026: Land west of A4135 Draycott, Cam**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 210 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## Broad Location CAM A: South west of Manor Close, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 146 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	Most of this broad area lies on site which was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is mostly within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location CAM E: East of River Cam, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 265 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	Much of the site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CBR002: Land west of Greenacre, Cambridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 16 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### CBR003: Land rear of Caterham House, Cambridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at three homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CHA001: Land west of Middle Hill, Chalford

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Chalford Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

**COA001: Betworthy Farm, Coaley**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 19 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## COA002: Land at Coaley, Coaley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location COA A: Land between Betworthy Farm and The Close, Coaley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 19 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This location scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



**CRA001: Simmonds Hall Farm Field, Cranham**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 51 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Cranham).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m of the Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI and NNR. It is also within 250m-1km of Cotswold Beechwoods SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## DUR001: Land behind Kingshill House, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains all of these features which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There are existing GI assets (a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## DUR002: Land off Acacia Drive/ Oak Drive, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a council play area which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (council play area) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## DUR005: Land north of Brownings Lane, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 51 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

**DUR006: 13 and 23 Bull Pitch, Dursley**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park).
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

**DUR007: Henlow House/ 54 - 60 Silver Street, Dursley**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 48 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+/--	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park). The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

**DUR008: 18 Woodmancote, Dursley**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Hermitage Wood KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 51 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**DUR009: Land at 27 - 29 Uley Road, Dursley**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## DUR010: Land south and east of Downham View, Durlsey

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## DUR011: Land at Castle Stream Farm, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## DUR012: Castle Stream Farm, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Dursley).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## DUR013: Land east of Shakespeare Road, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Gravelpits Wood KWS and Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## DUR014: Recreation ground east of School Road, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 38 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains all of these features which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There are existing GI assets (a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## DUR017: The Old Dairy/ Land off Prospect Place, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and within 250m of Hermitage Wood KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 47 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

## DUR020: Blackboys Farm, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 322 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and within 250m of Sheep Path, Westfield and Bownace Woods KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## DUR021: Land to the rear of the police station, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a green space which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.



**DUR022: 11/11a May Lane, Dursley**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. The site is within 250m of Sheep Path, Westfield and Bownace Woods KWS and Hermitage Wood KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 47 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

## Broad Location DUR A: North of Ganzell Lane, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Gravelpits Wood KWS and Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	Much of the site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS001: Land to rear of Alkerton garage, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 155 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### EAS003: Alkerton Farm, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains all of these features which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Wickster's Brook and Ditch (part) KWS. There are existing GI assets (a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## EAS004: Land south east of Swallowcroft, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS005: Land to north of Millend Land, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 24 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS007: Land at Junction 13 of the M5, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 1263 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 73 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS008: Land at Chipmans Platt, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## EAS009: Land west of Nupend, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 371 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of an open space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Mole Grove KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## EAS011: Land at Nupend (Parcel A), Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 135 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## EAS012: Land at South View, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## EAS013: Land at Westend Farm, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 140 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of an open space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Mole Grove KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## EAS014: Land north of Westend Farm, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 1049 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of an open space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Mole Grove KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 98 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## EAS015: Land at Claypits

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 77 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 47. The work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 77 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location EAS A: South east of Swallowcroft, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This location scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location EAS B1: South of Alkerton:South of Bath Road, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## FRA001: Old Dairy site, Frampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route and a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Frampton Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

#### FRA004: Fields northwest of Whitminster Lane, Frampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route and a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI and within 250m of Saul Gravel Pits KWS and Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Frampton Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**FRL001: Land south of Bakers Farm, France Lynch**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of France Lynch).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Strawberry Banks SSSI. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 75 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HAR001: Land at Hardwicke, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 1500 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a green space and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HAR002: Land at Church Lane, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 24 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Quedgeley West) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HAR004: SA4 Hunts Grove Extension, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 750 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement, however it adjoins the committed Hunts Grove development area outlined in the adopted Local Plan for the Hunts Grove Extension. As set out in adopted Local Plan and Settlement Role and Function Study Update 2018 Hunts Grove is anticipated to become a Tier 2 settlement, however, this will only be the case once development is completed.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 75 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Quedgeley Trading Estate East; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR005: Land East of Waterwells Business Park, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 23 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. The work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500-1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 23 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR007: Land at Hiltmead Lane, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 823 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Council's Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 41. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 823 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. The site is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley Trading Estate East; Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## HAR008: Land at Parkend Farm, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 312 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Council's Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 41. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 312 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. The site is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR010: Land on east side of M5 at Moreton Valence, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 247 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Council's Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. This work identified area 40 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 247 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. The site is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 97 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR011: Land on west side of M5 at Moreton Valence, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 62 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Council's Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 41. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 62 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. The site is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 96 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR012: Land at M5 Junction 12, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 19 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Quedgeley Trading Estate East; Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR014: Mayos Land Phase 3, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HFD001: Land west of the railway, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Quedgeley Trading Estate East) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of Haresfield C of E Primary School but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HFD002: Land to east of Round House Farm, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Quedgeley Trading Estate East) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### HFD003: Land north of Starsmead House, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 34 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Quedgeley Trading Estate East) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## HFD004: Land to north of Merryfields & Upper Green Cottage, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 28 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Quedgeley Trading Estate East) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HFD005: Land south and east of Haresfield Playing Field, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HFD006: Former Walled Garden to Haresfield court, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 14 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HIL001: Land to the west of Hawkesbury Road, Hillesley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 42 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Yarley Meadows SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HOR001: Land west of St Martin's Church, Horsley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Kingscote and Horsley Woods SSSI. It is also located within 250m of Horsley Wood Banks KWS and Sandgrove Cottages and Hartley Bridge Wood KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HOR002: Fields south of Wormwood Hill, Horsley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Kingscote and Horsley Woods SSSI. It is also located within 250m of Horsley Wood Banks KWS, Hartley Bridge Wood (Park Wood) KWS and Sandgrove Cottages and Hartley Bridge Wood KWS
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location HOR A: South of The Street, Horsley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Kingscote and Horsley Woods SSSI. It is also located within 250m of Sandgrove Cottages and Hartley Bridge Wood KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KST001: Land to the north of Kings Stanley Primary School, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## KST002: Land opposite King's Stanley Primary School, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route but also contains a National Trail which could be lost to development.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Selsey Common SSSI and within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. There is an existing GI asset (National Trail) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### KST003: Land to rear of Borough Close, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

#### KST004: Land rear of 24-30 Borough Close, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KST006: Rear of Borough Close, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 16 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KIN001: Land north of Pennwood Lodge, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 131 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Nind Trout Farm and Ozleworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## KIN002: Land south of Vineyard Lane, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 71 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Nind Trout Farm and Ozleworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

### KIN003: Land south of 13 & 15 Hillesley Road, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

#### KIN004: Land at Hillesley Road, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## KIN005: Land at Cloverlea Barn, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 62 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KIN007: Land off Charfield Road, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 95 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## KIN008: Land north of Charfield Road, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Kingswood).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Renishaw, New Mills; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

**KIN009: Neathwood Yard, Kingswood**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Kingswood).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 55. This work identified area 55 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 – 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a high level of growth than the capacity of the site at 6 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## KIN010: Land and yard at Walk Mill Lane, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Nind Trout Farm and Ozleworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**KIN011: Land south of Westfield House, Kingswood**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## LE0001: Land at Bath Road, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## LE0002: Brimley, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 97 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



**LE0004: Land to the north of Bath Road, Leonard Stanley**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 60 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## LE0005: Land at East Grange, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## LE0007: Land at Leonard Stanley, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 28 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location LEO B: Severn Waters, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 60 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## MAN001: Manor Farm, Manor Village

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## MAN002: Land at Middle Hill, Eastcombe, Manor Village

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 18 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspac but also contains a green space which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

### MAN003: Frith Wood House & Cottage, Manor Village

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 19 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Frith Wood (Bussage) KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Chalford Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location MAN A: East of Middle Hill, Manor Village

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 18 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains both of these features which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.



## MID001: Land north of Coldwell Close, Middleyard

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 34 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Selsey Common SSSI and within 250m of River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## MIN001: Land off 17 Butt Street, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 34 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a greenspace which could be lost to development. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## MIN002: Land at The Knapp, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 24 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m of Besbury Common KWS. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### MIN003: Land East of Besbury Park, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 78 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. It is also within 250m of Besbury Common KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**MIN004: Field 0013, Cirencester Road, Minchinhampton**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## MIN005: Land at Glebe Farm, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 105 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## MIN006: The Lemon Field, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## MIN007: Land off Common Road, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 228 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a council play area and a protected outdoor space which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. There are existing GI assets (a council play area and a protected outdoor space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## MIN008: Seymour House/ Westfield Cottage, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI and Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## MIN009: Field north east of Deans Quarry, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 11 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Minchenhampton).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI and Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### MIN013: Land to rear of Tranquility, Houndscroft, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 26 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Minchenhampton).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Griffin Mills Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## NAI001: Garden land on the west side of Wood Lane, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m of Nailsworth Brook KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Nailsworth Mill Industrial Estate; Spring Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

#### NAI004: Between Old Bristol Rd & Bath Rd, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Nailsworth Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## NAI006: Land between Worley Ridge & New Market Rd, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Nailsworth Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## NAI007: Land adjacent to Lower Newmarket Road, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of Bunting Hill KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NAI008: The New Lawn, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. However, the site contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of High Wood and Bowlas Wood KWS and Bunting Hill KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## NAI009: Land north of Nortonwood, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 47 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NAI010: Land between Northfield Road & Stroud Road, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 62 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Nailsworth Brook KWS. It is located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Inchbrook Industrial Estate; Nailsworth Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NAI011: Land off Jubilee Road, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Nailsworth Brook KWS. It is located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NAI012: Land north of Nympsfield Road/Nortonwood Junction, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of High Wood & Bowlas Wood KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NPT001: Land south of Newport Towers Hotel, Newport

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 6 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## NEW003a: Land south of primary school, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Severn Distribution Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NEW003b: Land at Saniger Lane, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 110 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Newtown and Sharpness).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 52. This work identified area 523 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 110 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Severn Distribution Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NEW005: Land to the north of Oakfield Way, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 18 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and within 250m of Sharpness Docks KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Severn Distribution Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## NEW006: Land at Focus School-Berkeley Campus, Station Road, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor play space which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Newtown and Sharpness).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Tintock Wood (including Pitbrook Brake & Penny Grove) KWS. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 52. This work identified area 52 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 150 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.

SA 17: Economic growth

+?

The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

DRAFT

## NIB001: Land south west of 19 Highlands Drive, North Nibley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Nibley Knoll SSSI and within 250m of Nibley Knoll Grass Banks KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NIB002: Land north of Warren Croft, North Nibley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Nibley Knoll SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### NIB003: Land adjacent 38 Barrs Lane, North Nibley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 7 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Nibley Knoll SSSI and within 250m of Nibley Knoll Grass Banks KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NW0001: Land off Selsley Road, North Woodchester

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of Rabbit Warren Wood KWS and Nailsworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (South Woodchester Industrial Area; Bath Road Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### NW0003: Land east of Rooksmoor Hill, North Woodchester

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 142 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of North Woodchester Rail Cutting KWS and RIGS, Nailsworth Brook KWS and North Woodchester Rail Cutting LGS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (South Woodchester Industrial Area; Bath Road Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NYM001: Land at The Cross, Nympsfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 74 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## NYM002: Land west of Benton Court, Nympsfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Easter Park Farm Quarry SSSI and Woodchester Park SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## OAK001: Land north of the Crescent, France Lynch

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Strawberry Banks SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Oakridge Recreation Ground KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## OAK002: Birds Frith Farm, France Lynch

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of France Lynch).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Ile's Green Meadow KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location OAK A: North of The Crescent, Oakridge Lynch

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 13 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Strawberry Banks SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**PAI001: Land on east side of Gyde Road, Painswick**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**PAI002: Land south of Gyde House, Painswick**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 13 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**PAI004: Washwell Fields, Painswick**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 17 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**PAI005: Land north-east of Lower Washwell Lane, Painswick**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI and NNR as well as Cotswold Commons SAC and Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



**PAI006: Painswick Mill, Painswick**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 800m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR and Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**PAI007: Land at Stamages, Painswick**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 4 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**PAI008: Richmond Care Village, Painswick**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 56 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**PAI009: Lower Broadhams, Painswick**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Painswick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR and Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill and Edge Common SSSIs. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**PAI010: Crab Orchard, Painswick**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 1 home.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Painswick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR and Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill and Edge Common SSSIs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**PAI011: Land north of Groves Close, Painswick**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 7 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+ +	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Painswick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR and Edge Common SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Scottsquar & Halliday's Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**PAI012: Land to the east of Stamages Lane, Painswick**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Painswick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location PAI A: Washwell Fields, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 17 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## Broad Location PAI B: Stamages, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 4 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This location scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## RAN001: Old chicken farm, Randwick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 86 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Randwick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Ruscombe Wood KWS, Standish Wood and Randwick Grassland KWS, Ruscombe Farm Meadows KWS and Cockshoot Fields KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## SAU001: Land at Saul Farm, Saul

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Saul Gravel Pits KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Frampton Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## SLI001: Land east of St John's Road, Slimbridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 350 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**SLI003: Rear of Tyning Crescent/ South of Moorend Lane, Slimbridge**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## SWO002: Frogmarsh Meadows, north of Frogmarsh Mill, South Woodchester

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 29 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC as well as Minchinhampton Common, Rodborough Common and Woodchester Park SSSIs. The site is also located within 250m of Nailsworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (South Woodchester Industrial Area; Inchbrook Industrial Estate; Frogmarsh Mill Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STI001: Land at Townsend Farm, Stinchcombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 423 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and within 250m of The Quarry, Dursley LGS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 75 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**STN002: Land at Damery Lane, Stone**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 16 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified area 53 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 16 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 75 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



**STN003: Green Farm, Falfield, Stone**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified area 53 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 45 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STO002: Magpies site, Oldends Lane, Stonehouse**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 43. This work identified area 43 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 9 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

**STO003: Land at Park Road/Severn Road, Stonehouse**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stonehouse Newt Pond KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Bonds Mill Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

#### STO004: Land to rear of Regent Street, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STO007: Nutshell House, Stonehouse**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stonehouse Newt Pond KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STO008: Land at Lower Mills, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 34 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## STO009: Rear gardens on north side of Pearcroft Road, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STO010: Land on south side of Pearcroft Road, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 43 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. . It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 48 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



**STO012: Land north of The Glen, Woodcock Lane, Stonehouse**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Verney Meadows KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

**STO013: Land at Horsemarling Farm, Standish, Stonehouse**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 455 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Verney Meadows KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STO014: Former Standish Hospital Site, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 125 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Standish Wood and Randwick Grassland KWS and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

**STO015: Land at Stagholt Farm, West of B4008, Standish, Stonehouse**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 105 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

## STO017: Land at Nupend (Parcel B), Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is not within 800m of any areas of open space and there are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 93 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## STO018: Stagholt Playing Field Car park, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 7 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a greenspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 53 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

**STO019: Paper Mill, Lower Mills, Stonehouse**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 33 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STO020: Land at Stroud Green, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 926 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## Broad Location STO B1: North/ North west of Stonehouse: East of railway, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 105 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	The site in this location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR001: Land between Hillfield and Downfield, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 51 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Bath Road Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

**STR003: Avocet & Goldcrest Business Parks/ Stroud Metals, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 350 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Bath Road Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STR005: Land adjacent to Fromehall, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Gannicox Toad Pond KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Bath Road Industrial Estate; Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STR007: Lower Wharf Industrial Estate, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of several KWSs and a GWT Reserve.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

**STR008: Wallbridge Fields, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 42 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of several KWSs and a GWT Reserve.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

**STR010: Beeches Green area, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 375 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Frome Banks GWT Reserve, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 45 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

**STR018: Police station/ Magistrates Court, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 45 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. .
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Fromside Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



**STR019: Middle Leazes, off Parliament Street, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Fromside Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR020: Rear gardens at Park Road, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 22 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of the Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR021: Land between 23 and 67 Summer Street, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Slade Wood, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR022: Land to north of Butterow West, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 60 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?/	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a greenspace which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and within 250m of several KWSs. There is an existing GI asset (greenspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR023: Land at Grange Fields, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; Fromside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR024: Land to the rear of 87 Summer Street, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Slade Wood, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR025: Land adjacent to rear of 188 Bisley Road, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 46 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of The Horns Bank KWS, The Horns Wood KWS, River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS and Conygre Quarry LGS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR026: Land at Kilminster Farm, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 184 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Slade Wood, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



**STR030: Land off Summer Crescent, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 4 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Slade Wood, Stroud KWS. There are existing GI assets (a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### STR031: Land at Slad Brook, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 104 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR033: Land to the rear of Thrupp House, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 568 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and is within 250m of Bisley Road Cemetery LNR. The site is also located within 250m of several KWSs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR034: Land north of Farmhill Crescent, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 133 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

**STR035: Callowell Farm, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 447 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STR036: Land opposite Salmon Springs, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside the main built up area of Stroud).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR037: Hammonds Farm, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 2312 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside the main built up area of Stroud).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 73 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR038: Land east of Painswick Old Road, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 308 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



**STR039: Land north of Folly Lane, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 226 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of The Folly, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR040: Land to the north east of Vatch View, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 105 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of The Folly, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR041: Land off Gunhouse Lane, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 70 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Claypits Wood North KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**STR043: Rodborough, Lightpill/Rooksmoor, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and within 250m-1km of Selsey Common SSSI. The site is also within 250m of North Woodchester Rail Cutting KWS and RIGS, Nailsworth Brook KWS and North Woodchester Rail Cutting LGS. There are existing GI assets (a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Bath Road Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR044: Land behind The Snow Mill, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Selsey Common SSSI and within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. It is also within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+/--	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area). The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR045: Jefferies Field, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**STR046: Land east of Jefferies Field, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**STR047: Playing field/Tily's Field, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 42 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m. The site also contains a greenspace, a protected outdoor playspace and a National Trail which could be lost to development.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAS and Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. There are existing GI assets (a greenspace, a protected outdoor playspace and a National Trail) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



**STR048: Land off Ebley Road, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**STR053: Land at Rose Cottage, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

**STR054: Land off Meadow Lane, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Selsley Common SSSI and within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS, River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Bath Road Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR055: Land south of Bisley Road, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within The Horns Bank KWS, The Horns Wood KWS, River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS and Conygre Quarry LGS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR056: Land west of Devereaux Crescent, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR057: Land at Woodhouse Farm, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 200 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and within 250m of Rodborough Common Fort Quarry LGS and several KSWs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location STR D: North of Grange View/ Delmont Grove, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 308 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This location was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## UPT001: Land at Nuthill, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## UPT002: Land South of Bondend Road, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### UPT003: The Stanley, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 75 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

#### UPT004: Land east of Perry Orchard, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 56 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 75 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## UPT005: Land south of High Street, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 249 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## UPT006: Land south of Hucclecote Meadows, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 101 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards). Although it is close to Gloucester, the M5 acts as a barrier.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m of Hucclecote Meadows SSSI and LNR.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## UPT007: Land at Brockworth, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 398 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards). Although it is close to Gloucester, the M5 acts as a barrier.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m of Hucclecote Meadows SSSI and LNR.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## UPT008: Land adj to Brockworth Airfield, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 759 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Hucclecote Meadows SSSI and LNR.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## UPT009: Hardwick Farm, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 200 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Beechwoods SAC, Range Farm Fields SSSI, Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI and NNR. It is also located within 250m of Watery Lane Meadows KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 98 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## UPT010: Prinknash Abbey, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 11 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace but contains this feature which could be lost to development. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Cotswold Beechwoods SAC and SSSI and Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR. There is an existing GI asset (greenspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## UPT011: Land at Birchall Lane, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 23 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards). Although the site is close to Gloucester the M5 acts as a barrier.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## UPT012: Field south of Fieldway, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 46 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WHI001: Land East of School Lane, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WHI002: Land north of Hyde Lane, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WHI004: Land west of Upton's Garden, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes <sup>131</sup> .
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

<sup>131</sup> Please note that the total number of homes for this site was incorrectly reported as 30 in the SA Report for the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018).

## WHI005: Land West of School Lane, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WHI006: Highfield Nurseries, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 110 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Whitminster).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## WHI008: Land to west of Paynes Meadow, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location WHI A: North of Whitminster Playing field, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location WHI E: East of School Lane, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WUE001: Land at Holywell Farm, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Coombe Hill SSSI and within 250m-1km of Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Renishaw Old Town) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WUE002: Land north east of Cherry Orchard, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI and Wotton Hill SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Hentley Wood KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### WUE003: Land off Fountain Crescent, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Renishaw Old Town) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WUE004: Land south of Bearlands, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 214 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400m-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road; Orchestra Works).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**WUE005: 45 Water Lane, Wotton under Edge**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 13 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Renishaw Old Town) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## WUE006: Land west of Water Lane, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 133 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI and Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WUE007: Land south of Symn Lane, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 750 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI and Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## WUE008: Land off The Chipping, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI and Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

# Employment site options

## BER012: Old Piggery, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 52. This work identified area 52 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified for the site. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-?	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 400m of a bus stop. It is located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is also within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

### BER013: Former Berkeley Power Station, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a bus stop (one is located within the site boundaries).
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	++	The site is large in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

**BRI001: Griffin Mills and Eagle Works Industrial Estates, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp; and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

**BRI006: Lower Brimscombe Mills, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

**BRI018: Stafford Mills Industrial Estate, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp; and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.



## CAM002: Cam Mills, Everlands

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

**CAM019: Draycott/ Middle Mill Industrial Estate, Cam**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route. The site also contains a green space, which could be lost to development.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## CAM020: Rear of 4-60 Draycott, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on mainly greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## EAS006: Land at Meadow Mill, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal – Stonehouse and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## EAS007: Land at Junction 13 of the M5, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal – Stonehouse and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is partly in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a number of cycle routes (one of these cycle routes runs through the site).
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is large in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

## EAS010: Motorway Depot at M5 Junction 13, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 41. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-?	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 400m of a bus stop. It is located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

### FRA003: Netherhills Depot, Frampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 47. This work identified area 47 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## Broad Location FRA C: North of Perry Way, A38, Frampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI. It is also within 250m of Netherhills Sand and Gravel Pit Local Geological Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 47. This work identified area 47 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.



### HAR003: Land at Shorn Brook, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## HAR006: Summerhill Equestrian Centre, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 36. This work identified area 36 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## HAR009: Moreton Valence Airfield, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 41. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	++	The site is large in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

## HFD007: Blooms Garden Centre, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. This work identified area 40 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## HFD008: SA4a Quedgeley East, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. This work identified area 40 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is large in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

## HFD009: Quedgeley East Extension, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## MIN011: Land parcel south of Old Aerodrome Farm, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of Westley Farm Meadows Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## MIN012: Land adjoining Aston Down Business Park, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.



## NAI002: Nailsworth Garden Centre, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and is within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI. It is also within 250m of Scar Hill Quarry Local Geological Site and Nailsworth Brook and Hazel Wood Meadows Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to employment development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## NAI005: Land at Gigg Mill, between Old Bristol Rd & Old Horsley Rd, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is partly in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## NEW004: SA5a South of Severn Distribution park, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## SWO001: South Woodchester Industrial Area, South Woodchester

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes, but contains these features, which could be lost to development.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is within 250m of Nailsworth Brook Key Wildlife Site. There is also a GI asset within the site (cycle routes) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route (the cycle route runs through the site).
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

**STO001: Land adj ABB/Kent, Oldends Lane, Stonehouse**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a cycling or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stonehouse Station) and within 400m of a number of bus stops. It is not located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

**STR012: Fromeside, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stroud Station), within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a number of cycle routes.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## STR027: Land at Libbys Drive/ Slad Road, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a cycling or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stroud Station) and within 400m of a number of bus stops. It is not located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

**STR029: New Mills Trading Estate/ Libbys Drive, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a cycling or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stroud Station) and within 400m of a number of bus stops. It is not located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.



**STR042: Bath Road Trading Estate, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Selsley Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

**STR049: Brunsdons Yard, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle and walking routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of Stroudwater Canal – Stonehouse and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stonehouse Station), within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on mainly greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## Broad Location STR B2: Bath Road Industrial Estate, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Selsley Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of Nailsworth Brook Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This location scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the location to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a number of cycle routes.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## Mixed use site options

### BRI002: Ham Mill, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes as part of mixed use development.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**BRI003: Land at Hope Mill Lane, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**BRI009: Brimscombe Port Industrial Estate, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but there is green space within the site that could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (green space) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**BRI021: Bourne Mills, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site is also within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## BRO002: Land at Whaddon, Brookthorpe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Country Park, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	Although this site is at a fourth tier settlement it is adjacent to Quedgeley and Gloucester across the local authority boundary.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Robin's Wood Hill Quarry SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 98 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and more than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/++	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land.



## CAM014: Coaley Junction, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**CAM017: SA3 North east of Cam, Cam**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land but fewer than 600 homes. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of Cam Hopton C of E Primary School. It could deliver more than 10ha of employment land.

## CAM018: Land north of Upton, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium – high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Cam Everlands Primary School and Cam Hopton C of E Primary School. It could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## CAM027: Land at Cambridge/ Coaley B, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Coaley).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 50. This work identified area 50 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500-1,500 dwellings). It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver more than 600 homes but less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## Broad Location CAM D: South of Draycott Farm, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**DUR003: 1-25 Long Street, Dursley**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	There is a GP within 400m of the site. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rednock secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## DUR004: Reliance House, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	There is a GP surgery within 400m-800m of the site. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is within 800m of Rednock secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**DUR018: Land to the rear of 12 - 14 Parsonage Street, Dursley**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	There is a GP surgery within 400m of the site. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rednock secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.



## EAS002: Land rear of Alkerton Court, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## Broad Location EAS B2: West of Alkerton: Alkerton Court, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This location scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**FRA002: Land at Whitminster Lane/ Church Lane, Frampton**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but there is protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m of the site.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI and is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Lakefield C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## HAR013: Land at Quadrant Distribution Centre. Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	Although this site is at a tier 3a settlement it is adjacent to Quedgeley across the local authority boundary.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites and is more than 3km from Rodborough Common SAC and more than 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## KST007: Land parcel north west of Stanley Mills, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than homes as part of mixed use development.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There cycle routes and a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal – Stonehouse and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high sensitivity to residential development and medium – high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not assessed in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site has capacity for more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	This site is within 800m of King's Stanley C of E Primary School and Leonard Stanley C of E Primary School. It has capacity for more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

## MIN010: Aston Down Business Park, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Minchinhampton).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites and is more than 3km from Rodborough Common SAC and more than 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 92 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## NPT002: Land off the A38 at Actrees Farm, Newport

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified area 53 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at less than 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## NEW001: Sharpness Docks, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but includes a Council play area and protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI. It is also within 250m of Sharpness Docks Key Wildlife Site and Sharpness Cliffs Local Geological Site. The site also contains a GI asset (Council play area and protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 97 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Sharpness Primary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.



## NEW002: Land at Sharpness (Sanigar Farm), Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but includes these assets within the boundary of the site meaning that they could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI as well as a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and more than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	This site is within 800m of Berkeley Primary School and Sharpness Primary School. The site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land.

## SAU002: Fretherne Nurseries, Saul

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Saul).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250-1km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and the Upper Severn Estuary SSSI. It is also within 250m of the River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 42. This work identified area 42 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at less than 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**SLI002: Land at Cambridge/ Coaley A, Slimbridge**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified area 49 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at less than 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3b agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver more than 600 homes but less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

## SLI004: Land east of Gossington, Slimbridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Slimbridge).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified area 49 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at less than 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3b agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

## SLI005: Land South of Cambridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Slimbridge).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified area 49 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at less than 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively large and is on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3b agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver more than 600 homes but less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

**SLI002, SLI004 and SLI005: Land at Cambridge/ Coaley A, Slimbridge, Land east of Gossington and Land south of Cambridge**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified area 49 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at less than 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	The component sites included in this combined site option were all screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The portions of the site scored 67, 76 and 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary school. This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

DRAFT

## STN001: Land adjoining the Pines, Stone

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified area 53 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at less than 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Stone with Woodford C of E primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.



**STO006: Land South of Bristol Road, Stonehouse**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Park Junior School and Stonehouse Park Infant School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**STO016: Land North West of Stonehouse, Stonehouse**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**STR002: Tricorn House, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal - Stroud and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	++	The site is relatively large in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of St Matthew's C of E Primary School and Marling School (College) and Stroud High School (College) secondary schools. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## STR004: Land to the rear of Avocet Business Park, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, although it includes a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of three Key Wildlife Sites (Gannicox Toad Pond, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries). The site also contains a GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 51 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, St Matthew's C of E Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy, as well as Marling School (College) and Stroud High School (College). This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**STR006: Lodgemore/Fromehall Mills, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of three Key Wildlife Sites (Gannicox Toad Pond, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 45 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy, as well as Marling School (College) and Stroud High School (College). This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## STR014: Railway Land/ car parks, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**STR015: Merrywalks Arches (former Cotswold Indoor Bowls), Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**STR016: Merrywalks area (surgery, McDonalds, car park), Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.



**STR017: Market Tavern, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**STR032: Daniels Industrial Estate, Stroud**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI as well as Selsley Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of Gastrells Community Primary School and Rodborough Community Primary School as well as Marling Secondary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

### WHI003: Highfield Garden Centre, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of any existing primary or secondary schools. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**WHI007: Grove End Farm, Whitminster**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal – Whitminster and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land but fewer than 600 homes.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/++	The site is not within 800m of any existing primary or secondary schools. This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land.

## Community and retail site options

### EAS007: Land at Junction 13 of the M5, Eastington (Football stadium for Forest Green Rovers)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	+?	The development of a new football stadium may provide improved access to sporting facilities which would benefit public health but this will be dependent upon whether or not the new stadium would include facilities that could be used more widely.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse Key Wildlife Site and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	It is assumed that a detailed assessment of landscape impacts would be carried out as part of any specific proposal for the development of a new football stadium at this site. Part of the area of this site was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. The site is not within 500m of the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-?	The site is not within a town centre and a football stadium would be likely to generate high levels of traffic, depending on the extent to which sustainable transport links are incorporated as part of the development proposal.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is on greenfield land classed as Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	The location of a new football stadium in the district will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	A new football stadium would provide new jobs, although the number is unlikely to be significant.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	A new football stadium would provide new jobs which could have associated opportunities for work-based learning and skills development,

		although these are unlikely to be significant in scale.
--	--	---

DRAFT

### NAI003: Land at Avening Road, Nailsworth (Football stadium for Forest Green Rovers)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	+?	The development of a new football stadium may provide improved access to sporting facilities which would benefit public health but this will be dependent upon whether or not the new stadium would include facilities that could be used more widely.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Minchinhampton SSSI and within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites including Nailsworth Brook, Box House Wood, Hazel Wood and Hazel Wood Meadows.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	It is assumed that a detailed assessment of landscape impacts would be carried out as part of any specific proposal for the development of a new football stadium at this site. The site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to both residential and employment development. The site is also within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	This site is within approximately 500m of the centre of Nailsworth so may offer reasonable opportunities for sustainable transport use. Effects on traffic generation would depend on the extent to which sustainable transport links are incorporated as part of the development proposal.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is mostly on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is on mostly greenfield land classed as Grade 4 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	The location of a new football stadium in the district will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	A new football stadium would provide new jobs, although the number is unlikely to be significant.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	A new football stadium would provide new jobs which could have associated opportunities for work-based learning and skills development, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale.

**STR009: Capel Mill, Stroud (retail use)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	New retail development will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	0	New retail development will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	New retail development will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	New retail development will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	New retail development in the district is likely to help improve the satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	New retail development in close proximity to the centre of Stroud will be accessible.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites including Frome Banks GWT reserve, Rodborough Fields and Wood, Frome Banks, Stroudwater Canal – Stroud, and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	The site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. The site is within 500m of the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	This site is within close proximity of the town centre of Stroud so opportunities for people to travel to the site without using a car should be good.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is on greenfield land but it is not high agricultural quality land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	The location of new retail development in the district will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	New retail development would provide new jobs, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	New retail development would provide jobs which could have associated opportunities for work-based learning and skills development, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale.



**WUE009: Land south of Symn Lane, Wotton under Edge (Potential extension to Katharine Lady Berkeley's School)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	+	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	A school extension will have a minor positive effect on this SA objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-?	The extension of this school may result in increased traffic to the site although effects depend to a large extent on school bus provision.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is on greenfield land classed as Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	The extension of education facilities in the district will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	A school extension would provide additional school places.

## Open space site options

### BER001: Land west of Parkview play area, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	++	This site is within flood zone 3.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

## BER008: Land north of Canon Park sports ground, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

## CHA002: Land at the west side of Middle Hill, Chalford

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

## STO005: Land north of Bristol Road, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

## STO011: Cotswold Green, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

## STR028: School playing field, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

## Site options considered following the Emerging Strategy Paper (prior to consultation on the Draft Plan)

### BER015: Land attached to Foxfield House, Berkeley (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified area 53 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 15 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



DRAFT

**CAM028: Land east of Tait's Hill Road, Cam (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 53 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. It is mostly on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 1km of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CAM029: Land at Uphorpe Farm (part), Cam (residential and community facilities)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	The site is 12.94ha and would accommodate both residential and community uses. The site has a capacity for 388 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	+	New community facilities in the district are likely to help promote social inclusion..
SA 4: Crime	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	New community facilities in the district are likely to help improve the satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. This site would include community uses but is not within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS016: D E Spencer and Sons, Eastington (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 43. This work identified area 43 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 10 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. It is currently in employment use
SA 17: Economic Growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## EAS017: Land adjoining Oldbury Lodge (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has a capacity for 26 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land, and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment sites, but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS017: Land adjoining Oldbury Lodge (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is more than 1km from a railway station and is within 400m of a bus stop. The site is within 200m from an existing cycle route
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land, and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is smaller than 10ha.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is smaller than 10ha.

## EAS018: Land south-east of Chipmans Platt roundabout, Eastington (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has a capacity for 39 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is not within or directly adjoining existing development within a Tier 1-4 settlement. However, the site is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 43. This work identified area 43 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 10 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land, and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites. While the site is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement, it is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local

		Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

DRAFT



## EAS019: Field north of Travelodge at Chipmans Platt, Eastington (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has a capacity for 57 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is not within or directly adjoining existing development within a Tier 1-4 settlement. However, the site is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of low/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land, and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites. While it is not adjacent to existing development within a Tier 1 or 2 settlement, the site is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS020: Land south and east of Cressington Cottages, Eastington (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has a capacity for 22 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This is not within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land, and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites, but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**FRO001: Frocester Manor, Frocester (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 48. This work identified area 48 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 30 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

DRAFT

## HAR015: Land at Moreton Valence, Hardwicke (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site is 72.42ha and has a capacity for 800 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement and does not directly adjoin Quedgeley at the Gloucester fringe.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site mostly within assessment area 41 with a small part of the site falling within assessment area 36. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 800 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR016: Land to east of A38 at Moreton Valence, Hardwicke (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 800 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site mostly within assessment area 41 with a small part of the site falling within assessment area 36. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 800 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites, but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HFD010: Land west of 3 The Cottages, Haresfield (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. This work identified area 40 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 3 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HFD011: Land east of Gloucester Road (B4008), Haresfield (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. This work identified area 40 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. .
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is more than 1km from a railway station and is within 400m of a bus stop. The site is not within 200m of an existing cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is more than 10ha in size
SA 17: Economic growth	++	The site is more than 10ha in size.



## HFD012: Land west of Gloucester Road (B4008), Haresfield (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	+	This site is located within 800m of a green space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Non-residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Non-residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. This work identified area 40 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is more than 1km from a railway station and is within 400m of a bus stop. The site is not within 200m of an existing cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and contains a significant portion of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	The site is more than 10ha in size.

### HOR003: The Orchard, Horsley (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KIN012: Land west of Renishaws (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	+	This site is located within 800m of three green spaces. The site is located further than 400m of cycle route or PRow.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Non-Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Non-Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site contains a significant portion of greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 55. This work identified area 55 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is more than 1km from a railway station and is within 400m of a bus stop. The site is not within 200m of an existing cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and contains a significant portion of Grade 2 agricultural land
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is more than 10ha.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	The site is more than 10ha.

DRAFT

**KIN013: Land north and west of 10 - 14 Charfield Road, Kingswood (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## KIN014: Part land off Charfield Road, Kingswood (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites, but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## KST008: Court Farm Farmyard, King's Stanley (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km Selsley Common SSSI, and within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**KST009: Field 2 Court Farm, King's Stanley (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Selsley Common SSSI, and within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



**KST010: Field 13 Court Farm, King's Stanley (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Woodchester Park SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 residential land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**LEO008: Part land to the north of Bath Road, Leonard Stanley (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## LEO009: Land off Dozule Close, Leonard Stanley (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	--/+?	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## MID002: Land to the West of Walnut Tree House, Middleyard (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for at least nine homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site contains a National Trail.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mostly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Selsley Common SSSI and within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. .
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### MIN014: Land north and west of The Yews, Minchinhampton (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 72 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	This site between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 2 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI, and within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is not on Grade 3 or higher agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a tier 2 settlement but is not located within 1km of a key employment sites.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### MIN015: The Old Builders Yard, Minchinhampton (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for at least six homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 2 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### MIN016: Land on north side of Woefulthane Bottom, Minchinhampton (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 27 homes. .
SA 2: Health	++	This site is between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 2 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### MIN017: Former garage site, Minchinhampton (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 7 homes.
SA 2: Health	--/+?	This site between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space, although it includes a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 2 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and is within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a tier 2 settlement but is not located within 1km of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



### NAI013: Land south of Avening Road, Nailsworth (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space, although it includes a green space and protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 2 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI, and within 1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI. This site is also within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC. The also includes a green space which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is not on Grade 3 or higher agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is at a tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**NEW002a: Additional land at Newtown and Wanswell (residential, employment, and community facilities)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 2,400 homes within plan period and additional land to accommodate 5000 dwellings in total when considered in combination with site NEW002.
SA 2: Health	--/+?	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace and a green space, although it includes a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 4: Crime	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjacent to a Tier 1-4 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site contains a green space. The site is within 250m of Tintock Wood KWS, Brooks Grove KWS and Wanswell Hay Meadows KWS. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 52. This work identified area 52 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is more than 1km from a railway station and is within 400m of a bus stop. The site is within 200m of an existing cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site has a capacity for more than 600 homes and 10ha of employment land
SA 17: Economic growth	++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. The site has a capacity for 10ha of employment land.

## NEW007: Panthurst Farm, Newtown & Sharpness (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjacent to a Tier 1-4 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km the Severn Estuary SSSI, Ramsar, SAC and SPA.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land much of which lies within flood zones 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and it is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites, but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NIB004: Land to west of New Road, North Nibley (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space, although it includes a green space within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Nibley Knoll SSSI and contains a green space. The site includes a green space which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**NIB005: Land north of Innock's Estate and east of Lowerhouse Lane, North Nibley  
(residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Nibley Knoll SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### NPT003: Actrees Farm (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective
SA 2: Health	+	The site is located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace The site is located further than 400m of cycle route or a PRoW.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Non-Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Non-Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified area 53 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is more than 1km from a railway station and is within 400m of a bus stop. The site is not within 200m of an existing cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is smaller than 10ha. The site is currently in employment use but any loss of employment is expected to be replaced considering the allocation proposed.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is smaller than 10ha.

#### NPT004: Land off Church View, Newport (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	The site is not directly adjacent to a tier 1 to 5 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified area 53 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at eight homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**PAI013: Land at Clattergrove, Painswick (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space, although it includes a green space within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is a tier 2 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Cotswold Common and Beechwoods SSSI and contains a green space.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



**SLI006: M D Collins Steel Buildings Ltd, Cambridge (residential and employment)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 4: Crime	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified area 49 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 150 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	The site has capacity to accommodate less than 600 homes and 10ha of employment land. It is currently in employment use
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. The site has capacity to deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**SLI007: Land south of Slimbridge (Wisloe) roundabout, Slimbridge (residential, employment, community facilities)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 69 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	+	New community facilities in the district are likely to help promote social inclusion.
SA 4: Crime	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	New community facilities in the district are likely to help improve the satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified area 49 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 69 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site may deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. The site is expected to deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**STN004: Land to east of A38 at Stone (residential, non-residential and community facilities)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	+	New community facilities in the district are likely to help promote social inclusion.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	New community facilities in the district are likely to help improve the satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified area 49 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 100 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site has capacity to deliver less than 600 homes and 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. The site has capacity to deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**STO021: Stagholt Farm, Stonehouse (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 52 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site contains a significant portion of greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is not within or directly adjacent to existing development within a Tier 1-4 settlement. However, the site is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land which is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites. While the site is not adjacent to existing development within a Tier 1 or 2 settlement, it adjoins the West of Stonehouse allocation in the adopted Local Plan which would form an extension of Stonehouse.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

**STO022: Part land south of Horsemarling Lane, Stonehouse (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 258 homes.
SA 2: Health	--/+?	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space, although it includes a green space and protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is a tier 1 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site contains a green space and protected outdoor playspace. It is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 1km of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school

**STO023: Land at The Glen, Stonehouse (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 16 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	This site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is a tier 1 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on mostly brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on mostly brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

### STO023: Land at The Glen, Stonehouse (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective
SA 2: Health	+	The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is within 1km of a railway station and is within 400m of a bus stop. The site is not within 200m of an existing cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site contains a large portion of brownfield land and is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on mostly brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on mostly brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is smaller than 10ha.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is smaller than 10ha.

## STO024: Part land north west of Stonehouse (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 800 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is within or directly adjacent to a Tier 1-4 settlement. It is adjacent to site SA2 West of Stonehouse which is allocated in the adopted Local Plan.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites and is adjacent to site SA2 West of Stonehouse which is allocated in the adopted Local Plan.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



**STR058: Former garage site Hillcrest Road, Stroud (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is a tier 1 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

**STR059: Former garages site adjacent to 11 Queens Drive, Stroud (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 7 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space, although it includes a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is a tier 1 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 3km of Redborough Common SAC, and contains a green space and protected outdoor playspace.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STR060: Garage site Orchard Road, Stroud (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is a tier1 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is at a tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR061: Garages at Nouncells Cross, Stroud (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	This site between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is a tier 1 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 47 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is at a tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school

**STR062: Part land south of Kilminster Farm, Stroud (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is a tier 1 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school

### UPT013: Part Land south of the High Street, Upton St Leonard (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity 124 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is a tier 3b settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school

## UPT014: Land at Upton Lane, Upton St Leonard (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 400 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	This site is between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	The site does not directly adjoin a settlement within Stroud but is adjacent to the settlements of Coopers Edge and Gloucester at the Gloucester fringe.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Hucclecote Meadows SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school

## WHI010: Land at Jaxons Farm, Whitminster (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 250 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is a tier 3a settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school



## WUE010: Land at Hawpark Farm, Kingswood (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 400 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	This site between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is a tier 3a settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites, but is not at a tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

Sites options considered following the Draft Plan (November 2019) including those considered as part of the Additional Housing Options consultation (October 2020)

**BER016: Hook Street Farm, Lynch Road (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	The majority of this site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is mostly on greenfield land and the majority of it falls within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and is mostly on greenfield land. The site is mostly within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is mostly on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**BER017: Bevans Hill Farm, Lynch Road (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land. An area of the site to the east lies within Flood Zone 3a or 3b but does not comprise more than 50% of the site's total area.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is mostly within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**BRI023: The Bungalow and yard, Toadsmoor Road, Brimscombe (15 dwellings and employment land)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is located outside of Brimscombe and Chalford).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC site. It is also within 250m of Mackhouse and Lawrenceland Woods KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land and it lies mostly outside of flood zone 3a and 3b (only a small part of the western edge of the site lies within these areas).
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**CAM030: Land at Oakland House (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.

SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on mostly greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and mostly on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

### CAM031: Land south of Everside Lane (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 270 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is mostly within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

### CAM032: Additional land north of Upthorpe (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of several key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands and Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**CAM033: Land north of 7 - 9A Upthorpe (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of several key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands and Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## DUR024: Land to the south of Hawthorn Villa (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Gravelpits Wood KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS021: Claypits Farm (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 28 to 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separated from Eastington by the M5).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 47. This work identified area 47 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 28-32 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.

SA 17: Economic growth

-?

The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

DRAFT

## EAS022: Land south east of Nupend (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of an open space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Eastington and Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site falls on land which is mostly not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment (only a small part of the north eastern edge of the site falls on land which has been related as having medium sensitivity to residential development). The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 43. This work identified area 43 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,500 dwellings). It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR017: Land at Sellars Road (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 11 to 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 36. This work identified area 36 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 11-15 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HAR018: Land at Eric Vick Transport (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 36. This work identified area 36 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 120 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land but is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Quedgeley West Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR019: CM Downton Ltd, Bristol Road (employment use)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 41. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (500 to 1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a different type of development than what is proposed for the site and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	++	The site is large in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

## HAR020: Land South West of Rhyne Cottage (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a green space. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Whitminster).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 41. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at three homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



### HFD013: Land at Haresfield Playing Field (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	--/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path and it also contains a protected outdoor playspace and a green space which might be lost as a result of development
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HOR004: Land South of the B4058 (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Horsley).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is within 250m-1km of Kingscote and Horsley Woods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KIN015: Land at Blueboy Cottage, Kingswood (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Kingswood).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 55. This work identified area 55 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land, much of which falls within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of several key employment sites (Renishaw, New Mills and Abbey Mill Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.

SA 17: Economic growth

+?

The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

DRAFT

## NAI014: Additional land off Nortonwood (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**NYM003: Land at Front Street (rear of Cleve Hill), Nympsfield (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Easter Park Farm Quarry SSSI and Woodchester Park SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Nympsfield Valley (Big Breach Pasture) KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

#### PAI014: Land to the South-east of Stroud Road (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STO025: Land at Reliance Works, Downton Road, Bridgend (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA heritage assessment also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site contains part of this employment site meaning its development could result in some employment land in the district.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



### STR063: Land at Wickridge Farm (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 60 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of The Folly, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR064: Additional land north of Folly Lane (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of The Folly, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR065: Beeches Green Health Centre (20 dwellings, healthcare and extra care accommodation)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes. The site is expected to provide special care housing facilities which could help meet specific needs in the plan area.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route. The site would also deliver new healthcare provisions.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or by the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0/+?	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA heritage assessment also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 45 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of several key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; Lodgemore & Fromehall Mills; and Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR066: Part STR038 Land east of Painswick Old Road (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes. The site is expected to deliver an element of social housing which could help meet specific needs in the plan area.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of several key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; and New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**HAR006-HAR009 and HAR015-HAR016/PGP2: Broad location at Moreton Valence / Hardwicke (Up to 1,500 dwellings, employment land, local centre, primary school, community facilities and open space)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity up to 1,500 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route. Development of the site would include the delivery of new open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	The provision of a new local centre at the site is likely to help improve the satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods at this location.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+/-	This site is not within or directly adjoining development within a Tier 1-4 settlement. It is in close proximity to the committed Hunts Grove development area outlined in the adopted Local Plan for the Hunts Grove Extension. As set out in the adopted Local Plan and Settlement Role and Function Study Update 2018 Hunts Grove is anticipated to become a Tier 2 settlement, however, this will only be the case once development is completed. The provision of a new local centre as part of development could help to improve access to services and facilities in the area.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Council's Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site mostly within assessment area 41 with a small part of the site falling within assessment area 36. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The site is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	While much of this site was not appraised through the SALA heritage assessment, sites HAR015 and HAR016 scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--?	Sites which comprise this site including HAR007, HAR008, HAR009, HAR015 and HAR016 which scored between 83 and 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.

SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and lies mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b (although a portion of the central area of the site lies within higher risk flood areas).
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of mostly Grade 3 agricultural land with a small area of Grade 2 agricultural land to the south east.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and has potential to provide more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is not located within 800m of an existing primary school or an existing secondary school. However, the site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. It would also include the delivery of a new primary school.

DRAFT

## WHI011: Land north of Grove End Farm (dwellings and employment land)\*

\*This site would form Phase 2 of land at Grove End Farm mixed use development (see site WHI014/PGP1 below)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Mole Grove KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-	A large proportion of the site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and being of medium sensitivity to employment development. The remaining area of the site has not been assessed as part of that work. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and has potential to provide more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/++?	The site is not located within 800m of an existing primary school or an existing secondary school. However, the site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

## WHI012: Land south of Hyde Lane (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



### WHI013: Land at Parklands Farm (open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	The site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. However, given that the site would be preserved as open space and would prevent the delivery of built development which might otherwise alter the settings of nearby heritage assets, a minor positive effect is expected.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	++	This site is within flood zone 3.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

**WHI014/PGP1: Land at Grove End Farm (combined site WHI007 & WHI011) (2,250 dwellings, 18ha employment land, local centre, primary school and sports pitches)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 2,250 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route and a cycle route. The provision of new sports pitches at the site could benefit health and wellbeing in the district.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	The provision of a new local centre at the site is likely to help improve the satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods at this location.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement. The provision of a new local centre as part of development could help to improve access to services and facilities at this settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Mole Grove KWS, Stroudwater Canal – Whitminster KWS and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	Much of the western edge of this site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and being of medium sensitivity to employment development. The remaining area of the site has not been assessed as part of that work. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site comprises site WHI007 which scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment 2018 and site WHI011 which scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment 2020.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment .
SA 11: Water quality	--?	A large part of the southern portion of the site falls within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.

SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver 2,250 homes and 18ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. This site could deliver 18ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. It would also include the delivery of a new primary school.

DRAFT

## **Appendix 6**

### Summary of SA effects identified for the Emerging Strategy Paper

DRAFT

- 1.1 The Stroud District Local Plan Review Emerging Strategy Paper was subject to a detailed appraisal against the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) objectives which were developed at the Scoping stage of the SA process. The SA Report was presented for consultation alongside the Emerging Strategy Paper in November 2018. Alternative development site options and policy approaches relating to how the key issues and needs of the district were appraised as part of the SA and the summary of SA effects identified is re-presented in **Table A6.1** below. Alternatives relating to the future growth strategy for the District and settlement boundaries were also appraised as part of the SA.
- 1.2 A reasonably large amount of housing, employment and other development within Stroud District to meet its future needs were proposed in the Emerging Strategy Paper. Therefore, alongside the more overtly positive effects identified in relation to providing new homes and sites for employment and other uses (including community development and open space), the SA Report identified the potential for negative effects in relation to many of the environmental objectives including biodiversity, the historic environment and landscape.
- 1.3 The Emerging Strategy Paper however also included the Council's approach to addressing the key issues and needs of the District. These included many of the issues which have been represented as key issues in the Draft Paper such the local economy and jobs, town centres, local need for housing and local green spaces and community facilities. The approach to addressing these issues set out in the Emerging Strategy Paper presented the aim of protecting and enhancing the economic, social and environmental conditions of the District. The Council's approach to addressing these issues set out in the Emerging Strategy provided a starting point for the working up of policy requirements in the Draft Plan. The more detailed policies provided in the Draft Plan now set out to help mitigate the potential negative effects of the overall scale of development proposed for the plan period.

DRAFT

**Table A6.1 Summary of cumulative effects of Stroud District Local Plan Review Emerging Strategy Paper**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
Key issues	++/- ?	+	+	0	+	++	++	+?	+?	++	0	+	++	+	+?	++/- ?	++/- ?
Needs: local economy and jobs	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+/-	0	+	++	+/-	+?	++/-	++
Needs: town centres	+	+/-	0	0	+	++	0	+	0	++/-	0	0	+	++/-	0	+	++
Needs: housing	++	+/-	+	0	0	+/-	0	0	0	+/-	0	+/-	+/-	+/-	+?	0	0
Needs: green spaces and community facilities	-?	++	0	0	+	+	++	+	+	++	0	+	0	+	0	0	-?
Vision for the district	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+
Strategic Objective SO1	++	++	++	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+
Strategic Objective SO1a	0	++	++	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Strategic Objective SO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	++
Strategic Objective SO3	0	0	0	+	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+
Strategic Objective SO4	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	++	0	0	0

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
Strategic Objective SO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	++	++	++	++	++	0	0
Strategic Objective SO6	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	++	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Emerging growth strategy	++	++/-	++/-	0	+	++/-	--?	-?	+?/--?	+	-	-	+/--	+	+?	++/-	++/-
Settlement boundaries	+/-	0	0	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+/-	0
Vision for the Stroud Valleys	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	+
PS01	+	-/+	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	--/+?	0	--?	-	+	0	+?	+	+?
PS02	+	+/--?	0	0	+	+	--?	--?	--/+?	0	--?	-	+	0	+?	+?	+?
PS03	+	-/+	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	+	--?	--	-	0	0	+	+?
PS04	+	-/+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
PS05	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
PS06	+	+/--?	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	0	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
PS07	+	-/+	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
PS08	0	++	0	0	+	0	--?	--?	-/+?	+	--?	-?	+	0	+?	+	+
PS10	+	-/+	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	--/+?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
PS11	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	--/+?	++	--?	-	+	0	+?	+	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
PS12	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	-/+?	++	--?	0	+	0	+	++/--	+
PS13	+	++/--?	0	0	+	++	--?	?	--/+?	+	--?	-	+	0	+	+	+++
Vision for the Stonehouse cluster	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	+
PS15	+	-/++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	+
PS16	+	-/++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	+
PS17	+	++/--?	0	0	+	++	--?	?	0	-	--?	0	+	0	+	++	+++
PS18	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	?	0	-	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+
PS19	++	-/++	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	--	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+
PS20	0	--?/++	0	0	0	--/+	-?	--?	--	+	--?	--	--	0	0	++	++
Vision for Cam and Dursley	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	+
PS21	+	-/+	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	--	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+
PS22	+	-/++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	-/+?	0	--?	-	--	0	0	+	-?
PS23	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	?	0	+	--?	--?	--?	0	0	+	+
PS24	++	-/+	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	?	--	--?	-	--	0	0	++	-?
PS25	+	-/++	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	--	--?	--	--?	0	0	++	+
PS26	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	-?	0	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+++



SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
PS27	0	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	-/+?	+	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+
PS28	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	-	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	++	+?
PS29	+	-/++	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
Vision for the Gloucester Fringe	+	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	+
PS30	+	-/++	0	0	0	--/+	-?	?	0	--	0	--	--	0	0	+	-?
PS31	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	?	0	+	0	--?	--?	0	0	++	++
PS32	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	?	0	+	0	-?	--?	0	0	+	+
G1	++	+/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	-?	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	+	+?
G2	++	-/+	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	-	--	0	--	--	0	0	++	-?
Vision for the Berkeley Cluster	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	+
PS33	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	-	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+?
PS34	+	++/--?	0	0	+	+	--?	-?	--/+?	--	0	-	+	0	+?	+?	+?
PS35	+	++/--?	0	0	+	--/+	--?	?	0	-	0	0	+	0	+?	--	+?
PS36	++	++/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	-	--	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+++?
PS37	++	-/++	0	0	0	+	-?	?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
Vision for the Severn Vale	0	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	+

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
Vision for the Wotton Cluster	0	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	+
PS38	+	-/++	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	0	--	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
PS39	+	-/++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+/--	+?
PS40	0	++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	-?	0	-	--?	0	0	0	++
Vision for the Cotswold Cluster	+	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+
PS41	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?

DRAFT

DRAFT

## **Appendix 7**

Detailed SA Matrices for site allocations in the Draft Plan and the Pre-submission Draft Plan

DRAFT

## Sites Allocations in the Draft Local Plan and the Pre-submission Draft Plan

### PS01: Brimscombe Mill, Brimscombe and Thrupp (dwellings, employment, community uses and open space)

(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS01 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and in Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/+	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor play space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open spaces.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal -

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that local biodiversity is conserved and enhanced.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that locally distinctive design measures are incorporated. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting; however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design of development which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	+/--?	+/--?	+/--?	The majority of this site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that heritage assets are conserved and enhanced.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	0	The majority of this site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address flood risk; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that flood risk is not increased on or off site.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. <b>This site included employment uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes employment uses. However, the amount of employment land to be included at both stages would be less than 10ha.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>This site included employment uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes employment uses. However, the amount of employment land to be included at both stages would be less than 10ha.</b>

**PS02: Brimscombe Port, Brimscombe and Thrupp (dwellings, canal related tourism, employment, community uses and open space)**

*(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS02 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and in the Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity 150 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	--/+?	--/++?	--/++?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor play space, but there is green space within the site that could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS02 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open space uses.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS02 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	--/+?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (green space) which could be lost to development.



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS02 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that local biodiversity is conserved and enhanced.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB. <b>The site allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS02 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that locally distinctive design measures are incorporated. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of setting; however, the policy does not directly address landscape impacts and effects will be dependent upon the specific design of development which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS02 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that heritage assets are conserved and enhanced.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address flood risk; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS02 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that development does not increase flood risk on or off site.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+?	+?	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use. <b>This site included canal related tourism uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes employment uses and provides for the reinstatement of the canal. However, the amount of employment land to be included at both stages is less than 10ha.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land. <b>This site included canal related tourism uses in line with the Site Allocation Policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS02 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes employment uses and provides for the reinstatement of the canal. However, the amount of employment land to be included at both stages is less than 10ha.</b>

**PS05: East of Tobacconist Road, Minchinhampton (dwellings, community uses, open space and strategic landscaping)**

**PS05a: East of Tobacconist Road, Minchinhampton (safeguarded land)**

*(Sites PS05 and PS05a are included in Pre-submission Draft Plan and are equivalent to PS05 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and in the Draft Plan. PS05 in Pre-submission Draft Plan is allocated for housing while PS05a is safeguarded as land with potential to meet the future housing needs of Minchinhampton, if required, to be decided the next Local Plan review.)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05)	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05a)	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	+	<p>This site has capacity for 80 homes.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan and Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan did not change the effect expected. Site PS05a will also support housing delivery in Stroud, however, any housing delivery will occur in the longer term.</b></p>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	++	<p>The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m. The site would include an area of open space.</p> <p><b>Site PS05 included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open spaces. It is unknown if safeguarded site PS05a would provide new open space. However, if homes are provided at the site in the longer term, new residents would be within 400-800m of a GP, 800m of an area of open space and adjacent to a cycle route.</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05)	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05a)	Justification
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (for site PS05 or PS05a).</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (for site PS05 or PS05a).</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>Site PS05 included land for a community building in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan.. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses at the site. Site PS05a is safeguarded to support longer term housing provision in the area and is not expected to provide new community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	+	This site is at a second tier settlement. This site would include community uses but is not within a town centre. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (for site PS05 or PS05a).</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-submission Draft</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05)	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05a)	Justification
					<p>Plan requires that local biodiversity is conserved and enhanced. Site PS05a is safeguarded to support longer term housing provision in the area. Without requirement to address impacts on nearby biodiversity sites there is potential for longer term development to affect these designations.</p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	+--?	--?	<p>This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB. <b>Site PS05 included strategic landscaping in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes strategic landscaping, locally distinctive design and minimisation of impacts within the Cotswolds AONB. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting. Site PS05a is safeguarded to support longer term housing provision in the area. There is potential for longer term development to have impacts on landscape character (including that of the AONB) .</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	+/--?	--	<p>This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that heritage assets</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05)	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05a)	Justification
					are conserved and enhanced. Site PS05a is safeguarded to support longer term housing provision in the area. There is potential for longer term development to have impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	0	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (for site PS05 or PS05a).</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (for site PS05 or PS05a).</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	+/-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address flood risk; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of the site to be accompanied by a sustainable drainage solution. Site PS05a is safeguarded to support longer term housing provision in the area. Development of further housing in the longer term on this area of greenfield land would increase the area of impermeable surfaces in Stroud.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05)	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05a)	Justification
					<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (both sites PS05 and PS05a fall within areas of Grade 3 agricultural land).</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (for site PS05 or PS05a).</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (both sites PS05 and PS05a fall within areas of Grade 3 agricultural land).</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site. <b>Site PS05 did not include employment uses in the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-Submission Draft Plan also does not include employment uses. Site PS05a is safeguarded to support longer term housing provision in the area without any employment provision.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>Site PS05 did not include employment uses in the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-Submission Draft Plan also does not include employment uses. Site PS05a is safeguarded to support longer term housing</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05)	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05a)	Justification
					<b>provision in the area without any employment provision.</b>

DRAFT



## PS06: The New Lawn, Nailsworth (dwellings, community and open space uses)

(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS06 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and in Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan also included a site capacity of 80 homes. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 now allocates the site for 90 dwellings.</b>
SA 2: Health	+/--?	++/--?	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. However, the site contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open spaces. The policy also requires that existing community and sporting uses at the site are partly retained or replaced elsewhere.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a second tier settlement. This site would include community uses but is not located within a town centre. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of High Wood and Bowlas Wood KWS and Bunting Hill KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that local biodiversity is conserved and enhanced.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that landscape enhancements are incorporated considering the proximity of the AONB. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also does not address the historic environment.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate). <b>The site did not include employment uses in the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 in the Pre-Submission Draft Plan also does not include employment uses.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>The site did not include employment uses in the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 in the Pre-Submission Draft Plan also does not include employment uses.</b>

**PS07: North of Nympsfield Road/Nortonwood junction, Nailsworth (dwellings, community uses, open space and strategic landscaping)**

*(Site is equivalent to PS07 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. This site was included in the Draft Local Plan, but is not included in the Pre-submission Draft Plan.)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. The site would include an area of open space. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a second tier settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	The site is within 250m of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of High Wood & Bowlas Wood KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB. <b>Development at the site was to incorporate strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate). <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>

**PS10: Cheapside, Stroud (dwellings and town centre uses (including improved rail/bus interchange and retention and improvement of community uses)**

*(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS10 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and in Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 75 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS10 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centres uses and the retention and improvement of existing community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include town centre uses. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS10 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that high quality urban design is incorporated within the master plan. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the townscape; however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design of development which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS10 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the site's location within the Town Centre Conservation Area as a key issue to be addressed.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	++	++	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan; however Local Sites Allocation Policy PS10 improved includes the provision of an improved rail/bus interchange, improved access to the station and the provision of sufficient public parking. These improvements could enhance access to public transport and strengthen the potential for modal shift.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes. <b>The site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS10 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. <b>The site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS10 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities which allow for work-based learning and skills development.</b>



## PS11: Merrywalks Arches, Merrywalks, Stroud (dwelling and town centre uses)

(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS11 in the Emerging Strategy and Paper Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS11 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. This site would include town centre uses. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS11 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that heritage assets are conserved and enhanced. Development should also address the site's location within the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	++	++	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land which is mostly outside of flood zone 3a and 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address flood risk; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS11 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that flood risk should not be increased on or off site.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes. <b>The site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p><b>included in the Draft Plan . Local Sites Allocation Policy PS11 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities.</b></p>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	<p>The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy.</p> <p><b>The site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan . Local Sites Allocation Policy PS11 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities which allow for work-based learning and skills development.</b></p>

DRAFT

**PS12: Police station/ Magistrates Court, Parliament St, Stroud (dwellings and town centre uses)**

(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS12 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and the Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS12 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include town centre uses. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	-/+?	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS12 includes the site's proximity to Stroud Town conservation area as a key issue to be addressed.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	++	++	++	The site scored 45 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++/--	++/--	++/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Fromside Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p><b>The site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS12 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities.</b></p>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	<p>The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.</p> <p><b>The site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan . Local Sites Allocation Policy PS12 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities which allow for work-based learning and skills development.</b></p>

DRAFT

**PS13: Central river / canal corridor, Stroud (dwellings, canal related tourism, employment, community and open space uses)**

*(Site is equivalent to PS13 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. This site was included in the Draft Local Plan, but is not included in the Pre-submission Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++/--?	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, although it includes a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of three Key Wildlife Sites (Gannicox Toad Pond, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries). The site also contains a GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	Most of the site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for a large portion of the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	The majority of the site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	The site is on brownfield land which contains large areas of flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+?	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes. While the site is over 10ha in size, considering the other uses to be provided it is unlikely that 10ha of employment land would be provided. The site is currently in employment use. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	+++	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, St Matthew's C of E Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy, as well as Marling School (College) and Stroud High School (College). This site is likely to deliver less than 10ha of employment land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>



**STR065: Beeches Green Health Centre (20 dwellings, healthcare and extra care accommodation)**

(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to STR065 in the Additional Housing Options consultation)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes. The site is expected to provide special care housing facilities which could help meet specific needs in the plan area. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy STR065 now allocates the site for 20 dwellings.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route. The site would also deliver new healthcare provisions. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy STR065 now allocates the site to include healthcare and extra care accommodation.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or by the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0/+?	0/+?	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA heritage assessment also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.

			<b>Local Sites Allocation Policy STR065 requires the enhancement of the settings of Listed Buildings adjacent to the site.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	++	++	The site scored 45 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	The site is located within 600m of several key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; Lodgemore & Fromehall Mills; and Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

**PS16: South of Leonard Stanley Primary School, Leonard Stanley (dwellings and open space uses)**

*(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS16 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and the Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. The site would include open space. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS16 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy but is not located within a town centre. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS16 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community uses.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS16 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that development conserves existing hedgerows and trees adjacent; however impacts will depend greatly on the design of development and these measures are not expected to directly address the potential adverse impacts on nearby biodiversity designations.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken for new urban extensions as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS16 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that existing hedgerows and trees on the boundary with Bath Road should be retained and enhanced where possible. This may potentially limit some impacts on the local landscape setting; however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design of development which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	-	-	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

## PS42: Land off Dozule Close, Leonard Stanley (residential and open space uses)

(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper. However, site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS42 in the Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	+/-	++/-	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. The policy text also required that open space to the southwest of the site and adjoining Marsh Lane should be retained as development is provided. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS42 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open space uses and retention of the majority of the southern part of the site in open space uses.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS42 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan but is not within a town centre. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS42 in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan does not include community uses.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	0?	<p>The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS16 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that development conserves existing hedgerows and trees; however, impacts will depend greatly on the design of development .</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	<p>This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken for new urban extensions as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities. It is within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS42 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that existing hedgerows and trees should be retained and enhanced where possible. This may potentially limit some impacts on the local landscape setting; however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design of development which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	<p>This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	0	<p>The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	<p>The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	--	--	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>



**PS17: Magpies site, Oldends Lane, Stonehouse (dwellings and community uses (including car parking and landscaping))**

*(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan includes additional land to the north compared to PS17 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++/--?	++/--?	+/--?	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. The site would include open space. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan it required the delivery of open space. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS17 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include open space..</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan . Local Sites Allocation Policy PS17 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include community uses but is not within a town centre. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	--?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	<p>This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 43. The work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500-1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at eight homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS17 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes landscaping as part of the development proposals. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of local landscape setting; however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design of development, which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	<p>This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	+	<p>The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	<p>The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	+++	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

**PS19a: Stonehouse North West (dwellings, primary school, community, open space, employment uses and landscaping buffer)**

*(Site boundary updated from that presented for PS19 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. However, site boundary for PS19a in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS19a in Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	++	This site has capacity for 650 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan now allocates the site for 700 homes (including 30% affordable dwellings).</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required open space to be provided at the site. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open spaces and requires a contribution towards a new surgery at Stonehouse.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	+	This site is on greenfield land. <b>The site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community and open space uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is not within or directly adjoining existing development within a Tier 1-4 settlement. However, the site is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse. <b>This site included community uses (and a primary school) in line with the Site</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p><b>Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan but is not located within a town centre. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses (and a primary school and contributions towards a secondary school, further education and new surgery).</b></p>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-/+?	+/-?	<p>The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.</p> <p><b>This site required the provision of green infrastructure in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires biodiversity net gain to be achieved and links between native hedgerows and hedges to be established to existing green infrastructure. It also required development to mitigate identified impacts of development upon the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar and Cotswold Beechwoods SAC sites.</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	<p>This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>Development was required through the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan to incorporate strategic landscaping. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the incorporation of a landscaping buffer. These requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design of development which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	--	+/--	++/--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>The site was required to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport in line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan prioritises walking and cycling and access to public transport, requires the incorporation of vehicle charging points and contribution to the re-opening of Stonehouse Bristol Road rail station. The improvements required through the Pre-submission Draft Plan are substantially stronger than those included in the Draft Plan. It is expected that benefits could result in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	+/--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan; however, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by the Development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	+/-	The majority of this site is on greenfield land which is outside of flood zone 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan; however, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the acceptable management and disposal of surface water, including by the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS).</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes but less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. It is not currently at a Tier 1-4 settlement but is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse. <b>It was specified in the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan that the site would deliver 5 ha of employment land. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan clarifies that 5 ha of office, B2 and B8 employment land and ancillary uses are to be provided at the site.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	++	++	The site is within 800m of at least one secondary school but it is not located within 800m of a primary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land. <b>It was specified in the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan that the site would deliver 5 ha of employment land. It would also provide for a new primary school. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan clarifies that 5 ha of office, B2 and B8 employment land and ancillary uses are to be provided at the site. A new primary school and contributions towards a secondary school and further education are also be provided.</b>

**PS20: Eco Park M5 Junction 13, Stonehouse (employment, sports stadium, fitness centre, sport pitches, canal, care village, hotel, open space uses and landscaping buffer)**

*(Site boundary updated from that presented for PS20 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. However, site boundary for PS20 in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS20 in Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	+	This site would not result in delivery of new homes in the District. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes a 70 bed care village to be located adjacent to Westend/Great Oldbury.</b>
SA 2: Health	--?/++	--?/++	--?/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route. This route runs through the site however meaning that it could be lost to development. The site would include an area of open space. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan included the requirement for sports facilities and open space uses at the site. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes a fitness centre, sports pitches, open space uses and walking and cycling routes.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	+	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included sports facilities and open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 also includes sports facilities and open space uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	--/+	--/+	--/+	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington). It is not within a town centre.



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p><b>This site included sports facilities in line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes a fitness centre, hotel and sports pitches. It does not include any new retail provisions or a new local centre.</b></p>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	<p>The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan did not consider biodiversity. However, Strategic Site Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the provision of accessible natural green space with net gains to local biodiversity and the provision of new native hedgerows and trees.</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	+/-?	<p>This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>It was required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes structural landscaping buffering along the M5, A419 and adjacent to residential properties at Westend and Chipman’s Platt, with incorporation of existing and new hedgerows and trees. The more stringent policy requirement may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	+/-	<p>This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan did not include consideration</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>of the historic environment. However, Strategic Site Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that the layout of development uses, density and built form and character has less than substantial harm on the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area (IHCA). The policy requires that any business use development is located outside of the IHCA, so as to reduce any harm. Furthermore, the policy also requires delivery of the canal cut, towpath and operational uses as part of the Stroudwater Canal restoration to mitigate the impacts of development to the south of the A419 on the IHCA.</p>
SA 10: Air quality	+	+	++	<p>The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan required development at the site to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport. Strategic Site Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the incorporation of walking and cycling routes and contributions to the enhancement of off-site walking and cycling routes to key destinations including Stonehouse town centre and railway and to Stroud. Additionally, in terms of public transport, the policy includes contributions to support sustainable transport measures on the A38 and A419 and to the re-opening of Stonehouse Bristol Road rail station. A multi-modal travel interchange is also to be provided. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.</b></p>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	+/--?	<p>The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan; however, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure to tackle</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>wastewater generated by the development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on greenfield land and contains a large area of land within flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan did not include requirements relating to flood risk. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires acceptable management and disposal of surface water, including by the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS).</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	This site would deliver employment land as part of mixed use development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan included the delivery of 10ha of employment land to be provided at the site. Strategic Site Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the delivery of 10ha of business use employment land associated with the green technology and low carbon sector, including office, B2, B8 and ancillary uses. Furthermore, the policy includes the delivery of a sports stadium, fitness centre and hotel which will have some employment uses.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	++	++	++	This site would deliver employment land as part of mixed use development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan included 10ha of employment land to be provided at the site. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 in the Pre-</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p><b>submission Draft Plan also includes the delivery of 10ha employment land, a sports stadium, a fitness centre and a hotel.</b></p>

DRAFT

**PS21: Land adjacent to Tiltdown House, Cam (dwellings, community and open space uses)**

*(Site boundary has been updated from that presented for PS21 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. This site was included in the Draft Local Plan, but is not included in the Pre-submission Draft Plan.)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/+	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
			<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate). <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>

**PS24: West of Draycott, Cam (dwellings, community, primary school, open space uses and landscaping buffer)**

*(Site boundary updated from that presented for PS24 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. However, site boundary for PS24 in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS24 in Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	++	This site has capacity for 700 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan now allocated 900 dwellings (including 30% affordable dwellings).</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan included open space uses. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open space uses as well as contributions to the extension of existing health facilities at Cam. Furthermore, the policy also requires contributions to off-site indoor sports and leisure facilities. These requirements could strengthen the significant positive effect recorded.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	+	This site is on greenfield land. <b>The Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy included community uses. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. <b>The site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p><b>the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the delivery of a 2 form entry primary school and contributions to secondary school and further education provision. The policy requirements also include community uses and contributions to off-site indoor sports and leisure facilities.</b></p>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-/+?	+/-?	<p>The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the provision of green infrastructure. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the provision of natural green space providing net gains to local biodiversity as well on site (and potentially off site) work to mitigate against the impacts of development on the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	<p>This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that the site incorporates strategic landscaping. Strategic Site Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that a structural landscaping buffer is incorporated along the northern and western boundaries incorporating existing and new native hedgerows and trees. The more stringent policy requirement may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	<p>The site comprises sites CAM013, CAM025 and CAM026 which were screened out of the SALA heritage assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that the layout, density and</b></p>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>built form and character of the development conforms to the Cam Neighbourhood Plan Design Code.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	--	--/+	--/+	Land within the site scored between 65 and 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. Adopting a precautionary approach the most adverse impacts relating to transport have informed the overall effect recorded for the site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required development to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes a layout which prioritises walking and cycling and access to public transport. This is to be achieved through new walking and cycling routes, provision of pedestrian and cycle crossing on the A4135 to Cam and Dursley station and Cam local centre, contributions to sustainable transport measures on the A38 and A4135 and a new bus route and bus stops. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	+/--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by the Development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	+/-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the acceptable management and disposal of surface water, including by the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS).</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	+?	+?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan included land for the development for a primary school. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 includes the delivery of a 2 form entry primary school and contributions to secondary school and further education provision.</b>

## PS25: East of River Cam, Cam (dwellings, community and open space uses)

(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS25 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 180 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also makes provision for approximately 180 dwellings, which will include 30% affordable dwellings.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. This site would include an area of open space. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open space uses, as well as accessible natural green space, public outdoor playing space. and the policy also requires contributions towards off-site indoor sports and leisure facilities and the extension of existing health facilities at Cam.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	+	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p><b>in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses, as well as contributions towards off-site indoor sports and leisure facilities and education provision within the local area.</b></p>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-/+?	<p>The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the incorporation of natural green space providing a net gain to local biodiversity. New native hedgerows and trees are also to be provided onsite.</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	<p>This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape issues. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will include structural landscaping buffer incorporating existing and new native hedgerows and trees along the eastern boundary. The more stringent policy requirement may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	<p>This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that the layout, density and built form and</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>character of the development conforms to the Cam Neighbourhood Plan Design Code.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	--	--/+	--/+	Much of the site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>Development at the site was expected to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also priorities walking and cycling access over the use of private vehicles and includes a strategic walking and cycling route which links up with the route along the disused railway line from Box Road and connects with Cam local centre and improves connectivity to the south. It also includes the enhancement of passenger facilities at Cam &amp; Dursley station.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	+/--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by the Development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	+/-	The site is on greenfield land and falls mostly outside of flood zone 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the acceptable management and disposal of surface water including sustainable drainage systems (SuDS).</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that the developer makes a contribution to education provision within the local area, although no new education facilities would be delivered onsite.</b>

## PS27: 1-25 Long Street, Dursley (town centre uses)

(Site boundary updated from that presented for PS27 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. However, site boundary for PS27 in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS27 in Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	0	This site is proposed for town centre uses. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	There is a GP within 400m of the site. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Site options providing town centre uses will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Site options providing town centre uses will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement and would provide town centre uses. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan given that at both stages town centre uses were to be delivered.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-/+?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS27 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to safeguard and enhance local biodiversity.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	-/+?	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS27 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to conserve and enhance heritage assets.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 200m of a cycle route and within 400m of a number of bus stops. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include any requirements which would have substantial implications for air quality. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS27 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to enhance pedestrian access, which is likely to encourage walking and reduce the use of private vehicles.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	This site would include town centre uses which are likely to provide some employment opportunities. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan, given that at both stages town centre uses were to be provided at the site.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+	+	+	This site would include town centre uses which are likely allow for work based training opportunities as part of employment opportunities which come forward. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan, given that at both stages town centre uses were to be provided at the site.</b>

**PS28: The Old Dairy/ Land off Prospect Place, Dursley (dwellings, open space, and town centre uses)**

(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS28 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open space uses.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community uses, however, the development of this brownfield land will still help to promote local regeneration.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include town centre uses. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan. Town centre uses were included for deliver at the site at both stages.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and within 250m of Hermitage

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				Wood KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to safeguard and enhance local biodiversity.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	+/-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to conserve and enhance heritage assets.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	++	++	++	The site scored 47 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not set out any requirements which might affect the potential to improve air quality. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes improvements to the existing pedestrian access from Parsonage Street, which is likely to encourage walking and reduce the use of private vehicles.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. <b>This site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan, would likely provide some employment opportunities. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school. <b>The site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. This is likely to help create work based training opportunities as part of employment opportunities which come forward. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses.</b>

## HAR017: Land at Sellars Road (dwellings and open space)

(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to HAR017 in the Additional Housing Options consultation)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 11 to 15 homes. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy HAR017 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan allocates the site for 10 homes.</b>
SA 2: Health	+/-	++/-	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy HAR017 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of open space at the site.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 36. This work identified area 36 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

SA 9: Historic environment	-	+/-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy HAR017 requires the undertaking of a precautionary archaeological evaluation to support proposals for the site, which may help to mitigate potential adverse impacts on the historic environment.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	+/-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy HAR017 requires that proposals for the site address the need for surface water management.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	--	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

**PS30: Hunts Grove extension, Gloucester fringe (dwellings, local centre (with local retail and community uses), primary school, greenspace and landscaping buffer)**

(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS30 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	++	This site has capacity for 750 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will require the provision of an additional 750 dwellings, including 225 affordable dwellings, as part of the Hunts Grove extension.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. The site would include open space. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the provision of accessible natural greenspace and outdoor playing space.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	+	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+/--	+/--	+/--	This site is not within or directly adjoining development within a Tier 1-4 settlement. However, it adjoins the committed Hunts Grove development area outlined in the adopted Local Plan for the Hunts Grove Extension. As set out in the adopted Local

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>Plan and Settlement Role and Function Study Update 2018 Hunts Grove is anticipated to become a Tier 2 settlement, however, this will only be the case once development is completed. Furthermore, while the site would include community uses it is not within a town centre.</p> <p><b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan . Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the delivery of new community uses. These are to be provided alongside local retail uses as part of a new local centre to meet the day-to-day needs of the Hunts Grove new community</b></p>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	<p>The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which would help to address biodiversity issues in the area. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 requires that natural greenspace is incorporated at the site and that new native hedgerows and trees are also to be provided.</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	?	?	<p>This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes structural landscaping buffer around the southern and western boundaries of the development incorporating existing hedgerows and trees. These requirements may potentially limit</b></p>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	+/-	The site scored 75 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements that would greatly impact local air quality. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will encourage the use of public and sustainable modes of transport, including by incorporating bus stops and shelters and safeguarding land for the provision of a potential future railway station..</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	+	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not require measures which would help to address water quality. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the provision of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	+/-	The site is on greenfield land and lies mostly outside of flood zone 3a and 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not require measures which would help to flood risk. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that no built development will be located in Flood Zones 2, 3a or 3b and that development supports opportunities to reduce the overall level of flood risk in the area.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Quedgeley Trading Estate East; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	+/-?	+/-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school. <b>This site included land for the development for a primary school in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan .</b> <b>The Local Sites Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the development of a primary school.</b>

## PS32: Quedgeley East Extension (employment uses and landscaping buffer)

(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS32 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	+	+	+	The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. The work identified the area as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500-5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a different type of development than the site is to be developed

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>for and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping . Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS32 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the provision of a strategic landscape buffer along the western, southern and eastern boundaries of the site. These requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	<p>This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	+	<p>The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address local air quality. The Local Sites Allocation Policy PS32 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the provision of sustainable transport measures to link the site with Gloucester City, Stonehouse and Stroud. The requirements at the site do not include more substantial measures which might otherwise strengthen the positive effect recorded in relation to air quality.</b></p>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	0	<p>The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	<p>The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.</p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	This site is less than 10ha in size. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site would allow for 5ha of employment land. The Local Sites Allocation Policy PS32 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also provides for 5ha of employment land, allocated for office, B2 and B8 employment uses.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+	+	+	This site is less than 10ha in size. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site would allow for 5ha of employment land. The Local Sites Allocation Policy PS32 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also provides for 5ha of employment land, allocated for office, B2 and B8 employment uses.</b>

### PS43: Javelin Park, Gloucester fringe (employment uses and landscape buffer)

(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper. PS43 was equivalent to part of option HFD012 in Draft Plan and has been extended further to the south in Pre-submission Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	+	+	+	This site is located within 800m of a green space. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Non-residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Non-residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	0	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	---?	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. This work identified area 40 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a different type of development than that proposed for the site

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
	-	-	-	<p>and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address impacts on landscape character. Employment Allocation Policy PS43 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the provision of a strategic landscape buffer along the western, southern and eastern boundaries of the development. This requirement may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	<p>This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	+	<p>The site is located within 400m of a number of bus stops but is not within 1.0km of railway station.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address air quality. Employment Allocation Policy PS43 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will provide sustainable transport measures to link the site with Gloucester City, Stonehouse and Stroud. This is likely to help reduce the use of private vehicles. The requirements at the site do not include more substantial measures which might otherwise strengthen the positive effect recorded in relation to air quality.</b></p>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	0	<p>The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	<p>The site is on greenfield land mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.</p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and contains a significant portion of Grade 2 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address waste management at the site. Employment Allocation Policy PS43 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that development will not harm the operation of adjacent waste management infrastructure. However, these requirements are not expected to improve rates of recycling in the District.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	+	++	The site option previous allowed for development more than 10ha of employment land. <b>The site allowed for the development of 9ha of employment land in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Employment Allocation Policy PS43 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan allocates the site for 27ha of office, B2 and B8 employment uses.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	++	+	++	The site option previous allowed for development more than 10ha of employment land. <b>The site allowed for the development of 9ha of employment land in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Employment Allocation Policy PS43 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan allocates the site for 27ha of office, B2 and B8 employment uses.</b>



**G1: South of Hardwicke (dwellings, local centre (including local retail and community uses), primary school, natural green space and landscaping buffer)**

*(Site in Pre-submission Plan is equivalent to G1 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	++	This site has been identified as having potential to contribute towards future housing needs. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site may have potential to contribute towards future housing needs (approximately 1,200 dwellings) and so was included within the Draft Local Plan for the purposes of public consultation. Strategic Site Allocation G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan makes provision for approximately 1,350 homes, including 30% affordable dwellings.</b>
SA 2: Health	+/--?	++/--?	++/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a green space and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the provision of accessible natural green space and public outdoor playing space. It also requires land for a new surgery or contribution to the extension of existing health facilities at Kingsway.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	++	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>Local Sites Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses. These are to be provided as part of new local centre which will also incorporate local retail.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses, as well as a new surgery or contribution to the extension of existing health facilities at Kingsway.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--/+?	--/+?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development. <b>This site required the provision of green infrastructure in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to include natural green space to provide a net gain to local biodiversity and work to mitigate against the identified impacts of development upon the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar and Cotswold Beechwoods SAC sites.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	The majority of the site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				development to include a structural landscaping buffer along the western, southern and eastern boundaries and to incorporate existing and new native hedgerows and trees. The more stringent policy requirement may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	-	+/-	+/-	The majority of the site scored between 70 and 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required development to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport over the use of private car. In line with the Strategic Site Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, the site is to be developed to make provision for walking and cycling routes to key destinations and access to public transport. Contributions will also be required to sustainable transport measures. These requirements are likely to contribute to reducing the use of private vehicles.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	+	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include measures which address water quality. Local Sites Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the incorporation of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by the development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on greenfield land and contains an area of land within flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include measures which address water quality.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>Strategic Site Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to include measures for the management and disposal of surface water including sustainable drainage systems (SuDS).</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	++?	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>The site provided for a new primary school as part of the mixed use development in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan . Local Sites Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will include provision for a new primary school, as well as contributions towards secondary school and further education provision.</b>

**G2: Land at Whaddon, Gloucester fringe (dwellings, local centre (including retail and community uses), employment, primary schools, multi-modal travel interchange hub, natural green space and landscaping buffer)**

*(Site in Pre-submission Plan is equivalent to G2 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	++	<p>This site has been identified as having potential to meet Gloucester’s unmet housing needs.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that approximately 2,500 dwellings could be provided at the site. The delivery would be subject to the allocation being consistent with the approved strategy of the Joint Core Strategy Review being prepared by Gloucester City Council, Cheltenham Borough Council and Tewkesbury Borough Council. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan safeguards the site to help address the future housing needs of Gloucester City, if required. If required at least 3,000 dwellings (30% affordable) are to be provided at the site to address tenure, type and size of dwellings needed within the Gloucester City area. Land within the site is also safeguarded for 8 plots for travelling showpeople.</b></p>
SA 2: Health	+/-	++/-	++/-	<p>The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Country Park, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the incorporation of open space uses. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes a site for a new healthcare surgery or contributions to the extension of existing healthcare facilities, the incorporation of natural green space and contributions to off-site indoor sports facilities</b></p>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	<p>Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.</p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	++	This site is on greenfield land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the delivery of community uses at the site. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the delivery of community uses. These are to be provided as part of new local centre which will also incorporate local retail and employment.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	Although this site is at a fourth tier settlement it is adjacent to Quedgeley and Gloucester across the local authority boundary. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the requirement to provide a 3FE primary school and a 2FE primary school and contributions to provision of a 3.5FE secondary school. The policy also includes delivery of a local centre, which will contain employment, retail and community uses.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	+/-?	+/-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Robin's Wood Hill Quarry SSSI. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the provision of green infrastructure. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires delivery of natural green space to provide biodiversity net gain, as well as on site (and off site if appropriate) mitigation of impacts of the development on the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar and Cotswold Beechwoods SAC sites.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of a structural landscaping buffer along the western, southern and eastern boundaries with incorporation of existing and new native hedgerows and trees. Furthermore, the policy also requires that the development layout, density and built form and character reflect the landscape context of the Cotswolds AONB. The more stringent policy requirement may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</p>
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	-/+	<p>This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that the layout, density and built form and character reflects the heritage context provided by local heritage assets including Whaddon Church.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	--	++/--	++/--	<p>The site scored 98 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan safeguarded land for a rail halt and bus terminal and prioritisation of walking, cycling, and public transport over the use of private car. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of a multi-modal travel interchange hub, new bus stops and contributions to enhance bus services. The layout of development is to prioritise walking and cycling. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	+	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by the development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on greenfield land and a large portion of this land falls within flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the acceptable management and disposal of surface water, including through the incorporation of SuDS.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	This site will provide more than 600 homes, but is likely to provide less than 10ha of employment land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan included the incorporation of retail uses which could provide some limited employment opportunities. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes a local centre incorporating employment and local retail uses, however, the amount of employment land to be provided is not set out.</b>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre- submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	++?	++?	<p>The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school. It is also likely to provide less than 10ha of employment land.</p> <p><b>The Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy provided for the development for both primary and secondary schools. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes a 3FE primary school and a 2FE primary school and contributions to provision of a 3.5FE secondary school. The local centre to be incorporated at the site is to include employment and retail uses.</b></p>

DRAFT

**PS33: North-west of Berkeley, Berkeley (dwellings, open space uses and strategic landscaping)**

*(Site in Pre-submission Plan is equivalent to PS33 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, in line with the Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, the site is expected to deliver 110 homes.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	This site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route. The site would include open space. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site would incorporate open space. In line with the Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, the site will incorporate open space uses (including a landscape park).</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS33 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community space uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a second tier settlement. <b>This site included community space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS33 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community space uses.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	<p>The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, the site will be delivered to safeguard and enhance local biodiversity.</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	<p>This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>In line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan development at the site was required to incorporate strategic landscaping. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, requires the site to incorporate strategic landscaping and a landscaped park and specifically sets out that development should minimise landscape impacts. These more stringent requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	<p>This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	+	<p>The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include measures which could benefit air quality. However, in line with Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, the site will make provision for new and enhanced footpath and cycle links to Berkeley. This could help promote the use of active modes of transport in the area</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>but would not encourage the use of public transport.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on greenfield land and a portion of this land falls within flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include measures which would address flood risk. In line with the Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, the site is to be developed so as not increase flood risk either on or off site.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

**BER016/017: Lynch Road, Berkeley (dwellings, open space uses and structural landscaping)**

*(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is a combination of sites BER016 and BER017 in the Additional Housing Options consultation. A portion of the south western area of this combination of sites has been removed)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	Both site BER016 and BER017 had capacity for less than 600 homes. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy BER016/017 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan sets out the delivery of 60 dwellings.</b>
SA 2: Health	+	++	Given the alterations to the site boundary it is now located between 400-800m of a GP surgery. The site is still located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace and a greenspace, but is now not located within 400m of a council play area or a National Cycle Network route. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy BER016/017 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes open space provision.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a second tier settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	<p>The majority of this site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>The Local Sites Allocation Policy BER016/017 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the provision of structural landscaping to integrate the development into the landscape. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of local landscape setting; however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design of development, which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	?	?	<p>It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	<p>Site BER016/017 scores 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	<p>The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 12: Flooding	-	+/-	<p>The site is now mostly on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.</p> <p><b>The Local Sites Allocation Policy BER016/017 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will seek to ensure that flood risk is not increased, either on or off site.</b></p>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	<p>The site is mostly on greenfield land. The site is mostly within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	<p>Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>

SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is mostly on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road). <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

DRAFT

**PS34: Sharpness Docks, Newtown and Sharpness (dock related industrial & distribution uses, dwellings, tourism, leisure, recreational uses and landscaping)**

(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS34 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 300 homes. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Plan sets out the delivery of 300 homes to include 90 affordable homes.</b>
SA 2: Health	++/--?	++/--?	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but includes a Council play area and protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. The site would include recreation uses. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Plan sets out that the site will include the delivery of community football pitch, community gardens and informal green space.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes community gardens.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft</b>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>Plan includes contributions towards education provision.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	+/--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI. It is also within 250m of Sharpness Docks Key Wildlife Site and Sharpness Cliffs Local Geological Site. The site also contains a GI asset (Council play area and protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the delivery of community gardens and informal green space, while existing hedgerows and trees are to form part of the landscaping for the site.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of landscaping with incorporation of existing trees and hedgerows. These more stringent requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting; however, impacts will be dependent on the specific design of development, which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	--	--	+/--	The site scored 97 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the delivery of cycle and pedestrian routes, traffic calming measures, new bus shelters and stops, contributions to bus services and safeguarding of the disused rail line so that it might be reinstated.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	+	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires contributions towards improvements to the wastewater and sewerage network.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on brownfield land and a large portion of this land falls within flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the acceptable management and disposal of surface water, including incorporation of SuDS.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+?	+	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land (dock related industrial and distribution uses) as part of mixed use development. The site is currently in employment use. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site would include 7ha expansion land for</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p><b>development of dock related industrial and distribution uses. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes 7ha expansion land for development of dock related industrial and distribution uses.</b></p>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	<p>The site is within 800m of Sharpness Primary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land (dock related industrial and distribution uses) as part of mixed use development.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site would include 7ha expansion land for development of dock related industrial and distribution uses. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes 7ha expansion land for development of dock related industrial and distribution uses.</b></p>

DRAFT

**PS35: Land at Focus School, Wanswell, Newtown and Sharpness (dwellings, community uses and open space)**

*(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS35 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 70 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++/--	++/-	++/-	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor play space which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that the site is developed as to ensure the retention and enhancement of existing open space within its boundaries. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the retention and enhancement of the existing open space and playing pitches.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that the site provides community uses. The Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the provision of community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	--/+	--/+	--/+	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Newtown and Sharpness). This site would include community uses but is not located within a town centre.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan given that the Site Allocation policy text in both include the provision of community uses.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	--?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Tintock Wood (including Pitbrook Brake & Penny Grove) KWS. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 52. The work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500-5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 70 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	--	--	--	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

**PS36: Sharpness new settlement, Newtown and Sharpness (dwellings, local centre (including employment, retail, community uses and surgery) primary school, open space uses, new rail station, express bus services, strategic green infrastructure and landscaping)**

*(Site boundary has been updated from that presented for PS36 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. The site boundary that was included in the Draft Local Plan is equivalent to that included in the Pre-submission Draft Plan.)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	++	The site has capacity for up to 2,400 homes by 2040. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out the delivery of 2,400 dwellings within the plan period (5,000 dwellings by 2050). Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also provides for the delivery of 2,400 dwellings within the plan period (5,000 dwellings by 2050 subject to Local Plan Review). The policy also details that 720 affordable dwellings are to be delivered. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help deliver a mix of housing types.</b>
SA 2: Health	++/--?	++/--?	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but includes a Council play area and a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site meaning that they could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space. <b>The site included community and open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community and open space uses, as well as sports built provision and a local centre incorporating local retail, surgery and other community uses.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	++	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses. These are to be provided as part of a new local centre which would also include local retail and a surgery.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. This site would include community uses but is not located within a town centre. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles which is likely to help promote access to these new facilities as part of the creation of vibrant, sociable neighbourhoods. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also requires the incorporation of a new local centre and the provision of ultrafast broadband which will improve access to services and facilities.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	+/--?	++/--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI as well as a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (a Council play area and a protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the site to be developed to incorporate green infrastructure. The requirement to deliver development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help promote the achievement of net biodiversity gains. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the incorporation of green infrastructure, including SANG, as well as the requirement to deliver a net gain to</b>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>local biodiversity. Impacts upon the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site are to be mitigated on and off site. Furthermore, a new managed new nature reserve is to be provided.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	+/--?	<p>This majority of the site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the incorporation of strategic landscaping. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 requires the incorporation of landscaping buffers to incorporate new native hedgerows and trees. The development is to respond to the landscape context within the Berkeley Vale. These more stringent requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting; however, impacts will be dependent on the specific design of development, which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	+/-	<p>The majority of the site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address impact on the historic environment. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 requires development achieve a layout, density and built form which responds to the heritage context within the Berkeley Vale.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	--	++/--	++/--	<p>Portions of the site score 67, 68, 70, 74 and 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.</p> <p><b>Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the site to be developed to allow for a new rail station and rapid bus services. The site was to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport over the use of private car in line with this draft</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>policy. Furthermore, the provision of new services and facilities at the site in line with the Garden Village Principles could help to reduce the need for residents to travel from the area on a regular basis. In line with Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, the site is expected to be developed to support sustainable transport measures, reduce car ownership and car usage, improve public transport permeability and enhance bus connections to key destinations including Bristol and Gloucester, as well as a new railway station and enhancements to the Sharpness branch line.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	+	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the incorporation of infrastructure (such as constructed wetlands) to tackle wastewater generated by the development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on greenfield land and a large portion of this land falls within flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires a strategy for mitigating flood risk including attenuating and disposing of surface water through SuDS.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	+?	+?	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan required delivery of development at the site in line with the</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p><b>Garden City Principles</b> which is likely to help promote the use of zero-carbon and energy-positive technology to ensure climate resilience. The <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy PS36</b> in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will also address zero carbon energy generation and carbon capture onsite.</p>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	<p>This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	<p>This site could deliver 2,400 homes and 10ha of employment land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan required delivery of development in line with the Garden City principles</b> which is likely to help ensure that a wide range of local jobs are provided within easy commuting distance of homes. The <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy PS36</b> in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also sets out that 10ha of B1, B2 and B8 employment land would be provided at the site. A new local centre is to be provided to incorporate employment uses.</p>
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	+++	+++	<p>This site is within 800m of Berkeley Primary School and Sharpness Primary School. The site could deliver 10ha of employment land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan required the delivery of land for the development of primary and secondary schools.</b> The delivery of development in line with the Garden City principles is likely to help ensure that a wide range of local jobs are provided within easy commuting distance of homes. The <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy PS36</b> in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the development of a primary school, as well as contributions towards the provision of a secondary school. The <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy PS36</b> in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also sets out that 10ha of B1, B2 and B8 employment land would be provided at the site.</p>

**PS37: New settlement at Wisloe, Wisloe (Slimbridge) (dwellings, employment, local centre (including retail, surgery and community uses), primary school, community, open space uses, improved bus services, strategic green infrastructure and landscaping)**

*(Site boundary has been updated from that presented for PS37 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. The site boundary that was included in the Draft Local Plan is equivalent to that included in the Pre-submission Draft Plan.)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	++	This site has capacity for up to 1,500 dwellings by 2040. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan required the delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles which is likely to help deliver affordable mixed-tenure homes and housing types. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also included this requirement and makes provision for 30% affordable dwellings.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space. <b>The site included community and open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help create healthy communities. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community and open space uses, as well as sports provision and a surgery.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	++	This site is on greenfield land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre- submission Draft Plan	Justification
				<p><b>This site included community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The site is to be delivery in line with the Garden City Principles which are expected to help promote access to these new facilities as part of the creation of vibrant, sociable neighbourhoods. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses. These are to be provided as part of a new local centre which would also include local retail and a surgery.</b></p>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	<p>This site lies between a tier 1 and tier 3b settlement. This site would include community uses but is not within a town centre.</p> <p><b>The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help promote access to these new facilities as part of the creation of vibrant, sociable neighbourhoods. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also requires the incorporation of a new local centre and the provision of ultrafast broadband which will improve access to services and facilities.</b></p>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-/+?	-/+?	<p>The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan required the site to be developed as to incorporate green infrastructure. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help promote the achievement of net biodiversity gains. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the incorporation of green infrastructure, as well as the requirement to deliver a net gain to local biodiversity.</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	<p>This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified the</p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Plan	Justification
				<p>area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the incorporation of strategic landscaping. Strategic Policy PS27 includes the requirement for landscaping buffers to prevent physical and visual coalescence with neighbouring villages and the development layout, density, built form and character to respond to the context of the Berkeley Vale. These more stringent requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting; however, impacts will be dependent on the specific design of development, which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	+	<p>This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address impacts on the historic environment. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 requires development achieve a layout, density and built form which responds to the heritage context within the Berkeley Vale.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	--	+/--	+/--	<p>The portions of the site scored 67, 76, 79 and 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.</p> <p><b>In line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan the site was to be developed to allow for connections to Cam and Dursley rail station and rapid bus services to the nearest main settlements . It was expected that development would promote walking, cycling, and public transport over the use of private car in line with this draft policy. Furthermore, the provision of new services and facilities at the site in line with the Garden Village Principles could help to reduce the need for residents to travel from the area on a</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Plan	Justification
				<p>regular basis. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, requires the site to be developed to support sustainable transport measures including the incorporation of new bus stops and shelters, improved bus services to key destinations and measures to reduce car ownership such as Mobility-as-a-Service. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.</p>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	+/--?	<p>The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.  <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address issues of water quality. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by the development</b></p>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	+/-	<p>The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.  <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address issues of flood risk. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the enactment of a strategy for attenuating and disposing of surface water through SuDS.</b></p>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	<p>The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3b agricultural land.  <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 14: Climate change	0	+	+	<p>Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.  <b>The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles, as required through the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan, is likely to help promote the use of zero-carbon and energy-positive technology to ensure climate resilience. Strategic</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Plan	Justification
	0	0	0	<p><b>Sites Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also requires onsite zero carbon energy generation and carbon capture achieved through tree planting.</b></p>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	<p>This site is on greenfield land.  <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 16: Employment	+	++	++	<p>This site would deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.  <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan set out that 5ha employment land would be provided at the site. The delivery of development in line with the Garden City principles is likely to help ensure that a wide range of local jobs are provided within easy commuting distance of homes. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also sets out that 5ha of office, B2 and B8 employment land would be provided at the site. The site would also deliver a local centre incorporating employment.</b></p>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	++	++	<p>The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary school. This site would deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.  <b>This site would include land for the development of primary school in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy also sets out that 5ha employment land would be provided at the site. The delivery of development in line with the Garden City principles is likely to help ensure that a wide range of local jobs are provided within easy commuting distance of homes. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the development of a primary school, as well as contributions towards secondary school and further education provision. Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also sets out that 5ha of office, B2 and B8 employment land would be provided at the site.</b></p>



DRAFT

**PS44: North west of Whitminster Lane, Frampton (residential and open space uses)**

*(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper but is equivalent to option FRA004. Site boundary for PS44 in Pre-submission Plan is reduced from that included in Draft Plan.)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site previously had capacity for 80 homes. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan provided for the development of 30 homes. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS44 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also provides for the development of 30 homes.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route and a cycle route. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out the incorporation of open space uses. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS44 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open space uses, as well as requiring the incorporation of the existing Public Right of Way into the development.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan included the delivery of community space uses. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS44 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community space uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a third tier settlement. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan included the delivery of community space uses. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS44 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community space uses.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI and within 250m of Saul Gravel Pits KWS and Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre- submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Frampton Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

DRAFT

**PS45: Land west of Upton's Garden, Whitminster (residential, strategic landscaping and open spaces uses)**

*(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper but is equivalent to option WHI004. Site boundary for PS45 in Pre-submission Plan is reduced from that included in Draft Plan.)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site previously had capacity for 10 homes <sup>132</sup> . <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan provided for the development of 10 homes. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS45 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also provides for the development of 10 homes.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS45 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open spaces.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS45 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community space uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>This site included community space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS45 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community space uses.</b>

<sup>132</sup> Please note that the total number of homes for site WHI004 was incorrectly reported as 30 in the SA Report for the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018).

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS45 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that local biodiversity is safeguarded and enhanced.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS45 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the requirement for strategic landscaping, as well as including particular reference to the minimisation of landscape impacts. The western half of the site is to be retained as open space. These more stringent requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	+/-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address heritage assets. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS45 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that heritage assets are conserved and enhanced through high quality design and retaining the western half of the site in open space uses.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	--	--	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

**PS46: Land west of School Lane, Whitminster (dwellings, strategic landscaping and open space uses)**

*(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper but is equivalent to part of option WHI005. Site boundary for PS46 in Pre-submission Plan is equivalent to that included in Draft Plan.)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site previously had capacity for 40 homes. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan provided for the development of 30 homes. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS46 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan now provides for the development of up to 40 dwellings.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan provided for the incorporation of open space uses. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS46 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also requires the incorporation of open spaces, as well as particular reference to the integration of the Public Right of Way along the northern site boundary.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan included the provision of community uses. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS46 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not require the provision of community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS46 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not require the delivery of community uses.</b>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>This site included strategic landscaping in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS46 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes strategic landscaping, as well as particular reference to addressing the minimisation of landscape impacts. These more stringent requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	--	--	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

DRAFT

**PS38: South of Wickwar Road, Kingswood (dwellings, strategic landscaping and open space uses)**

*(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS38 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (given that 50 dwellings were set out for allocation in both documents).</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. This site would include an area of open space. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (given that open space uses were required through both documents).</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS38 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not require the delivery of community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>This site included community space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS38 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include the requirement for community space uses. However, it includes reference to delivering highway safety improvements to access services within the village of Kingswood.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	+/-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS38 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that local biodiversity is conserved and enhanced.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>This site included strategic landscaping in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS38 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes strategic landscaping, as well as particular reference to integrating development into the landscape setting. These more stringent requirements may help to address impacts relating to landscape character, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	-	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

**PS47: Renishaw New Mills, Kingswood (employment uses and strategic landscaping)**

(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper but is equivalent to option KIN005. Site boundary for PS47 in Pre-submission Plan is equivalent to that included in Draft Plan.)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	+	+	+	This site is located within 800m of three green spaces. The site is located further than 400m of cycle route or PRow. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan .</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Non-Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Non-Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	0	0	This site contains a significant portion of greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	0	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	+/--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 55. This work identified area 55 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 – 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>assessment is based on a different type of development than the site would provide and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>This site included strategic landscaping in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Employment Allocation Policy PS47 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will incorporate structural landscaping buffers.</b></p> <p><b>Development at the site is to retain key open spaces with buildings sited as to minimise impacts on key views of and from the AONB. These more stringent requirements may help to address impacts relating to landscape character, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	+/--	<p>This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might help to address impacts on the historic environment. Employment Allocation Policy PS47 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the reuse the farm buildings of heritage value and siting of buildings to minimise impacts on heritage assets.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	+	<p>The site is located within 400m of a number of bus stops but is not within 1.0km of railway station.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might help to limit impacts on air quality. Employment Allocation Policy PS47 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires sustainable transport measures including contributions towards the completion of the Wotton – Charfield – Kingswood Greenway, the enhancement of local bus services and reopening of Charfield rail station.</b></p>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	0	<p>The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.</p>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	+/-	The site is on greenfield land and mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address impacts on flood risk. Employment Allocation Policy PS47 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to include positive strategy for attenuating and disposing of surface water through SuDS.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and contains a significant portion of Grade 2 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	+	++	The site previously had capacity for more than 10ha of employment land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site was to deliver 9ha of employment land. Employment Allocation Policy PS47 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan now provides for the development for 10ha of land for office, B2 and B8 employment uses.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	++	+	++	The site previously had capacity for more than 10ha of employment land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site was to deliver 9ha of employment land. Employment Allocation Policy PS47 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan now provides for the development for 10ha of land for office, B2 and B8 employment uses.</b>

**PS41: Washwell Fields, Painswick (dwellings and open space uses)**

*(Site in Pre-submission Plan is equivalent to PS41 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (given that 20 dwellings were set out for allocation in both documents). Local Sites Allocation Policy PS41 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that affordable housing at the site is provided for those with a local connection to address local housing needs within the AONB.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m. This site would include an area of open space. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (given that open space uses were required through both documents).</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>The site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS41 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 2 settlement. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS41 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community uses.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address impacts on biodiversity. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS41 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that development should address potential ecological impacts.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB. <b>This site included strategic landscaping in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS41 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not require strategic landscaping but requires that landscape impacts should be addressed. This requirement may help to address impacts relating to landscape character, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	+	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS41 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that development would conserve heritage interests and their setting.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is located in a Tier 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

## **Appendix 8**

### Summary of SA Findings for the Strategic Growth Options

DRAFT

Initially four potential growth options were set out in the Issues and Options consultation paper (October 2017), which covered a concentrated development approach, wider distribution, dispersal and a new growth point. The SA of these four options was presented to the Council in an internal summary note in August 2018. This work was considered by the Council when making decisions on what options to take forward in the Preferred Options ("Emerging Strategy") Local Plan (November 2018). The appraisal findings were then presented in the SA Report (November 2018)<sup>133</sup> which was published alongside that version of the Local Plan.

This work recommended that the Council consider a hybrid option of the options put forward at that time which would most resemble a 'concentrated development' approach (Option 1) but also including growth at one or two growth points and/or one or two of the smaller towns and larger villages as well. The hybrid option taken forward by the Council was subsequently appraised in the November 2019 SA Report<sup>134</sup> (through the appraisal of Policy CP2) which was published for consultation alongside the Draft Local Plan (November 2019).

Following the Draft Local Plan consultation, as part of the Additional Housing Options consultation in October 2020, the Council considered additional options for the growth strategy to be included in the Pre-submission Local Plan document. The new options built on the hybrid option presented in the Draft Local Plan (2019) and consider options for meeting the increased housing requirement over the plan period. There was potential for the housing need for the District to increase from 638 homes per annum as set out in the Draft Local Plan, to 786 homes per annum. The options considered also reflected the potential need to identify additional reserve sites, to ensure a suitable portfolio of sites is identified, thereby guaranteeing the delivery of housing at the rates required up to 2040.

In summary, the four strategic growth options initially considered for the Stroud Local Plan Review and appraised in August 2018 comprised:

- Option 1: Concentrated development - 5,550 dwellings and 30ha B class employment.
- Option 2: Wider distribution - 5,520 dwellings and 30ha B class employment.
- Option 3: Dispersal - 5,695 dwellings and 40ha B class employment.
- Option 4: Growth point - 6,010 dwellings and 40ha B class employment.

The Council's paper Local Plan Review: Developing a preferred strategy (revised March 2018) describes the options in more detail (including how much housing would be delivered in the broad locations making up the option) and was taken into account during the appraisal.

The hybrid option is as follows:

- Option 5: Draft Local Plan hybrid option - 8,725 dwellings and 61ha B class employment.

The level of housing development set out by the Council through the initial strategic growth options and the hybrid option differs for two main reasons.

Firstly, in autumn 2017, when the initial options were considered the Government had recently consulted on a new housing requirement methodology and indicative housing figures for each district. At that stage the Government had not yet published its final proposals with regard these matters and it was therefore assumed that the housing requirement for Stroud District would be the Government figure of 635 houses per annum (or 12,700 dwellings for the 20 year period). Since that time the Council has worked with adjoining authorities in Gloucestershire to prepare a Local Housing Needs Assessment<sup>135</sup>. This document identified the amount and types of housing that are likely to be needed during the Plan and confirmed the Government's updated requirement to provide for at least 638 new homes per annum (or 12,800 dwellings for the 20 year period).

Secondly, the plan period was updated from 2016-2036 to 2019-2040. This has implications for the total commitments which were considered when determining the residual housing requirement over the plan period. The total commitments with planning permission at April 2017, excluding undeliverable sites, was 5,847 against a housing requirement of 12,700 dwellings resulting in a residual housing requirement for the plan period 2016 -2036 of approximately 6,800 dwellings. Total commitments at April 2019,

<sup>133</sup> LUC (November 2018) Sustainability Appraisal Report for the Stroud Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper

<sup>134</sup> LUC (November 2019) Sustainability Appraisal Report for the Stroud District Local Plan Review: Draft Plan

<sup>135</sup> Opinion Research Services on behalf of the six local planning authorities in Gloucestershire (October 2019) Gloucestershire Local Housing Needs Assessment 2019

shown in the Draft Plan, were 5,223 with an increased housing requirement of 13,216 dwellings for the period 2019-2040. This results in an increased residual housing requirement for the plan period of at least 8,000 dwellings provided for in the Draft Plan hybrid option.

The overall change in residual housing to be delivered in the district has been accommodated through the hybrid option by including all three of the large scale growth points considered, as well as requiring high or relatively high levels of development at Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlements including Cam (880 homes in total), Stonehouse (650 homes), Stroud (265 homes), Nailsworth (105 homes) and Berkeley (120 homes). One of the Tier 3 settlements (Brimscombe and Thrupp) is also set out to accommodate a relatively high level of housing (190 homes) through the hybrid option. The remaining settlements (including Dursley, as well as the majority of Tier 2 and Tier 3 settlements) would accommodate lower levels of development to meet local needs.

It should be noted that all strategic growth options were assumed to provide the required number of homes to meet local needs over the relevant plan period. The SA findings for the hybrid option consider the higher numbers of homes to be provided at the settlements identified above, however, the findings for all options are considered to be comparable given that the distribution of development is what has the most bearing on the effects identified.

### Additional strategic growth options considered

The four additional strategic growth options set out by the Council in the Additional Housing Options consultation paper (October 2020) considered how an increased housing requirement of 786 dwellings per annum (or 15,720 dwellings for the 20 year period) might be met. They comprise:

- Option A: Intensify existing allocated sites - increase densities.
- Option B: Dispersal to towns and villages - consider additional sites at Tier 2 and Tier 3 settlements.
- Option C: Additional growth point - consider site options along the major transport routes in the plan area for a new/extended settlement.
  - Option C has been subdivided into three options (Options C1 to C3) to give appropriate consideration for the major transport routes (A38, A419 and A4135) through the district at which new strategic scale development might result.
- Option D: Wider dispersal of new housing sites to include sites not just at Tier 2 and 3 settlements but extending to small sites at Tier 4 villages.

These options and their appraisal reflect the additional detail in the Council's Draft Local Plan – Additional housing options consultation paper (October 2020). The Council's reasons for identifying these options as reasonable alternatives is also contained in the consultation paper but are summarised as follows in

#### **Table A8. Error! No text of specified style in document..1: Stroud District Council's reasons for identifying the additional strategic growth options**

**Option A:** Some of the current urban extension sites in the Draft Local Plan have planned average densities of less than 30 dwellings per hectare. There may therefore be potential to increase densities in some locations whilst delivering well designed places reflecting the existing local character. The Council has considered whether there is any potential to extend existing allocated sites onto adjacent land, but there are limited opportunities to do this, therefore extending sites was not considered to be a reasonable alternative spatial option.

**Option B:** Whilst the Draft Local Plan does allocate some smaller housing sites at Tier 2 and Tier 3a settlements, there were a number of sites considered at the previous Issues and Options stage that were not taken forward, which could be reconsidered, together with additional sites promoted at the Draft Local Plan stage. In addition, making allocations at Tier 3b settlements could be reconsidered. Any further sites promoted at this stage could be added to the mix. Combined, this would deliver a greater proportion of the housing required to Tier 2 and Tier 3 settlements, thereby increasing, albeit modestly, a dispersal focus.

**Option C:** This could be an entirely new settlement or a very large addition to an existing smaller settlement with a full range of land uses including employment as well as housing. We have re-examined all of the land assessed to date to identify potential locations. Major movement corridors (A38, A419, A4135, which are all identified for transport infrastructure improvements in the Local Transport Plan) offer locations with good accessibility to the wider area – but much of the land within the A419 and A4135 corridors is either already built upon, is subject to environmental constraints or has already been assessed as potential urban extensions to our towns. However, there is more potential along the A38 corridor and this consultation identifies two particular locations which may have potential (i.e. Potential Growth Points PGP1 and PGP2).

**Option D:** To achieve a wider dispersal option, the Council would need to reconsider not only those additional sites that have already been assessed at Tier 2 and Tier 3 settlements, but to assess a number of other sites that have previously been put forward at smaller Tier 4 settlements. These are sites that have not been assessed before now, as the current Draft Local Plan includes a strategy that does not promote wider dispersal to the district's smaller and/or more remote settlements. However, if this option were to be selected at this stage, these sites would need to be assessed (including through the SA) and further consultation may be necessary.

### Summary of SA findings for the additional spatial options

**Table A8.2** at the end of this appendix summarises the sustainability effects identified for the four additional spatial options now considered for the Stroud Local Plan.

**Option A (Intensify existing sites)** is expected to achieve a number of significant positive effects in terms of promoting a more compact and potentially efficient use of land in the plan area given that higher densities of development would result. Through this option the intensification of existing strategic urban extension sites at Tier 1 to 3 settlements (including Hardwicke in the Gloucester fringe, Cam and Stonehouse) would occur. These settlements benefit from good access to existing services and jobs (for Hardwicke within the Gloucester fringe). Furthermore, additional services, facilities and jobs are likely to be supported by this option which would enable more development at larger strategic sites. It is noted that this approach may be less supportive in terms of securing a high level of additional service provision than incorporating an additional new growth point might in the longer term.

In all it is expected that the approach of intensification could help to limit the need to travel by private vehicle and associated impacts relating to air quality and climate change. The promotion of more compact forms of development in the plan area could also help increase the uptake of active travel which would benefit local health and wellbeing. It is likely that allowing for some lower levels of development in the plan area at the lower order settlements could also help to prevent the stagnation of rural services. While the inclusion of the new growth points would support substantial new service provision and affordable housing in the plan area, incremental increases to existing sites would be less supportive of achieving these types of benefits. Option A may also present challenges in terms of meeting the residual housing need of the district, when compared to options which incorporate additional large scale development sites.

The more incremental increases of population of these smaller settlements in Stroud District would, however, help to prevent potential significant negative effects in terms of local character, the historic environment and community cohesion as well as overburdening of existing services and facilities. While intensification of the existing strategic urban extension sites could limit the need for increased greenfield land take to accommodate the residual development needs of the district and therefore limit impacts relating to biodiversity, landscape character and the historic environment, this option would still result in some higher levels of development in close proximity to international and national biodiversity designations. Development would also occur at settlements which have been identified as having sensitivities in terms of their landscape character and those which contain numerous heritage assets. It would also result in some development within the Cotswolds AONB.

Through **Option B (Dispersal to smaller settlements)**, additional sites at the smaller Tier 2 and Tier 3 settlements would be included for development. There could be a particular focus at Whitminster as a number of sites have been identified as having capacity to accommodate a relatively high level of development. While this option would also allow for some growth at the larger settlements as well as at new growth points, dispersal of a higher level of development to numerous sites at Tier 2 and 3



settlements is likely to perform less favourably than Option A in terms of access to existing and support for new services and facilities. A high number of residents are expected to live further from the majority of job opportunities in larger settlements and therefore will need to travel further to work. The lack of infrastructure at smaller settlements (such as access to the strategic road network) may mean that these locations prove less capable to support investment and economic growth in the plan area. Therefore, more adverse impacts in terms of health and wellbeing as well as air quality and climate change may result. It is also likely that requiring a higher number of sites at smaller settlements could impact the existing character and community networks at these locations.

Many of the Tier 2 and Tier 3 settlements (including Minchinhampton, Painswick, Wotton-under-Edge and Nailsworth) fall within the AONB or contain land which has been identified as having higher sensitivity to development. While the higher concentrations of heritage assets in the plan area are to be found in the larger settlements, some smaller settlements are constrained by these types of features as well and their less developed nature may mean the settings they provide for heritage assets are more sensitive to change. There are also a number of Tier 2 and Tier 3 settlements which are in close proximity to national or international biodiversity designations. This would include development at Frampton on Severn which has the potential to impact on the Severn Estuary international biodiversity sites.

Of the additional growth options considered, **Options C1 to C3 (New growth points along transport corridors)** are expected to perform most favourably against many of the SA objectives. These options would result in new large scale development at a growth point along one of the main transport routes in the plan area (A38, A419 and A4135). A new growth point would support new service and infrastructure provision which could help to reduce the need to travel by private vehicle in the plan area as well as potentially supporting infrastructure which could support the use of energy from more sustainable sources. Importantly, it would also ensure that housing need in the plan area is met by delivering a high level of development at a single location. This approach could also support a large amount of affordable housing delivery in Stroud District.

The area along the A419 (Option C2) which includes two of the largest settlements in the plan area at Stroud and Stonehouse is considered to be one of the most sustainable in the district in terms of a high level of self-containment at this location and the good level of access to services and facilities and jobs<sup>136</sup>. While this route accommodates some higher volumes of traffic, Option C2 performs marginally better than the other sub options considered for Option C. This route provides access to a higher number of job opportunities than Option C3 (A4135, which contains Cam and Dursley) which performs similarly to Option C2 in many other regards. It should be noted that the A419 is presently constrained along much of its length by existing development and any benefits identified for this option will be dependent upon the potential to identify a suitable site for development along the corridor. The consideration of the viability of this option in this regard will be informed by evidence bases which are beyond sustainability issues alone. The potential for high levels of congestion to result along this route means some positive effects are uncertain. However, it is considered likely that the large scale of development through this option at the A419 could help secure mitigation to limit the potential for any associated adverse impacts.

Option C1 (A38) performs slightly less favourably than the other two sub options considering that many of the settlements along this route (including Stone, Cambridge, Newport and Whitminster) are presently less developed and provide access to a lower number of services and facilities and jobs. These effects also reflect the strategic road access (including the M5) from this area towards Gloucester and Bristol which, when considered in combination with the lower existing job provision in the area, could promote some out commuting. However, the existing strategic road access could also help make the area more attractive to inward economic investment and therefore this option performs strongly in this regard.

These three options are expected to have the same or similar negative effects as the other options considered with regard to biodiversity, landscape character and the historic environment. These options would require a high level of greenfield land take, and also include areas of land which have higher sensitivity in terms of landscape character and nearby biodiversity assets. Option C1 would limit the potential for development which would occur near the AONB, however, it could result in the extension of one of the smaller settlements which lie along the A38. This could have particular impacts on the existing character of one of these smaller settlements. While more of the areas which fall within the scope of Options C2 and C3 are already developed (i.e. along the A419 and A4135 corridors respectively), additional development in these areas could have adverse impacts on the character of the

<sup>136</sup> Stroud District Council (June 2018) Stroud Local Plan Review Transport Discussion Paper

Cotswolds AONB. Of these three options, Option C1 could present increased opportunities to deliver a high level of development in the plan area in a manner which avoids locations at which there are high concentrations of designated heritage assets. Many of the settlements along the A38 are relatively unconstrained by heritage assets in comparison to those along the A419 and A4135.

The dispersal of the development across much of the district to allow for some growth at settlements lower than Tier 3 under **Option D (Wider dispersal)** is likely to result in a high number of residents having limited access to nearby services and facilities and jobs. While Option D could support the viability of some smaller centres in the plan area as well as limiting the stagnation of rural service provision, a highly dispersed distribution of development is also likely to result in some overburdening of services in many locations. The inclusion of the large scale growth points (at Hardwicke, Sharpness and Wisloe) could support new service provision and help to drive a level of economic growth, however, the benefits relating to these issues as well as health and wellbeing, air quality and climate change are likely to be outweighed by the increased need to travel in the plan area. Limited support for infrastructure growth is also likely at locations which would accommodate moderate levels of new development.

Option D is likely to increase greenfield land take at more rural locations, considering that these areas are likely to provide more limited opportunities for re-use of brownfield land when compared to urban areas. As well as potentially affecting the existing character of a high number of more rural settlements, this option could therefore increase the potential for flood risk as the area of impermeable surfaces in the district is greatly increased. This could include areas near the Severn Estuary where some lower order settlements are located and could result in residents being at risk from flooding from this water body as well as increasing the potential for detrimental impacts on water quality at the international biodiversity sites here.

In addition to potentially affecting the Severn Estuary international biodiversity sites, this option could have impacts on the Woodchester Park SSSI, Wotton Hill SSSI, Minchinhampton Common SSSI, and Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI which are in close proximity to Tier 2 and 3 settlements at Nailsworth, Wotton Under Edge, Minchinhampton and Painswick.

**Appendix 9**

Audit Trail of Policy and Site Options

DRAFT

**Table A9.1 Stroud District Council's audit trail of site options and reasons for including sites in Local Plan Review Emerging Strategy Paper, Draft Local Plan and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan**

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
<b>Residential sites</b>							
<i>Berkeley</i>							
Garage court r/o Marybrook Street car park	BER002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Former Berkeley hospital site	BER003		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has now been developed.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above.</p>
Land to the rear of Canonbury Street	BER004		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is now being developed.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above.</p>
Land off Fitzhardinge Way	BER005	BER A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above.
Land north of Berkeley and south west of bypass	BER006	BER B	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS33)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is located at Berkeley, a Tier 2 settlement, and would be in accordance with the development strategy. The site performs better than alternative locations around the settlement edge in terms of landscape sensitivity. Whilst there are constraints, including flood risk, development will provide a landscaped park to include flood risk attenuation and enhancements to support local biodiversity particularly connections to The Fishers woodland north of the site. The site will provide walking and cycling routes connecting to the local network and is close to main bus routes into Berkeley centre. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land between B4066 and Station Road	BER011	BER C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above.</p>
Land East of Berkeley Heath Motors filling station	BER014		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site did not meet the SALA requirement for the location of sites no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above.</p>
Land attached to Foxfield House	BER015		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above.</p>
Hook Street Farm, Lynch Road, Berkeley	BER016		Yes	No	No	<p>Yes – as mixed use (BER016/17 Land at Lynch Road)</p> <p>(site identified through the Additional Housing Options consultation (October 2020))</p>	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. The site was identified as a potential site in the Additional Housing Options Consultation Paper October 2020.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the combined site BER016/17 Land at Lynch Road, Berkeley is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is located at Berkeley, a Tier 2 settlement, and would be in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints, including potential flood risk and the need to integrate the development into the landscape</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Bevans Hill Farm, Lynch Road, Berkeley	BER017		Yes	No	No	Yes – as mixed use (BER016/17 Land at Lynch Road)  (site identified through the Additional Housing Options consultation (October 2020))	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. The site was identified as a potential site in the Additional Housing Options Consultation Paper October 2020.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the combined site BER016/17 Land at Lynch Road, Berkeley is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is located at Berkeley, a Tier 2 settlement, and would be in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints, including potential flood risk and the need to integrate the development into the landscape which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
<i>Bisley</i>							
Graduate Gardeners (shown Graduate)	BIS001	BIS A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the site is actively used by a thriving business and there is no evidence to suggest that any suitable land will be made available for re-development in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has been promoted as available for development. However, the site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above.
Land off Calfway Lane	BIS002	BIS A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has not been taken forward in the Pre-submission Plan for the reasons stated above.
<i>Brimscombe</i>							
Land off Dalloway	BRI004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Thrupp and Brimscombe and having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the valley slopes. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north- east of	BRI005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Thrupp



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
London Road							and Brimscombe and having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the valley slopes. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south of Bourne Lane	BRI007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the valley slopes, the character and appearance of the area and the natural landscape beauty of the AONB. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Brimscombe Mills & Mill Pond	BRI008	BRI A	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed used	Yes – as mixed use (PS01)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for lesser levels of growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> : Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is allocated in the current adopted Local Plan and is located within the Stroud Valleys canal corridor where regeneration of this brownfield site would be in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints such as heritage assets and potential flood risk which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The Council is actively progressing the

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							regeneration of the adjacent site at Brimscombe Port and the restoration of the adjacent Thames and Severn Canal. The site is for sale and there is a reasonable expectation that the site will be taken forward during the lifetime of the Plan.
Brimscombe Farm	BRI010	BRI D	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Dockyard Works	BRI011	BRI C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is allocated in the Local Plan for mixed use redevelopment. The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether the site remains available for redevelopment. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Val D'Or Works	BRI012	BRI C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is allocated in the Local Plan for mixed use redevelopment. The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether the site remains available for redevelopment. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Queens Court	BRI013		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may be suitable and available for development in the future subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land North of Queens Court	BRI014		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because the western play area / amenity land is important to the character of the settlement and the eastern part is identified as an asset of community value for its use as allotment gardens.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land east of Toadsmoor Road	BRI015		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact and impact on the conservation area. The steep slopes and lack of a suitable access also make development unfeasible without significant works. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Gussage Mill	BRI016		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact and impact on the conservation area.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							The watercourse and adjacent land is within flood zone 3. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Bowbridge Lock	BRI017		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because it constitutes a valuable wooded area with amenity and heritage value. The site also has physical constraints relating to vehicular access and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Quarry Hill Farm Fields	BRI019		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the green gap between Stroud and Thrupp and adversely impacting upon the setting of the Cotswold AONB. The majority of the site slopes steeply and there are no obvious highway access points. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Field on the east side of London Road	BRI020		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the green gap between Stroud and Thrupp and adversely impacting upon the setting of the Cotswold AONB. The site slopes steeply making development and access difficult. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Lakeside Depot	BRI022	BRI A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS01)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is located at

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for lesser levels of growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is allocated in the current adopted Local Plan and is located within the Stroud Valleys canal corridor where regeneration of this brownfield site would be in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints such as heritage assets and potential flood risk which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The Council is actively progressing the regeneration of the adjacent site at Brimscombe Port and the restoration of the adjacent Thames and Severn Canal. The site is for sale and there is a reasonable expectation that the site will be taken forward during the lifetime of the Plan.</p>
<i>Brookthorpe</i>							
Land south east of Pear Tree Cottage	BRO001		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Cam</i>							
Cam Sports Club, Everlands	CAM001		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because the site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and makes a significant contribution to local amenity. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Upthorpe Farm	CAM003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; highly visible rising up the slopes, would erode the separation between Cam and Upthorpe, and be visible in key views to and from Peaked Down and the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
14 St. George's Close	CAM004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would have adverse effects due to visibility, setting and key views to and from Peaked Down, Cam Long Down and the wider AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land east of Old Court	CAM005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into the green buffer, including well used public footpaths, between the AONB and the main settlement of Cam. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south of Old Court	CAM006		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into the green buffer, including well used public footpaths, between the AONB and the main settlement of Cam. Development would adversely change the character of the valley sides and would be inappropriate. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Norman Hill	CAM007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because it provides important,

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
playing fields							well used recreational and sporting facilities, constitutes an important open space within the wider built up area and contributes to breaking up the built up massing of Cam and Dursley. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjacent to Tilsdown House	CAM008		Yes	Yes	Yes (part) – as mixed use	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has received outline planning permission for residential development and will now deliver local housing growth as a residential commitment not requiring allocation.
4 Tilsdown/ Indoor & Outdoor, Tilsdown	CAM009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Elstub Lane	CAM010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, highly visible as part of the escarpment footslopes, helping to separate Cam from the M5, and fulfilling a recreational role on the edge of the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land parcel to south west of Manor Close	CAM011	CAM A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land west of Draycott Crescent	CAM013	CAM B	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS24)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating strategic growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan with increased capacity to make most efficient use of land. This urban extension to Cam, a Tier 1 settlement, is a good fit with the development strategy and will contribute to the District's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the M5 motorway and visibility from the Cotswolds escarpment, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. The location of the railway and M5, together with on-site strategic landscaping will</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							ensure there is no physical or visual threat to coalescence with Wisloe or nearby settlements. Conformity with the Cam NDP design code will ensure integration with the rest of Cam. There are positive benefits related to proximity to Cam & Dursley station, employment at Draycott and local services and facilities at Cam local centre, with the development providing opportunities to improve walking and cycling links within the local area and to connect to bus services along the A4135 and A38. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land west of Cam and Dursley Train Station	CAM015	CAM C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north east of Box Road Avenue	CAM016	CAM C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land east of Court House Gardens	CAM021	CAM E	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Part of the site is assessed separately as CAM E below. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Street Farm	CAM022		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into the green buffer, including well used public footpaths, between the AONB and the main settlement of Cam. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjacent to Orchard Leaze	CAM023		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; open to view and helping to separate Cam from the M5, including well used public footpaths. Access to the site, from Orchard Leaze, is off a single width access lane. There are therefore physical impacts and potential constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Bowlers Lea	CAM024		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development on the slopes would

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							impinge on their function as backcloth to the settlement as well as potentially being highly visible to the wider countryside and the M5 to the north. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land NW Cam	CAM025	CAM B	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS24)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating strategic growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan with increased capacity to make most efficient use of land. This urban extension to Cam, a Tier 1 settlement, is a good fit with the development strategy and will contribute to the District's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the M5 motorway and visibility from the Cotswolds escarpment, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. The location of the railway and M5, together with on-site strategic landscaping will ensure there is no physical or visual threat to coalescence with Wisloe or nearby settlements. Conformity with the Cam NDP design code will ensure integration with the rest of Cam. There are positive

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							benefits related to proximity to Cam & Dursley station, employment at Draycott and local services and facilities at Cam local centre, with the development providing opportunities to improve walking and cycling links within the local area and to connect to bus services along the A4135 and A38. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land west of A4135 Draycott	CAM026	CAM B	Yes	Yes	Yes (part) – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS24)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating strategic growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan with increased capacity to make most efficient use of land. This urban extension to Cam, a Tier 1 settlement, is a good fit with the development strategy and will contribute to the District's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the M5 motorway and visibility from the Cotswolds escarpment, these are capable of mitigation through</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							layout and design measures. The location of the railway and M5, together with on-site strategic landscaping will ensure there is no physical or visual threat to coalescence with Wisloe or nearby settlements. Conformity with the Cam NDP design code will ensure integration with the rest of Cam. There are positive benefits related to proximity to Cam & Dursley station, employment at Draycott and local services and facilities at Cam local centre, with the development providing opportunities to improve walking and cycling links within the local area and to connect to bus services along the A4135 and A38. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land east of Taits Hill Road	CAM028		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would significantly extend the main settlement westwards, but would feel divorced from it due to the steep valley slopes, and would be further detrimental to the function of the area as a green/wildlife corridor. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
East of River Cam		CAM E	Yes	Yes (N boundary of polygon amended)	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS25)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is a modest extension to the existing strategic allocation at NE Cam. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site will complete a strategic allocation planned for through the current adopted Local Plan. This extension to planned growth at Cam, a Tier 1 settlement, is a good fit with the development strategy will contribute to the District's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. There are positive benefits related to connecting the existing strategic development North East Cam back to Cam local centre and delivering an important section of the Uley-Dursley- Cam Greenway, connecting Cam local centre, via the disused railway cycle and walking route to Box Road, Cam &amp; Dursley station. The design will ensure no adverse impact on the Rackleaze wildlife area. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
			Cambridge				
Land west of Greenacre	CBR002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land rear of Caterham House	CBR003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Chalford</i>							
Land west of Middle Hill	CHA001	CHA A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is being taken forward as a rural exception site for the development of 31 new homes. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission as a rural exception site for residential development.
<i>Coaley</i>							
Betworthy Farm	COA001	COA A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The northern half of the site has received planning permission for residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The northern part of the site is now being developed. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The northern part of the site has been developed.
Land at Coaley	COA002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Large housing or employment development in this location would be completely out of character with the rural nature of the village with significant landscape and heritage impacts. The SALA identified that the site may have limited future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land between Betworthy Farm and The Close		COA A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Cranham</i>							
Simmonds Hall Farm Field	CRA001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Dursley</i>							



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land behind Kingshill House	DUR001		yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space and makes a significant contribution to the setting of Kingshill House. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to these interests. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land off Acacia Drive/ Oak Drive	DUR002		Yes	Yes	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land north of Brownings Lane	DUR005		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding and changing the character of an important pastoral corridor along the edge of the settlement and adjacent to the Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
13 and 23 Bull Pitch	DUR006		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Henlow House/ 54 - 60 Silver Street	DUR007		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available for intensification, and if it is, whether there is capacity for an additional 10 dwellings. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available for intensification. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
18 Woodmanco te	DUR008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is now being developed for housing. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has now been developed.
Land at 27 - 29 Uley Road	DUR009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding and changing the character of an important pastoral corridor along the edge of the settlement and adjacent to the Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south and east of Downham View	DUR010	DUR A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Whilst the SALA previously identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							specific constraints and impacts, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that there would not be sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites for strategic growth at Cam, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Castle Stream Farm	DUR011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Whilst the SALA previously identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that there would not be sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites for strategic growth at Cam, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Castle Stream Farm	DUR012	DUR A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Whilst the SALA previously identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that there would not be sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites for strategic growth at Cam, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land east of Shakespeare Road	DUR013	DUR A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Whilst the SALA previously identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that there would not be sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites for strategic growth at Cam, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Recreation ground east of School Road	DUR014		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and makes a significant contribution to local amenity. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
The Old Dairy/ Land off Prospect Place	DUR017		Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS28)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Dursley Neighbourhood Plan as a potential area for enhancement through redevelopment. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and is being actively considered for partial redevelopment for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site within Dursley, a Tier 1 settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are specific site issues, including impacts on designated heritage assets and local biodiversity, access and multiple ownership, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
Blackboys Farm	DUR020		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the suburban character into the Cotswold AONB and adversely affecting the setting of the wooded slopes.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the rear of the police station	DUR021		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available and if it is, whether there is capacity for 10 dwellings. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available for intensification. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
11/11a May Lane	DUR022		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate, subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, and development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. However, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the south of Hawthorn Villa	DUR024		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Local Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							landscape and visual impacts on the Cotswolds AONB and heritage assets within the adjacent Woodmancote Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
North of Ganzell Lane		DUR A	Yes	Yes (SE boundary of polygon amended)	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Issues and Options paper identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that the provision of 80 new homes would not be of sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Given the suitability and availability of other locations at Cam, away from the AONB, to meet the needs of the local area and the District, in accordance with the development strategy for Tier 1 settlements, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate the site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Eastington</i>							
Land to rear of Alkerton garage	EAS001		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the north eastern part of the site and because of the likely significant heritage impact on listed buildings adjacent to the south western part of the site.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Alkerton Farm	EAS003	EAS B1	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, separating the core of the village from the countryside which is a feature of the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land south east of Swallowcroft	EAS004	EAS A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is generally not suitable for development because of the likely landscape impact, separating Alkerton from Millend to the north and protecting the separate identity of Middle Street to the east. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land to north of Millend Land	EAS005		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, filling in the gap between the historic cluster of buildings at Millend and the 20c village and would adversely affect the setting of the listed buildings and character of the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Junction 13 of the M5	EAS007	STO D1, STO D2	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for residential development, removed from the existing urban area of Stonehouse and its local services and facilities and because of the landscape impact. Housing would extend the settlement form and development corridor towards the Severn Vale and M5, closing the gap between Stonehouse and Eastington, and</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							would have an adverse impact on the setting of the canal, the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area and the Thames and Severn Way. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Chipmans Platt	EAS008	STO C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not currently suitable for housing development because of the relatively remote location of Chipmans Platt relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. The lane is also narrow and rural in character. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land west of Nupend	EAS009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the remoteness of the area from local services and facilities and because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would impact on the character and setting of the listed buildings in Nupend and the hamlets' ancient settlement pattern. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Nupend (Parcel A)	EAS011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the setting of Nupend. The site currently functions as a valuable amenity space crossed by public rights of way.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at South View	EAS012		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not currently suitable for housing development because of the relatively remote location relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Westend Farm	EAS013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the character and landscape setting of the listed buildings and the landscape of Westend and the erosion of the countryside buffer between Stonehouse and the M5 and vale to the west. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Westend Farm	EAS014		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the setting of Westend and the erosion of the countryside buffer between Stonehouse and the M5 and vale to the west. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Claypits	EAS015		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the local landscape. Development would materially extend built development into the countryside and impact on the adjacent listed Claypits farmhouse and historic character of Eastington's hamlet based pattern.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
D E Spencer and Sons, Eastington	EAS016		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is in employment use and such uses are protected from redevelopment for alternative uses unless wholly exceptional circumstances can be demonstrated. The redevelopment of the site for housing would therefore be contrary to the development strategy for the protection of existing employment sites. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjoining Oldbury Lodge	EAS017		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely landscape and heritage impacts. Development of this site would be detrimental to this green corridor, visibly extending sporadic development into the rural fringe and urbanising the area between Chipman's Platt, Church End, Nastend and Newtown. A heritage assessment has indicated that the impact on the character, setting and significance of the Conservation Area could prohibit development on this site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south-east of Chipmans Platt roundabout	EAS018		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely landscape and heritage impacts. Development of this site would be detrimental to this green corridor, visibly extending sporadic development into the rural fringe and urbanising the area between Chipman's Platt, Church End, Nastend and Newtown. A heritage assessment has indicated that the impact on the character and significance of The Grange Grade II listed building could prohibit development in order to conserve this key view and to retain a sense of the house's historic status and position within the local landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Field north of Travelodge at Chipmans Platt	EAS019		Yes	No	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS20)	<p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site forms part of a wider land parcel located at the Junction of the M5 motorway and the A419. The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan as part of a wider land parcel located at the Junction of the M5 motorway and the A419. The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for new employment land located at the key employment property market areas identified in the ELR. The overall quantum of employment land is intended to meet labour supply requirements and to deliver the aspirations of GFirst Local Industrial Strategy. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including impacts upon the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area (IHCA) and flood risk, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. A comprehensive mitigation package for impacts upon the IHCA will require a Canal cut, towpath and operational uses as part of the restoration of the Stroudwater Canal to navigable uses from Saul Junction to Stroud. The location of primarily grass training pitches within the IHCA will minimise flood risk, minimise the impact of built development on the IHCA and ensure uses are compatible with sensitive neighbouring uses including William Morris House. There are positive benefits related to significantly improved facilities for Forest Green Rovers Football Club, a high</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							quality business park focussed on green technologies in an accessible location and the potential to significantly improve sustainable transport services along the A419 corridor including multi-modal transport interchange facilities, enhancing local walking and cycling routes and contributions to the reopening of Stonehouse railway station. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land south and east of Cressington Cottages	EAS020		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because infilling would be out of character with the form and character of the existing hamlet and because of the erosion of the countryside buffer between Stonehouse and the M5 and vale to the west. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Claypits Farm	EAS021		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Local Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Land south east of Nupend	EAS022		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Local Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> At Eastington there is a programme of community led housing developments to be delivered as rural exception sites as

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							set out in the Neighbourhood Development Plan. It is understood that broad locations identified through the Local Plan process may have potential for this form of development and therefore at this stage it is expected that further growth at Eastington (Alkerton) will be developed through this approach.
South east of swallowcroft		EAS A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> At Eastington there is a programme of community led housing developments to be delivered as rural exception sites as set out in the Neighbourhood Development Plan. It is understood that broad locations identified through the Local Plan process may have potential for this form of development and therefore at this stage it is expected that further growth at Eastington (Alkerton) will be developed through this approach.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
South of Alkerton:South of Bath Road		EAS B1	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> At Eastington there is a programme of community led housing developments to be delivered as rural exception sites as set out in the Neighbourhood Development Plan. It is understood that broad locations identified through the Local Plan process may have potential for this form of development and</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							therefore at this stage it is expected that further growth at Eastington (Alkerton) will be developed through this approach. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Frampton</i>							
Old Dairy site	FRA001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Fields northwest of Whitminster Lane	FRA004	FRA B	Yes	No	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS44)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was not promoted as available at the emerging strategy stage. The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement, outside the Cotswolds AONB and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with a range of local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that part of the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site area has been reduced to retain appropriate separation between Frampton and Oatfield, protect the rural setting of the adjacent Industrial Heritage Conservation Area and

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							minimise the loss of agricultural land. The location of the site as a sustainable extension to Frampton on Severn, a Tier 3a settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints, including overhead power lines, public footpaths and the relationship with adjacent development, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
<i>France Lynch</i>							
Land south of Bakers Farm	FRL001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>FrocesterNo</i>							
Frocester Manor	FRO001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is generally not suitable for housing development because of the remoteness of the site from a sustainable settlement and the likely significant heritage impact. Sensitive re-use and partial redevelopment for mixed use may be acceptable to secure the long term future of this important heritage asset. A comprehensive masterplan and supporting heritage justification would be required and an allocation is considered inappropriate at this time. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Oakridge Lynch</i>							
Birds Frith Farm	OAK002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land north of the Crescent	OAK001	OAK A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Hardwicke</i>							
Land at Hardwicke	HAR001	HAR A1, HAR A2, HAR A3, HAR A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (G1)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located on the Gloucester Fringe and has been identified as having potential to contribute towards future housing needs. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan with increased capacity to make most efficient use of land. This urban extension to Hardwicke, a Tier 3a settlement on the edge of Gloucester, is in accordance with the development strategy and will contribute to the District's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including impacts on local heritage, flood risk and gas mains on-site, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Strategic landscaping buffers around the site and a strong design code will prevent adverse impacts on the countryside and Sharpness & Gloucester Canal. Whilst the site is close to the edge of Gloucester, the site is directly related to the Tier 3a village of Hardwicke and development will provide a new local centre for the village and enhance other community services and facilities identified within the Neighbourhood Plan. There are also positive benefits related to opportunities to remove through traffic from local rural lanes, proximity to the A38 and the ability to connect to strategic bus services and proximity to jobs, services and facilities within the local area. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and contribution to highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land at Church Lane	HAR002	HAR A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (G1)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located on the Gloucester Fringe and has been identified as having potential to contribute towards future housing needs. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan with increased capacity to make most efficient use of land. This urban extension to Hardwicke, a Tier 3a settlement on the edge of</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>Gloucester, is in accordance with the development strategy and will contribute to the District's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including impacts on local heritage, flood risk and gas mains on- site, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Strategic landscaping buffers around the site and a strong design code will prevent adverse impacts on the countryside and Sharpness &amp; Gloucester Canal. Whilst the site is close to the edge of Gloucester, the site is directly related to the Tier 3a village of Hardwicke and development will provide a new local centre for the village and enhance other community services and facilities identified within the Neighbourhood Plan. There are also positive benefits related to opportunities to remove through traffic from local rural lanes, proximity to the A38 and the ability to connect to strategic bus services and proximity to jobs, services and facilities within the local area. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and contribution to highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
SA4 Hunts Grove Extension	HAR004		Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS30)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is an extension to Hunts Grove and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for strategic growth at the District's main towns and at new sustainable</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>settlements within the Severn Vale and A38/M5 corridor, suited and capable of meeting strategic growth needs. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site will complete a strategic allocation planned for through the current adopted Local Plan. This extension will contribute to the District's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.</p> <p>The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the M5 and flood risk, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Whilst the site is close to the edge of Gloucester, the site will complete the Hunts Grove development, which has recently been established as a separate Stroud District parish and will qualify as a Stroud Tier 2 settlement, once the planned local centre has been provided. There are also positive benefits related to supporting the local centre and range of community services to be provided at Hunts Grove, proximity to the A38 and the ability to connect to strategic bus services and proximity to jobs, services and facilities within the local area.</p> <p>The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and contribution to highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
Land East of Waterwells	HAR005	HAR C	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Business Park							development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is part of a larger area that has received planning permission for residential development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Hiltmead Lane	HAR007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, isolated from any identified settlement and within open countryside. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Parkend Farm	HAR008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not currently suitable for development because of the open rural character and relatively remote location relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land on east side of M5 at Moreton Valence	HAR010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
land on west side of M5 at Moreton Valence	HAR011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at M5 Junction 12	HAR012		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because the site is surrounded by distributor roads and has other physical constraints relating to adjacent public rights of way use and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location at present. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Mayos Land Phase 3	HAR014	HAR B	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Moreton Valence	HAR015		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for housing development as this would materially and adversely alter the rural character. There is a likely high landscape impact from housing development (in a relatively remote location) adversely affecting the open rural character of the flat Severn Vale landscape and visible from the escarpment edge of the AONB. The city edge would be perceived to have moved southwards significantly as a finger into the flat open countryside. Overall, this site has significant heritage constraints. The site's sensitivity relates to the sense of Grade II* Hardwicke Court's place in the landscape, the character and identity of Hardwicke as a distinct historic settlement and the perception of a social and economic hinterland

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>comprised of a network of farmsteads and clustered cottages - including the two Grade II listed farmhouses within the site boundary, and Grade II Hiltmead Farm, just outside the site. Their character and historic significance is drawn from the rural context and landscape setting. Any development would have to address potential flood risks. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land to east of A38 at Moreton Valence	HAR016		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Site forms part of larger site assessed as HAR015. The site is not suitable for housing development of this scale as this would materially alter the rural character detrimentally. There is a likely high landscape impact from housing development (in a relatively remote location) adversely affecting the open rural character of the flat Severn Vale landscape and visible from the escarpment edge of the AONB. The city edge would be perceived to have moved southwards significantly as a finger into the flat open countryside. Any potential development would have to address high water table and flood risks. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Sellars Road	HAR017		Yes	No	No	Yes – as mixed use (HAR017) (site identified through the Additional Housing Options consultation (October 2020))	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to consultation on the Draft Plan. The site was identified as a potential site in the Additional Housing Options consultation (October 2020).</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is located at Hardwicke, a Tier 3a settlement, and is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints including surface water drainage, impacts on</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							designated heritage assets and access, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land at Eric Vick Transport	HAR018		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Local Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development due to the likely landscape and heritage impacts on the setting of key listed buildings, the settlement of Hardwicke and the rural character of the Gloucester-Sharpness canal corridor. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land South West of Rhyne Cottage	HAR020		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Local Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is below the threshold for assessment as part of the Strategic Assessment of Land Availability (SALA) and for consideration as a potential allocation site to meet future needs.
<i>Haresfield</i>							
Land west of the railway	HFD001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to east of Round House Farm	HFD002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land north of Starsmead House	HFD003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to north of Merryfields & Upper Green Cottage	HFD004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south and east of Haresfield Playing Field	HFD005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Former Walled Garden to Haresfield court	HFD006		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Hillesley</i>							
Land to the west of Hawkesbury Road	HIL001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development as a rural exception site. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is being developed.
<i>Horsley</i>							

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land west of St Martin's Church	HOR001	HOR A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Fields south of Wormwood Hill	HOR002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the potential constraints and high landscape sensitivity of the site. The site is very steep with poor access. Development would extend the settlement beyond the natural boundary of the steep valley sides and would be out of scale with the small valley character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
The Orchard	HOR003		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land South of the B4058	HOR004		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p>
South of The Street		HOR A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>King Stanley</i>							
Land to the north of Kings Stanley Primary School	KST001	KST B	Yes	Yes	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, further assessment work has identified significant access concerns and potential conflict with the adjacent primary school. It is considered that the role of Kings Stanley within the development strategy is better addressed through the committed scheme at Stanley Mills and it is</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land opposite King's Stanley Primary School	KST002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Kings Stanley and Middleyard and impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at Court Farm. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to rear of Borough Close	KST003	KST C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, it is considered that the role of Kings Stanley within the development strategy is better addressed through the committed scheme at Stanley Mills and it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land rear of 24-30 Borough Close	KST004	KST C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, it is

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							considered that the role of Kings Stanley within the development strategy is better addressed through the committed scheme at Stanley Mills and it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Rear of Borough Close	KST006	KST C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, it is considered that the role of Kings Stanley within the development strategy is better addressed through the committed scheme at Stanley Mills and it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Court Farm Farmyard	KST008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, narrowing the gap between Kings Stanley and Middleyard and impacting on the setting of local rural listed buildings. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Field 2 Court Farm	KST009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, narrowing the gap between Kings Stanley and Middleyard and impacting on the setting of local rural listed buildings. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Field 13 Court Farm	KST010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site and its distance from the services and facilities of the existing established settlement of Kings Stanley. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Kingswood</i>							
Land north of Pennwood Lodge	KIN001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, beyond the strong valley floor boundary to the settlement and Conservation Area. Development may impact on the flood zone in the valley floor. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south of Vineyard Lane	KIN002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity and significant archaeological potential of the site. It is considered that development would adversely affect the historic landscape character as well as compromise the flood zone in the valley floor. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south of 13 & 15 Hillesley Road	KIN003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site. It is considered that development would detract from the open pastoral character of the area from views from local footpaths and minor roads. There are therefore potential impacts, as well as access and accessibility issues, preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Hillesley Road,	KIN004		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site. Development would detract from the open pastoral character of the area from views from local footpaths and minor roads. There are therefore potential impacts, as well as access and accessibility issues, preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Cloverlea Barn	KIN005	KIN A	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS38)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site as a sustainable extension to Kingswood, a Tier 3a settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. The Council considers that the proposed scale of development can be justified to meet Kingswood's local housing need for the Plan period, necessary to sustain the settlement's role, function and community vitality, and that moderate, planned growth will support the delivery of sustainable accessible pedestrian and cycling improvements within Kingswood and the wider local area, including the Wotton – Charfield – Kingswood Greenway. The County Council has indicated that there is existing capacity at local schools within Wotton. Whilst there are other constraints including impacts on local biodiversity, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land off Charfield Road, Kingswood	KIN007		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, extending development over onto the skyline and highly visible to the west and north west. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land north of Charfield Road	KIN008		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site. Development would significantly extend the settlement form into the open vale countryside on higher ground and is inappropriate within the wider landscape. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Neathwood Yard	KIN009		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land and yard at Walk Mill Lane	KIN010	KIN B	Yes	Only part of site (new polygon KIN B) identified as emerging option. KIN010 NOT included as a emerging option site	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. The site was included in the Emerging Strategy as one of two potential alternative sites at Kingswood to meet local needs. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for a Tier 3a settlement set out in the Draft Strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate the site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land south of Westfield House	KIN011	KIN A	Yes	Yes	Yes – mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS38)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site as a sustainable extension to Kingswood, a Tier 3a settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. The Council considers that the proposed scale of development can be justified to meet Kingswood's local housing need for the Plan period, necessary to sustain the settlement's role, function and community vitality, and that moderate, planned growth will support the delivery of sustainable accessible pedestrian and cycling improvements within Kingswood and the wider local area, including the Wotton – Charfield – Kingswood Greenway. The County Council has indicated that there is existing capacity at local schools within Wotton. Whilst there are other constraints including impacts on local biodiversity, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
Land north and west of 10 - 14 Charfield Road	KIN013		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises part of a larger site KIN008 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site including the visual setting of the listed Langford Mill House in a key view from Wotton Road. Development would extend the settlement form into the open vale countryside on higher ground and is inappropriate within</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							the wider landscape. There are potential impacts therefore that would prevent sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Part land off Charfield Road	KIN014		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises part of a larger site KIN007 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, extending development over onto the skyline and highly visible to the west and north west. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Blueboy Cottage, Kingswood	KIN015		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Leonard Stanley</i>							
Land at Bath Road	LEO001	LEO A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Brimley	LEO002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the whole of the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land to the north of Bath Road	LEO004	LEO B	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at East Grange	LEO005		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the settlement onto high ground and narrowing the gap between Leonard Stanley and Stanley Downton. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Leonard Stanley	LEO007		Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS16)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site within Leonard Stanley, a Tier 3a settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy and the area has the facilities and services to ensure the development is a sustainable extension to the village. The County Council has confirmed that the land is not required for education purposes. The development will be required to address drainage and sewerage matters at the planning application stage and the Infrastructure Delivery Plan has not identified this as a major constraint within this area. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Part land to the north of Bath Road	LEO008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises the eastern part of a larger site LEO004 previously assessed as having some potential for sensitively located development on the western part of the site subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site, eroding the important visual gap on Bath Road. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Dozule Close	LEO009		Yes	No	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS42)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site within Leonard Stanley, a Tier 3a settlement, is in accordance

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints including incorporating existing Public Rights of Way within landscaped open space, retaining the southern part of the site in open space uses, and safeguarding and enhancing local biodiversity, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Severn Waters		LEO B	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Manor Village</i>							
Manor Farm	MAN001		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Middle Hill, Eastcombe	MAN002	MAN A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located within the Cotswolds AONB where local road infrastructure is identified as constrained. The scale of development proposed and location within the Cotswolds AONB would not accord with the Draft Plan development strategy of allocating development at less constrained Tier 3a settlements, outside the AONB with good road infrastructure connections to strategic facilities. It is not therefore proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Frith Wood House & Cottage	MAN003		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
East of Middle Hill		MAN A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located within the Cotswolds AONB where local road infrastructure is identified as constrained. The scale of development proposed and location within the Cotswolds AONB would not accord with the Draft Plan development strategy of</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							allocating development at less constrained Tier 3a settlements, outside the AONB with good road infrastructure connections to strategic facilities. It is not therefore proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Middleyard</i>							
Land north of Coldwell Close	MID001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the West of Walnut Tree House	MID002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, narrowing the gap between Kings Stanley and Middleyard and impacting on the setting of local rural listed buildings. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Minchinhampton</i>							
Land off 17 Butt Street	MIN001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Planning permission granted for residential development on the eastern part of the site.</p>
Land at The Knapp	MIN002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would adversely affect the setting and character of Minchinhampton Common to the west and would impinge on the skyline on the wold edge which would be uncharacteristic of the main settlement form. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land East of Besbury Park	MIN003		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, impinging on the openness of the area, close to the wold edge, and would be inappropriate.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Field 0013, Cirencester Road	MIN004	MIN A	Yes	Yes	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, further assessment work has identified landscape and visual concerns regarding self build and custom build development at this sensitive location within the Cotswolds AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for a Tier 2 settlement set out in the Draft Strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Glebe Farm	MIN005	MIN B	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – north of site (PS05) included as mixed use and south of site included as safeguarded land (PS05a) with potential to meet the future housing needs of Minchinhampton	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is located at Minchinhampton a Tier 2 settlement and would be in accordance with the development strategy. The site will meet local housing needs arising from within the AONB and an 80 dwelling extension to Minchinhampton would not constitute major development within the AONB. The site has been assessed as being the best edge of settlement site in terms of landscape sensitivity to development and development will provide strategic landscaping to enhance the settlement edge in this location. The size of the site has now been reduced to only the northern portion of the Draft Plan site and vehicular access will now be solely from the north, ensuring no impact on town centre congestion. Safe, convenient walking and cycling access to the centre will be from the west and south of the development. Whilst there are constraints such as the adjoining "Bulwarks" Scheduled Ancient Monument, the policy identifies the need to avoid direct impacts and mitigation measures can be dealt with at the planning application stage. There are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
The Lemon Field	MIN006		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the impact on the character of Minchinhampton Conservation Area and the settings of multiple listed buildings that surround the</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Common Road	MIN007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, damaging to the historical character of the area and Minchinhampton Common and extending the settlement form to join up to Fitzroy. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Seymour House/ Westfield Cottage	MIN008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Field north east of Deans Quarry	MIN009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land to rear of Tranquility, Houndscroft	MIN013		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land north and west of The Yews	MIN014		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development, due to likely landscape and heritage impacts. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. The land parcel also contributes to the setting of the Minchinhampton Common multi-period scheduled monument and adjacent listed buildings at a key gateway to the settlement. Development would adversely affect the setting and character of the Common, and would impinge on the skyline on the wold edge, which would be uncharacteristic of the main settlement form. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
The Old Builders Yard	MIN015		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development, due to likely landscape impact. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Redevelopment of the site would be in contrast to the largely unsettled character and extend urban development beyond the defined built edge into the open AONB countryside at an important gateway to the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land on north side of Woefulthane Bottom	MIN016		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development, due to likely landscape impact. The site is located within the</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Redevelopment of the site would be in contrast to the largely unsettled character and extend urban development beyond the defined built edge into the open AONB countryside at an important gateway to the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Former garage site	MIN017		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Nailsworth</i>							
Garden land on the west side of Wood Lane	NAI001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development as there is no longer any access to the site and any development is likely to impact on the character and appearance of the adjacent conservation area. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Between Old Bristol Rd & Bath Rd	NAI004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. It is located outside the settlement limits, adjacent to the conservation area and within the Cotswold AONB. Its steep wooded slope may prove a challenge to any excavation and engineering works. The site serves as an important separation of the close-knit core of the settlement from the loose-knit development beyond. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land between Worley	NAI006		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development. There are inadequate pedestrian links to local facilities and the site is accessed

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Ridge & New Market Rd							from a sub standard track which would be unsuitable to cater for increased traffic. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjacent to Lower Newmarket Road	NAI007	NAI B	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
The New Lawn, Nailsworth	NAI008		Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS06)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. Development will be subject to the satisfactory relocation of Forest Green football club. The location of the site within Nailsworth, a Tier 2 settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints including ensuring existing community and sporting uses are replaced elsewhere and safeguarding and enhancing landscape boundary features and local biodiversity, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land north of Nortonwood	NAI009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> This site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Housing development would be detrimental to its character, landform sensitivity and tranquillity. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land between Northfield Road & Stroud Road	NAI010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for housing development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact. Housing development in this area would remove the openness of the valley side, erode the distinctive character of the steep valley slopes and have a detrimental effect on all visual receptors and users of public rights of way. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Jubilee Road	NAI011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact. Housing development in this area would remove the openness of the valley side, erode the distinctive character of the steep valley slopes and have a detrimental effect on all visual receptors and users of public rights of way. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Nympsfield Road/Nortonwood Junction	NAI012	NAI A	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Land North of Nympsfield Road will not be taken forward in the Local Plan process. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and national

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							planning guidance advises that such a location is unlikely to be a suitable area to accommodate the unmet needs of adjacent areas, such as Nailsworth. The Council is intending to allocate a large site outside the AONB but within close proximity of this site that is more appropriate to meet the future housing needs of Nailsworth. This site is not associated with a settlement within the AONB and therefore is not a suitable location to meet needs arising from within the AONB.
Land south of Avening Road	NAI013		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site includes NAI003 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, within the Cotswolds AONB. The site is located adjacent to a key wildlife site and is not within close distance of the town centre. There are therefore potential impacts together with the physical constraints of steep wooded slopes preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Additional land off Nortonwood	NAI014		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan as a small extension to PS07 North of Nympsfield Road.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Land North of Nympsfield Road will not be taken forward in the Local Plan process. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and national planning guidance advises that such a location is unlikely to be a suitable area to accommodate the unmet needs of adjacent areas, such as Nailsworth. The Council is intending to allocate a large site outside the AONB but within close proximity of this site that is more appropriate to meet the future housing needs of Nailsworth. This site is not associated with a settlement within the AONB and therefore is not a suitable location to meet needs arising from within the AONB.</p>
<i>Newport</i>							

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land south of Newport Towers Hotel	NPT001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Church View	NPT004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Newtown and Sharpness</i>							
Land south of primary school	NEW003 a	NEW A2	Yes	Yes - as mixed use	Yes - as mixed use	Yes - as mixed use (PS36)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has identified potential impacts on Severn Estuary designations but the policy and supporting text will require substantial mitigation measures to ensure there are no significant impacts and potential benefits from allocation. Whilst there are constraints, including flood risk, landscape and biodiversity impacts, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Infrastructure improvements relating to drainage and flood risk will also benefit existing residents within the area. The new settlement is being designed with strategic landscaping buffers, a network of multifunctional Green Infrastructure and with a strong design code to protect the separate character and identify of Berkeley, Sharpness, Wanswell, Brookend and rural hamlets. The site will have no adverse impact on the operational requirements of the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority and Magnox. There are positive benefits related to the regeneration of the Berkeley/Sharpness area, with the new settlement complementing and supporting existing regeneration initiatives at Sharpness Docks and the former Berkeley Power Station. The development of 10 hectares of employment land will complement the growing employment opportunities at the Gloucestershire Science and Technology Park and adjacent to Sharpness Docks. Proximity to the historic market town of Berkeley will provide services and facilities for the new community in the early years and support the vitality and viability of the market town, whilst the final range of services and facilities at the new settlement will benefit existing communities, once established. There is a particular opportunity to provide passenger services on the Sharpness rail branch line. The transport assessment work has identified a range of highway mitigation measures to ensure traffic and safety issues can be addressed and also opportunities for sustainable transport measures to provide realistic options to the use of the private car. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land at Saniger Lane	NEW003 b	NEW A1	Yes	Yes - as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS36)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has identified potential impacts on Severn Estuary designations but the policy and supporting text will require substantial mitigation measures to ensure there are no significant impacts and potential benefits from allocation. Whilst there are constraints, including flood risk, landscape and biodiversity impacts, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Infrastructure improvements relating to drainage and flood risk will also benefit existing residents within the area. The new settlement is being designed with strategic landscaping buffers, a network of multifunctional Green Infrastructure and with a strong design code to protect the separate character and</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							identify of Berkeley, Sharpness, Wanswell, Brookend and rural hamlets. The site will have no adverse impact on the operational requirements of the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority and Magnox. There are positive benefits related to the regeneration of the Berkeley/Sharpness area, with the new settlement complementing and supporting existing regeneration initiatives at Sharpness Docks and the former Berkeley Power Station. The development of 10 hectares of employment land will complement the growing employment opportunities at the Gloucestershire Science and Technology Park and adjacent to Sharpness Docks. Proximity to the historic market town of Berkeley will provide services and facilities for the new community in the early years and support the vitality and viability of the market town, whilst the final range of services and facilities at the new settlement will benefit existing communities, once established. There is a particular opportunity to provide passenger services on the Sharpness rail branch line. The transport assessment work has identified a range of highway mitigation measures to ensure traffic and safety issues can be addressed and also opportunities for sustainable transport measures to provide realistic options to the use of the private car. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land to the north of Oakfield Way	NEW005		Yes	No	Yes - as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS36)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Development would need to be considered as part of a wider development strategy for the area for it to have a coherent relationship with the existing settlement but on its own the site should not be developed as it provides a pastoral setting to Luggs Farm.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As part of a wider site, the site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan as part of wider site PS36. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has identified potential impacts on Severn Estuary designations but the policy and supporting text will require substantial mitigation measures to ensure there are no significant impacts and potential benefits from allocation. Whilst there are constraints, including flood risk, landscape and biodiversity impacts, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Infrastructure improvements relating to drainage and flood risk will also benefit existing residents within the area. The new settlement is being designed with strategic landscaping buffers, a network of multifunctional Green Infrastructure and with a strong design code to protect the separate character and identify of Berkeley, Sharpness, Wanswell, Brookend and rural hamlets. The site will have no adverse impact on the operational requirements of the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority and Magnox. There are positive benefits related to the regeneration of the Berkeley/Sharpness area, with the new settlement complementing and supporting existing regeneration initiatives at Sharpness Docks and the former Berkeley Power Station. The development of 10 hectares of employment land will complement the growing employment opportunities at the Gloucestershire Science and Technology Park and adjacent to Sharpness</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Docks. Proximity to the historic market town of Berkeley will provide services and facilities for the new community in the early years and support the vitality and viability of the market town, whilst the final range of services and facilities at the new settlement will benefit existing communities, once established. There is a particular opportunity to provide passenger services on the Sharpness rail branch line. The transport assessment work has identified a range of highway mitigation measures to ensure traffic and safety issues can be addressed and also opportunities for sustainable transport measures to provide realistic options to the use of the private car. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land at Focus School-Berkeley Campus, Station Road	NEW006		Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes - as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS35)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is located at Wanswell and would contribute to the provision of facilities associated with the proposed new settlement and would therefore be in accordance with the development strategy. Redevelopment of previously developed land for housing provides the opportunity to safeguard and improve existing open space on site to meet the recreational needs of the new community. Redevelopment can be accommodated without impacts on highway safety and development can connect to existing walking and cycling routes to Berkeley and the wider local area. The site is being actively promoted and</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Panthurst Farm	NEW007		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is distant from the nearest tier 3 settlement of Newtown and adjoins a landscape area considered to have high sensitivity to housing and employment uses. Over half of the site is within flood zones 2 and 3 and the remaining parcel of land is below the threshold for a strategic allocation. If comprehensive development of a new settlement takes place on adjoining land this site could be reconsidered for uses complementary to that development at a point in the future.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>North Nibley</i>							
Land south west of 19 Highlands Drive	NIB001		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impacts on an area of high landscape sensitivity and likely harmful impacts on the setting of nearby heritage assets. In addition, site access is unsatisfactory and the site's topography would make development conspicuous and difficult to integrate with the locally distinctive character of its surroundings. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land north of Warren Croft	NIB002	NIB A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjacent 38 Barrs Lane	NIB003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to west of New Road	NIB004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is located within the Cotswolds AONB where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Development here would be a conspicuous extension out into the rural landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Innock's Estate and east of	NIB005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises the southern part of NIB002 previously assessed as having potential for some development accessed from Barr's Lane. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Despite the

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Lowerhouse Lane							potential for sensitive development on the western part of the site, the only viable access presented is via the B4060 through an area deemed highly sensitive in landscape terms. Notwithstanding potential highway safety, this access would detract from the landscape setting of North Nibley village, within the Cotswolds AONB, at a sensitive gateway to the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>North Woodchester</i>							
Land off Selsley Road	NWO001	NWO A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, it is considered that the role of North Woodchester within the development strategy is better addressed through the committed scheme at Rooksmoor Mill and it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land east of Rooksmoor Hill	NWO003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is very steep and housing development would impinge on the openness and rural character of the slopes and reduce the gap between settlements. There are therefore physical constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Nympsfield</i>							



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at The Cross	NYM001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land west of Benton Court	NYM002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Front Street (rear of Cleve Hill), Nymfield	NYM003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Oakridge Lynch</i>							
North of The Crescent		OAK A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Painswick</i>							
Land on east side of Gyde Road	PAI001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at and surrounding Gyde House within the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south of Gyde House	PAI002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at Gyde House within the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Washwell Fields	PAI004	PAI A	Yes	No see PAI A	No see PAI A	No see PAI A	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north-east of Lower	PAI005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Housing development would result in a clear extension of the settlement up the slopes resulting in a significant adverse effect on the open, rural character of the valley

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Washwell Lane							<p>within the AONB. The southwest corner is important to the setting of the Conservation Area and development would disrupt the relationship between the historic edge to the settlement and the valley landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above  <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Painswick Mill	PAI006		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The impact on the setting and significance of the listed mill and on the group value of it together with the neighbouring cottages would be likely to preclude development on this site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above  <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Stamages	PAI007	PAI B	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Richmond Care Village	PAI008		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on a heritage asset and the area providing a rare space within the settlement fabric providing a contrast to the generally dense built form. Housing to the west could block the open views which would be significantly detrimental to the character of the approach to the core of the settlement and conservation</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Lower Broadhams	PAI009		yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Crab Orchard	PAI010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Groves Close	PAI011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the east of Stamages Lane	PAI012		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely impacts on heritage assets where the area provides a rare space within the settlement fabric providing a contrast to the generally dense built form. Housing could block the open views which would be significantly detrimental to the character of the approach to the core of the settlement and conservation area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing further sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Clattergrove	PAI013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Housing development would extend built development to the detriment of the rural character of the area and coalesce existing sporadic development. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
PAI014	Land to the South-east of Stroud Road		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> This area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, separating the core of the village from the countryside and the outlying sporadic development. As a gateway to Painswick the fields provide an historic landscape interest and context contributing to the character and setting of this settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p>
Washwell Fields		PAI A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS41)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site as a small extension to Painswick, a Tier 2 settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. The Council considers that the proposed scale of development can be justified as proportionate and specific to Painswick's local housing need and that moderate, planned growth is</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							necessary to sustain the settlement's role, function and community vitality. Matters can be addressed at the planning application stage and through policy wording limiting the developable area to avoid part of the site subject to the restrictive deed of covenant and there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Stamages		PAI B	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Randwick</i>							
Old chicken farm	RAN001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Saul</i>							
Land at Saul Farm	SAU001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Slimbridge</i>							

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land east of St John's Road	SLI001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely impact on an area of high/medium landscape sensitivity, and particularly the role this historic landscape plays in providing a setting for nearby heritage assets and in helping to define the character of the settlement as distinct from Cambridge. Furthermore, there are potential constraints which might affect the ability to create a new site access. There are therefore potential impacts and some physical constraint that would prevent sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Rear of Tynning Crescent/ South of Moorend Lane	SLI003	SLI A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>South Woodchester</i>							
Frogmarsh Meadows, north of Frogmarsh Mill	SWO002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Stinchcombe</i>							

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Townsend Farm	STI001		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Stone</i>							
Land at Damery Lane	STN002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Green Farm, Falfield	STN003		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Stonehouse</i>							
Magpies site, Oldends Lane,	STO002		Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS17)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is located at Stonehouse and would be in accordance with the development strategy. The boundary of the site is proposed to be extended to make explicit the</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							relationship between the community facilities to be improved and the enabling housing development. The housing development would enable the Town Council to enhance existing community facilities and provide for new community uses at the adjacent Oldends Lane recreation area. The site lies adjacent to the Bristol-Birmingham main railway line and future plans for a pedestrian bridge across the railway line for pedestrians and cyclists will require land on this site to be safeguarded for these purposes. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land at Park Road/ Severn Road	STO003		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land to rear of Regent Street	STO004		Yes	Yes	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the owners of the site have confirmed that it is not available for development and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Nutshell House	STO007		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of its location within flood zones 2 and 3 and because of the potential impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and on key views of the listed buildings of Nutshell Bridge, The Nutshell and Nutshell Cottage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Lower Mills	STO008		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of its location within flood zones 2 and 3 and because of the potential impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and on the setting of Bridgend House.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Rear gardens on north side of Pearcroft Road	STO009		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land on south side of Pearcroft Road	STO010		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because the paddock with some mature specimen trees (2 subject to TPO) is important to the character of the settlement, providing a soft southern edge to the town and significantly enhances the residential amenity of the area.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land north of The Glen, Woodcock Lane	STO012		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, adversely affecting the openness of the paddock and local landscape features and the setting for the AONB beyond. Development would severely restrict views from the local footpath and would create a</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							hard urban edge to Stonehouse and diminish its setting in views from the AONB. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Horsemarling Farm, Standish	STO013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would significantly extend the settlement into the AONB to the north and east, would be noticeable and prominent and would adversely affect its intrinsic scenic qualities and character. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Former Standish Hospital Site	STO014		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is generally not suitable for housing development because of the remoteness of the site from Stonehouse and the sensitive location within the Cotswolds AONB. However, there may be a case for enabling development to restore the heritage buildings on site. This is subject to a planning application to consider the specific case for enabling development and an allocation is considered inappropriate at this time. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development as a specific case for enabling development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is being developed.
Land at Stagholt Farm, West of B4008, Standish	STO015	STO B1	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the southern part of the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, further assessment work has identified landscape and visual concerns regarding

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							development at this sensitive location on the edge of the Cotswolds AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Nupend (Parcel B)	STO017	STO B2	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Stagholt Playing Field Car park	STO018		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have potential for a small exceptional affordable housing scheme on the southern portion of the site, subject to satisfactory replacement of existing changing facilities, car parking and securing appropriate access to adjacent allotments. By their very nature, exception sites are not proposed to be allocated in the Local Plan Review. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development
Paper Mill, Lower Mills	STO019		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the location within flood zone 3 and because of the contribution of open land to the character of the Conservation Area, providing visual and physical separation between the mill to the south, the distinctive Grade II listed group of

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Nutshell Bridge, Nutshell Cottage and The Nutshell to the north, and the modern development of Crescent Road / Crescent Close to the north and east. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Stroud Green	STO020		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the distance from local facilities at Stonehouse, the potential impact on local heritage and because of the likely high impact on the local landscape. The site contains a rising ridge where development is likely to be highly visible within the local area and incongruous as a finger of development within an otherwise rural landscape. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Stagholt Farm, Stonehouse	STO021		Yes	No	Yes – as mixed use	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site forms part of a wider land parcel located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating strategic growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is no longer available to form part of strategic development site PS19a Northwest of Stonehouse.
Part land south of Horsemarling Lane	STO022		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises part of the larger site STO013 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would significantly extend the settlement into the Cotswolds AONB to the north, would be noticeable and prominent and would adversely affect its intrinsic scenic qualities and character. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at The Glen	STO023		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site includes STO012 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the local landscape and wider setting of the Cotswolds AONB. Development would severely restrict views from the local footpath, would create a hard urban edge to Stonehouse and diminish its setting in views from the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Part land north west of Stonehouse	STO024		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises part of the larger site STO016 previously assessed as having potential for development as an extension to west of Stonehouse strategic development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is part of the larger site STO016 identified as a potential allocation in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Reliance Works, Downtown Road, Bridgend	STO025		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is part of Upper Mills Industrial Estate key employment site to be retained for employment purposes. The latest Employment Land Review (2020) has confirmed the value of retaining this employment site for employment purposes. The site is not suitable for residential development.
North/ North west of Stonehouse: East of railway		STO B1	Yes	Yes	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the southern part of the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, further assessment work has identified landscape and visual concerns regarding

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							development at this sensitive location on the edge of the Cotswolds AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Stroud</i>							
Land between Hillfield and Downfield	STR001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Avocet & Goldcrest Business Parks/ Stroud Metals	STR003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is allocated in the Local Plan for mixed use redevelopment consisting of canal related tourism development, retail and employment uses . A large part of the site is within flood zones 2 and 3 where housing uses are not appropriate. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjacent to Fromehall	STR005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Lower Wharf Industrial Estate	STR007	STR A2	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site has potential for partial redevelopment, maintaining and enhancing employment provision and enhancing the IHCA. However, there are major challenges, including flood risk, contaminated land and difficult access issues to address. Whilst landowners within the area are actively considering opportunities for redevelopment, it is premature to allocate the site for redevelopment in this Local Plan as too many issues remain unresolved. However, the area is identified within Policy EI2 as an area where there are opportunities to regenerate employment land for mixed use redevelopment and proposals which can satisfactorily address the outstanding constraints will be supported through the development management process.</p>
Wallbridge Fields	STR008		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be highly visible</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							and would erode the rural character, remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough Common. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Beeches Green area	STR010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre Neighbourhood Development Plan as part of the wider Beeches Green area suitable for the intensification or redevelopment of the education, health and social uses and for residential development on open land where no longer needed for operational purposes. The site is not currently available. However, the site is located within settlement development limits where, should it become available in the future, development opportunities could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Police station/ Magistrates Court	STR018		Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS12)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre NDP as suitable for redevelopment for town centre and mixed uses, including housing. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is a centrally located brownfield site where the owners are working actively with the District Council to consider redevelopment opportunities in the longer term. The potential need for a replacement Police station is referred to in the policy.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Middle Leazes, off Parliament Street,	STR019		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Rear gardens at Park Road	STR020		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land between 23 and 67 Summer Street	STR021		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely landscape impact, narrowing the width of the green corridor and diverging from the current settlement pattern. Part of the area's sensitivity lies in the views from the gaps along Summer Street. Development would also affect the setting of the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to north of Butterow West,	STR022		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be highly visible and would erode the rural character, remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough Common. In addition, part of the site constitutes a key wildlife site and development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Grange Fields	STR023		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. The site lies within

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the rear of 87 Summer Street	STR024		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site forms part of an incised valley landscape that is both a continuation of and indivisible from the adjacent Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and a key characteristic to the landscape setting of Stroud. Development would narrow the gap between the urban footprint to the north and south sides of the Slad Valley. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjacent to rear of 188 Bisley Road	STR025		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is entirely within the Cotswolds AONB and development would be widely visible and an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, detrimental to the character of the local landscape and Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Kilminster Farm	STR026		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, would be visible from a distance in several directions, as well as close to from the existing settlement edge and a local recreational area, and would be detrimental to the character of the local landscape, especially the adjoining AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Summer Crescent	STR030		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> A large part of the site is a protected outdoor play space and is not considered suitable for development. There are 13

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							garages to the north of the site. Housing development on this part of the site could only be considered as part of a wider scheme including the improvement of the protected open space/playground. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Slad Brook	STR031		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the rear of Thrupp House	STR033		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the settlement into the wider rural valley landscape above Bowbridge which would be visible from areas across the Frome valley. It is an integral part of the open countryside and within the designation of Cotswolds AONB. Part of the site adjoins Claypits Wood Key Wildlife Site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Farmhill Crescent	STR034		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, breaking into the open countryside and disrupting the development line on the ridgetop, eroding the gap between settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Callowell Farm	STR035		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, eroding the character and continuity of the Painswick Valley running into the settlement, adversely affecting the integrity and setting of Callowell Farm, and adversely affecting the relationship between Stratford Park and the wider

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land opposite Salmon Springs	STR036		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Hammonds Farm	STR037		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land east of Painswick Old Road	STR038	STR D	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. The site lies within Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Folly Lane	STR039		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the existing settlement boundary into the wider upper valley AONB landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the north east of Vatch View	STR040		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, changing an open field into a continuum of development and undermining the continuity and significance of the valley sand setting of

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Gunhouse Lane	STR041		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending settlement into the wider rural valley landscape above Bowbridge which would be visible from areas across the Frome valley. It is an integral part of the open countryside and within the designation of Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Rodborough, Lightpill/Roo ksmoor	STR043		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high heritage and landscape impact within the Cotswold AONB. Development would impact on the setting of the IHCA and key views of neighbouring listed buildings and would impinge on the openness and rural character of the slopes, reducing the gap between settlements. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land behind The Snow Mill	STR044		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the setting of the listed mill buildings. The site constitutes a key wildlife site and development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. The site also has physical constraints relating to vehicular access and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Jefferies Field	STR045		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The impact on the character and significance of the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings prohibits development on this site. The

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and double locks and associated Thames and Severn Way to the south. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land east of Jefferies Field	STR046		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings could prohibit development on this site. The area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and double locks and associated Thames and Severn Way to the south. The value of the area lies in its location within the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Playing field/ Tily's Field	STR047		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because it provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and constitutes an important open space within the wider built up area which, together with other open spaces, contributes to a notional green corridor/ setting to the AONB . There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Ebley Road	STR048		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings could prohibit development on this site. The area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and associated Thames and Severn Way to the south. The value of the area lies in its location within the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Rose Cottage	STR053		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site and the role it plays in the setting of local heritage assets. Development would be widely visible and would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape of the AONB, as well as having a negative impact on the setting of local heritage assets. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land off Meadow Lane	STR054		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development due to the significant heritage constraints presented by its location within the Ebley Mills Conservation Area and the potential impact on the setting of surrounding heritage assets. This is exacerbated by its high/medium landscape sensitivity. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land west of Devereaux Crescent	STR056	STR C	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Woodhouse Farm	STR057		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site within the Cotswold AONB. The majority of the site constitutes a key wildlife site and has significant physical constraints relating to topography.</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							The impact on the character, setting and significance of multiple heritage assets, including Stroud's conservation areas and Rodborough Fort, would be likely to preclude development on the majority of this site. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south of Bisley Road	STR055		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site. Development within this land parcel would be widely visible and would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape. It would be detrimental to the character of the local landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Former garage site Hillcrest Road	STR058		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Former garages site adj 11 Queens Drive	STR059		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Garage site Orchard Road	STR060		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Garages at Nouncells Cross	STR061		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Part land south of Kilminster Farm	STR062		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises part of the larger site STR026 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, would be visible from a distance in several directions, as well as close to from the existing settlement edge and a local recreational area, and would be detrimental to the character of the local landscape, especially the adjoining AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Wickridge Farm	STR063		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site was not promoted at this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, within the Cotswolds AONB, and harm to the historic landscape setting and significance of listed buildings at Wickridge Farm and Wades Farm.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Development would be further detrimental to the character and skyline of the Slad Valley landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Additional land north of Folly Lane	STR064		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site was not promoted at this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, within the Cotswolds AONB. Development would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, detrimental to the character and skyline of the local landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p>
North of Grange View/ Delmont Grove		STR D	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Beeches Green	STR065		Yes	No	No	Yes – as mixed use (STR065) (site identified through the Additional Housing Options consultation (October 2020))	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. The site was included in the Additional Housing Options Consultation Paper October 2020 as a potential site for residential development, healthcare and extra care accommodation</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is a centrally located brownfield site within Stroud, a Tier 1 settlement, and is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints including integration with surrounding land uses, enhancing the setting of adjacent listed buildings and improving sustainable access through the town centre, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Part STR38 Land east of Painswick Old Road	STR066		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site was not promoted at this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is considered to be unsuitable for development, due to the likely landscape impacts. Development of this site, located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape and would be detrimental to the character and skyline of the local landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>Upton St Leonard</i>							
Land at Nuthill	UPT001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered unsuitable for development because of its remote location relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. The Lane is also narrow, sunken and rural in character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land South of Bondend Road	UPT002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact from development of this field identified in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment analysis.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
The Stanley	UPT003	UPT A1	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.
Land east of Perry Orchard	UPT004	UPT A2	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land south of High Street	UPT005		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would extend the settlement into the AONB, adversely affecting the intimate character of the valley and the escarpment foot slopes, and also, potentially, adversely affecting the tree cover. The extent of the development site would detrimentally alter rural character.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land south of Hucclecote Meadows	UPT006		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Brockworth	UPT007		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Brockworth and Upton St Leonards. Landscape features indicate an open parkland landscape setting of a former manor estate. The area provides an immediate setting to the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land adj to Brockworth Airfield	UPT008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact within the AONB on rising ground on the escarpment edge, narrowing the gap between Brockworth and Upton St Leonards. Landscape features indicate an open parkland landscape setting of a former manor estate. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Hardwick Farm	UPT009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Prinknash Abbey	UPT010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Birchall Lane	UPT011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> This area is generally not suitable for built development because of the likely adverse landscape impact. Housing within the area would extend the settlement along the M5, adversely affecting the intimate character of the valley and tree cover. It would erode the separate character of Upton St Leonards settlement by coalescing sporadic development at Grove and St Leonards Court with a main access into adjoining Gloucester City suburbs and would detrimentally alter the rural character of the area. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Field south of Fieldway	UPT012		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development as this would detrimentally alter the rural character. The site is in a relatively remote

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							location detached from the village core and within a river corridor important to the footslopes of the AONB. The area acts as a visual and physical separation between the main settlement and the scattered rural dwellings further to the south east. The Lane is also narrow and rural in character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Part Land south of the High Street	UPT013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises part of the larger site UPT005 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would extend the settlement into the AONB, adversely affecting the intimate character of the valley and the escarpment foot slopes, and also, potentially, adversely affecting the tree cover. Development site would detrimentally alter rural character and have potential to coalesce sporadic development here. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Upton Lane	UPT014		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises the combined area of UPT006 and UPT007 previously assessed as having some future potential within UPT006 subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Brockworth and Upton St Leonards. Landscape features indicate an open parkland landscape setting of a former manor estate. The area provides an immediate setting to the Cotswolds AONB and shares the same landscape characteristics. Development may impact the adjacent SSSI through isolation from the wider countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
<i>Whitminster</i>							
Land East of School Lane	WHI001	WHI E	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land north of Hyde Lane	WHI002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, highly visible to the north and separate from the main part of the settlement in open countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land west of Upton's Garden	WHI004	WHI B	Yes	No	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS45)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement, outside the Cotswolds AONB, in reasonable proximity to strategic transport corridors. The site is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with a range of local facilities and is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site as a small sustainable extension to Whitminster, a Tier 3a settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are specific site issues, including impacts on designated heritage assets, wider landscape setting and local biodiversity, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
Land West of School Lane	WHI005	WHI A	Yes	No	No See WHI A	No See WHI A	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Highfield Nurseries	WHI006		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site, visible on the unspoilt skyline</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							north of the settlement and viewed as part of the rural area from the north, west and south west. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to west of Paynes Meadow	WHI008	WHI D	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Jaxons Farm	WHI010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site includes WHI002 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, highly visible to the north and separate from the main part of the settlement in open countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Grove End Farm	WHI011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. The site is part of a larger site WHI014 included in the Additional Housing Options Consultation Paper October 2020 as potential growth point PGP1, Land at Grove End

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Farm for mixed use development (see site WHI014/PGP1). <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south of Hyde Lane	WHI012		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. The site was included in the Additional Housing Options Consultation Paper October 2020 as a potential site for residential development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the results of public consultation, assessment work and local evidence, the Council has decided not to allocated this site due to inadequate access along Hyde Lane as a main access to development and its role within the wider Public Rights of Way network. It is considered that local housing needs can be better provided for at PS45 Land west of Upton's Gardens and PS46 Land west of School Lane, in accordance with the development strategy for Whitminster as an accessible settlement with local facilities.
Land at Grove End Farm (2,250 dwellings, 18ha employment land, local centre, primary school and sports pitches)	WHI014/PGP1 Combined site WHI007 & WHI011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. The site was identified in the Additional Housing Options Consultation Paper October 2020 as potential growth point PGP1 Land at Grove End Farm for mixed use development including 2,250 dwellings, 18ha employment land, local centre, primary school and sports pitches. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the results of public consultation, assessment work and local evidence, the Council has decided not to take this growth point forward into the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan. The site performs less well than alternatives sites in terms of meeting sustainability appraisal objectives and compatibility with the proposed development strategy.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
North of Whitminster Playing field		WHI A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS46)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement, outside the Cotswolds AONB, in reasonable proximity to strategic transport corridors. The site is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with a range of local facilities and is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site as a sustainable extension to Whitminster, a Tier 3a settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are specific site issues, including public footpaths, and impacts on the wider landscape setting and local biodiversity, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
East of School Lane		WHI E	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Wotton-under-Edge</i>							
Land at Holywell Farm	WUE001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Development of this site would result in material harm to the character and appearance of the Cotswolds AONB and likely harm to protected species on site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north east of Cherry Orchard	WUE002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The area's sensitivity lies in its very steeply sloping slopes, its relationship with the upper scarp slopes and contribution to their character and its openness to view across the valley. It would be very difficult to accommodate and mitigate on the steep slopes. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Fountain Crescent	WUE003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is now being developed for housing <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has been developed.
Land south of Bearlands	WUE004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. It would extend the current visible linear edge over onto the slope and would be inappropriate running down the slopes compromising a key characteristic of the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
45 Water Lane	WUE005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land west of Water Lane	WUE006		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The area is steep sloping and contributes as a green corridor. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south of Symn Lane	WUE007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the current visible linear edge over onto the slope. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off The Chipping	WUE008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Hawpark Farm	WUE010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site including the setting of the listed Kingswood House and Park Mill Farm. Development would encroach on the sensitive visual gap between Wotton under Edge and Kingswood, eroding the separation and distinct nature of the two settlements, and detract from the character of the listed buildings as outliers within the rural edge landscape. There are

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<b>Employment sites</b>							
<i>Berkeley</i>							
Old Piggery	BER012	BER C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Former Berkeley Power Station	BER013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already identified in the Local Plan for B1-B8 employment uses and so allocation of the land for additional employment development is not required. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Brimcombe</i>							
Griffin Mills and Eagle Works Industrial Estates	BRI001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Lower Brimcombe Mills	BRI006	BRI A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some redevelopment for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future,



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Stafford Mills Industrial Estate	BRI018		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some redevelopment for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Cam</i>							
Cam Mills, Everlands	CAM002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Draycott/ Middle Mill Industrial Estate	CAM019		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Rear of 4-60 Draycott	CAM020		Yes	Yes	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However the site is partly in active use, is in multiple ownerships and is not being actively promoted. Consequently, the site is not considered deliverable and has been removed as a potential employment allocation in the Draft Local Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Eastington</i>							
Land at Meadow Mill	EAS006		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received outline planning permission for employment development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Planning permission for employment development on the site has now lapsed. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for strategic employment development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Junction 13 of the M5	EAS007	STO D1, STO D2	Yes	Yes (now split into 2 sections)	Yes – as a single site for mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS20)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at the Junction of the M5 motorway and the A419 and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan as part of a wider land parcel located at the Junction of the M5 motorway and the A419. The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for new employment land located at the key employment property market areas identified in the ELR. The overall quantum of employment land is intended to meet labour supply requirements and to deliver the aspirations of GFirst Local Industrial Strategy. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including impacts upon the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area (IHCA) and flood risk, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. A comprehensive mitigation package for impacts upon the IHCA will require a Canal cut, towpath and operational uses as part of the restoration of the Stroudwater Canal to navigable uses from Saul Junction to Stroud. The location of primarily grass training pitches within the IHCA will minimise flood risk, minimise the impact of built development on the IHCA and ensure uses are compatible with sensitive neighbouring uses including William Morris House. There are positive benefits related to significantly improved facilities for Forest Green Rovers Football Club, a high quality business park focussed on green technologies in an accessible location and the potential to significantly improve sustainable transport services along the A419 corridor including multi-modal transport interchange facilities, enhancing local walking and cycling routes and contributions to the reopening of Stonehouse railway station. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Motorway Depot at M5 Junction 13	EAS010		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land adjoining Oldbury Lodge	EAS017		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely landscape and heritage impacts. Development of this site would be detrimental to this green corridor, visibly extending sporadic development into the rural fringe and urbanising the area between Chipman's Platt, Church End, Nastend and Newtown. A heritage assessment has indicated that the impact on the character, setting and significance of the Conservation Area could prohibit development on this site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Frampton</i>							
Netherhills Depot	FRA003	FRA C	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
North of Perry Way, A38		FRA C	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Hardwicke</i>							
Land at Shorn Brook	HAR003		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> From the information available, employment development is not considered appropriate given the local amenity, character contribution, access and potential flooding constraints on Shorn Brook further west. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Summerhill Equestrian Centre	HAR006		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because the site is isolated and detached from the Gloucester Urban Area and has physical constraints relating to current active sport/recreation use and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Moreton Valence Airfield	HAR009		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
CM Downton Ltd	HAR019		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to consultation on the Draft Plan and identified as having future potential for some additional and sensitively designed employment development in association with the existing employment use.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not considered appropriate as a strategic employment allocation due to the established rural character of its location, separate from existing established settlements with services and facilities. The site has not been identified as a Key Employment site due to the scale of development appropriate to the rural area. The Council support the protection of the site for employment uses in accordance with Delivery Policy EI4 with opportunities for appropriate intensification through the development management process.</p>
Broad location at Moreton Valence / Hardwicke	HAR006-HAR009 and HAR015-HAR016/PGP2		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Sites assessed on an individual basis at this stage as above.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Broad location at Moreton Valence / Hardwicke promoted in response to consultation on the Draft Plan and identified in the Additional Housing Options consultation (October 2020) as potential growth point PGP 2 for mixed use including up to 1,500 dwellings, employment land, local centre, primary school, community facilities and open space .</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the results of public consultation, assessment work and local evidence, the Council has decided not to take this growth point forward into the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan. The site performs less well than alternative sites in terms of meeting sustainability appraisal objectives and compatibility with the proposed development strategy.
<i>Haresfield</i>							
Blooms Garden Centre	HFD007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
SA4a Quedgeley East	HFD008		Yes	Yes	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for employment development and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Quedgeley East Extension	HFD009		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as employment use (PS32)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is an extension to the existing employment allocation at Quedgeley East and is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site, as an extension to an existing high value key employment site, is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth and there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land west of 3 The Cottages, Haresfield	HFD010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of employment growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements or related to existing employment sites and is not considered a sustainable location for new development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land east of Gloucester Road (B4008), Haresfield	HFD011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the countryside gap and historic landscape setting to Haresfield listed buildings and monument. There are therefore significant landscape and heritage impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land west of Gloucester Road (B4008), Haresfield	HFD012		Yes	No	Yes	Yes – as employment use (PS43)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located adjacent to Javelin Park waste incinerator and is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site, as an extension to an existing high value key employment site, is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth and there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. A southerly extension to the site will provide a more deliverable footprint for the development. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land at Haresfield Playing Field	HFD013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new development.
<i>Kingswood</i>							
Land west of Renishaw New mills	KIN012		Yes	No	Yes	Yes – as employment use (PS47)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is part of the existing land ownership at Renishaw New Mills, a notable employment 'hub' and Key Employment Site. The SALA identifies that the site has potential for development as an extension to Renishaw New Mills subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. The site offers opportunities to facilitate sustainable transport links between Kingswood, Wotton under Edge and the wider area including the Wotton-Charfield-Kingswood Greenway. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site, as

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							an extension to an existing high value key employment site, is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth and there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
<i>Minchinhampton</i>							
Land parcel south of Old Aerodrome Farm	MIN011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Development would impact on the generally open and undeveloped character of the area, removed from established settlements with services and facilities. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjoining Aston Down Business Park	MIN012		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Development would impact on the generally open and undeveloped character of the area, removed from established settlements with services and facilities. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Nailsworth</i>							
Nailsworth Garden Centre	NAI002	NAI C	Yes	Yes	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the site has now been bought and reinvested in as an operational garden centre. There is no evidence to suggest deliverability for wider employment purposes and the site has therefore been removed as a potential allocation for employment purposes.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Gigg Mill, between Old Bristol Rd & Old Horsley Rd	NAI005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some redevelopment for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Newtown and Sharpness</i>							
SA5a South of Severn Distribution park	NEW004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received outline planning permission for employment development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Newport</i>							
Actrees Farm	NPT003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for employment development as an extension to existing employment uses subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the site is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for the location of further strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor and it is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for employment development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>South Woodchester</i>							
South Woodchester Industrial Area	SWO001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Stonehouse</i>							
Land adj ABB/Kent, Oldends Lane	STO001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at The Glen	STO023		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site includes STO012 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the local landscape and wider setting of the Cotswolds AONB. Development would severely restrict views from the local footpath, would create a hard urban edge to Stonehouse and diminish its setting in views from the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Stroud</i>							
Fromeside	STR012		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Libbys Drive/ Slad Road	STR027		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that part of the site may be suitable for some development for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However,

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
New Mills Trading Estate/ Libbys Drive	STR029		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Bath Road Trading Estate	STR042	STR B2	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Brunsdons Yard	STR049	KST D	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Bath Road Industrial Estate		STR B2	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<b>Mixed use sites</b>							
<i>Brimscombe</i>							
Ham Mill	BRI002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for mixed use development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. However, there remains uncertainty about the availability of the site and planning permission has now lapsed. The site is identified within Policy EI2 as an area where there are opportunities to regenerate employment land for mixed use redevelopment and proposals which can satisfactorily address constraints will be supported through the development management process.
Land at Hope Mill Lane	BRI003		Yes	Yes	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the site is not being actively promoted and has significant contaminated land challenges. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Brimscombe Port Industrial Estate	BRI009	BRI B	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS02)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for lesser levels of growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is allocated in the current adopted Local Plan and is located within the Stroud Valleys canal corridor where regeneration of this brownfield site would be in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints such as heritage assets and potential flood risk which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The Council is actively progressing the regeneration of this site and the restoration of the Thames and Severn Canal basin with help and funding from various public agencies. Existing uses on-site have short term leases only and will need to vacate the site. A development partner will be selected by the Council shortly and delivery is expected as set out in the Local Plan.
Bourne Mills	BRI021	BRI B	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some mixed use redevelopment subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
The Bungalow and yard, Toadsmoor Road, Brimscombe	BRI023		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							is not considered a sustainable location for new development.
<i>Brookthorpe</i>							
Land at Whaddon	BRO002		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (G2)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located on the Gloucester Fringe and has been identified as a potential alternative site to meet Gloucester's long term housing needs. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for safeguarding to meet the needs of Gloucester City in the Local Plan. This site is not associated with any established Stroud settlement set out within the settlement hierarchy and is a poor fit with the development strategy for Stroud District. However, the site relates well to the urban edge of Gloucester and this site offers the potential to contribute to Gloucester City's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to M5, Cotswolds escarpment and impacts on local heritage and flood risk, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Strategic landscaping buffers will protect local amenity and adverse impacts on the AONB, accessible natural green space along Daniels Brook will assist with addressing wider flood risk in the area and a sensitive layout and design approach will conserve local heritage assets including Whaddon Church. There are also positive benefits related to proximity to the A4173 and the ability to connect to strategic bus</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>services and proximity to jobs, services and facilities within the local area. The transport assessment work has identified opportunities for sustainable transport measures and contribution to highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed.</p> <p>The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
<i>Cam</i>							
Coaley Junction	CAM014		Yes	Yes	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has since received planning permission for residential development and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is being developed for housing.</p>
SA3 North east of Cam	CAM017		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for mixed use development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land north of Upthorpe	CAM018	CAM D, CAM E	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Cambridge/ Coaley B	CAM027		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the landscape harm, eroding the countryside gap between Slimbridge, Cambridge and Cam. The site also lacks an obvious vehicular access. There are therefore potential impacts and constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Upthorpe Farm (part)	CAM029		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises part of the larger CAM003 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact including the impact on the setting and key views of nearby listed buildings. Housing development would erode the separation between Cam and Upthorpe, extend above the 50m contour to be visible from Peaked Down and the AONB and encroach on the setting of Dulkan Brook. Employment development would further have a very adverse effect on the rural character of the area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Oakland House	CAM030		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would result in the loss of the mature trees and gardens surrounding Oakland House, which make an important contribution to the local landscape setting, and would be detrimental to the amenities of the local area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location</p>
Land south of Everside Lane	CAM031		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact and impact on the historic context and setting of Grade II listed Woodend Green Farmhouse. The site is conspicuous in longer range views from the south, including from the network of public footpaths south of Woodside Lane and the more distant wooded scarp and plays a significant role in the local landscape setting. Development would have a detrimental impact on the rural character and setting of Grade II Listed Woodend Green Farm. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p>
Additional land north of Upthorpe	CAM032		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; housing development would be highly visible rising up the slopes and would erode the separation between Cam and Upthorpe. The site lies above the 50m contour line where development is considered widely visible and detrimental to the rural setting of Cam. Employment development would further have a very adverse effect on the rural character of the area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p>
Land north of 7 – 9A Upthorpe	CAM033		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; housing development would be highly visible rising up the slopes and would erode the separation between Cam and Upthorpe. The site lies above the 50m contour line where development is considered widely visible and detrimental to the rural setting of Cam. Employment development would further</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							have a very adverse effect on the rural character of the area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
South of Draycott Farm		CAM D	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Dursley</i>							
1-25 Long Street	DUR003		Yes	Yes	Yes (part)	Yes – as town centre uses (PS27)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements and maximising the use of brownfield land within settlement development limits. The site is considered suitable and offers the opportunity to provide the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site within Dursley, a Tier 1 settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are specific site issues, including impacts on designated heritage assets and local biodiversity, access and multiple ownership,</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is not currently being actively promoted however there is a reasonable expectation that the site will be taken forward during the lifetime of the Plan.
Reliance House	DUR004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for redevelopment for car parking. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has been redeveloped for car parking. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the rear of 12 - 14 Parsonage Street	DUR018		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Eastington</i>							
Land rear of Alkerton Court	EAS002	EAS B2	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is in existing employment use. At Eastington there is a programme of community led housing developments to be delivered as rural exception sites as set out in the Neighbourhood

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Development Plan. It is understood that broad locations identified through the Local Plan process may have potential for this form of development and therefore at this stage it is expected that further growth at Eastington (Alkerton) will be developed through this approach. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
West of Alkerton: Alkerton Court		EAS B2	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> At Eastington there is a programme of community led housing developments to be delivered as rural exception sites as set out in the Neighbourhood Development Plan. It is understood that broad locations identified through the Local Plan process may have potential for this form of development and therefore at this stage it is expected that further growth at Eastington (Alkerton) will be developed through this approach. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Frampton</i>							
Land at Whitminster Lane/ Church Lane	FRA002	FRA A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for the location of further strategic employment growth at accessible

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Hardwicke</i>							
Land at Quadrant Distribution Centre	HAR013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified as a Key Employment Site EK13 Hunts Grove/ Quadrant Distribution Centre and is not suitable for mixed use redevelopment due to the loss of key employment land. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Kings Stanley</i>							
Land parcel north west of Stanley Mills	KST007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely harm to local heritage (conservation areas and listed buildings), harm or loss to a key wildlife site and because of its location within flood risk zones 2 and 3. The site also lacks an obvious vehicular access. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Minchinhampton</i>							
Aston Down Business Park	MIN010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Local Plan as a Key Employment Site and is not suitable for housing development because of the relatively remote location of Aston Down relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Newport</i>							
Land off the A38 at Actrees Farm	NPT002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new mixed use development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Newtown and Sharpness</i>							
Sharpness Docks	NEW001		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS34)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is an existing allocation within the current adopted Local Plan and has been thoroughly assessed previously through the process of examining that Plan. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has identified potential impacts on Severn Estuary designations but the policy and supporting text require substantial mitigation measures to ensure there are no significant impacts and potential benefits from allocation. Whilst there are constraints, including flood risk, land contamination and proximity to a working port, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. There has been detailed work on layout matters to ensure that development will be safe from any potential safety incidents at the southern Docks. The housing is specifically required to deliver the leisure and tourism led vision for the northern Sharpness Docks. The vision will positively enhance heritage assets within the area. There are positive benefits related to the regeneration of the Berkeley/Sharpness area, with the regeneration of the Sharpness Docks complementing the regeneration of the former Berkeley Power Station for employment uses and the proposed new settlement, with the promoters working with the Berkeley Vale Railway to bring back</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							passenger services to the Sharpness Branchline for both tourism and commuting purposes. An enhanced rail branch line also provides the opportunity to achieve a freight railhead at the Docks. The transport assessment work has identified a range of highway mitigation measures to ensure traffic and safety issues can be addressed and also opportunities for sustainable transport measures to provide realistic options to the use of the private car. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The Canal and River Trust is actively delivering its vision for Sharpness and a current planning application is at an advanced stage within the decision making process.
Land at Sharpness (Sanigar Farm)	NEW002	NEW A1, NEW A2, NEW A3, NEW A4, NEW A5	Yes	Yes (polygon amended to exclude A3 no longer being promoted)	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS36)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has identified potential impacts on Severn Estuary designations but the policy and supporting text will</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>require substantial mitigation measures to ensure there are no significant impacts and potential benefits from allocation. Whilst there are constraints, including flood risk, landscape and biodiversity impacts, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Infrastructure improvements relating to drainage and flood risk will also benefit existing residents within the area. The new settlement is being designed with strategic landscaping buffers, a network of multifunctional Green Infrastructure and with a strong design code to protect the separate character and identify of Berkeley, Sharpness, Wanswell, Brookend and rural hamlets. The site will have no adverse impact on the operational requirements of the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority and Magnox.</p> <p>There are positive benefits related to the regeneration of the Berkeley/Sharpness area, with the new settlement complementing and supporting existing regeneration initiatives at Sharpness Docks and the former Berkeley Power Station. The development of 10 hectares of employment land will complement the growing employment opportunities at the Gloucestershire Science and Technology Park and adjacent to Sharpness Docks. Proximity to the historic market town of Berkeley will provide services and facilities for the new community in the early years and support the vitality and viability of the market town, whilst the final range of services and facilities at the new settlement will benefit existing communities, once established. There is a particular opportunity to provide passenger services on the Sharpness rail branch line. The transport assessment work has identified a range of highway mitigation measures to ensure traffic and safety issues can be addressed and also opportunities for sustainable transport measures to provide realistic options to the use of the private car. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Additional land at Newtown and Wanswell	NEW002a		Yes	No	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS36)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.</p> <p>The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has identified potential impacts on Severn Estuary designations but the policy and supporting text will require substantial mitigation measures to ensure there are no significant impacts and potential benefits from allocation.</p> <p>Whilst there are constraints, including flood risk, landscape and biodiversity impacts, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Infrastructure improvements relating to drainage and flood risk will also benefit existing residents within the area. The new settlement is being designed with strategic landscaping buffers, a network of multifunctional Green Infrastructure and with a strong design code to protect the separate character and identity of Berkeley, Sharpness, Wanswell, Brookend and rural hamlets. The site will have no adverse impact on</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>the operational requirements of the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority and Magnox. There are positive benefits related to the regeneration of the Berkeley/Sharpness area, with the new settlement complementing and supporting existing regeneration initiatives at Sharpness Docks and the former Berkeley Power Station. The development of 10 hectares of employment land will complement the growing employment opportunities at the Gloucestershire Science and Technology Park and adjacent to Sharpness Docks. Proximity to the historic market town of Berkeley will provide services and facilities for the new community in the early years and support the vitality and viability of the market town, whilst the final range of services and facilities at the new settlement will benefit existing communities, once established. There is a particular opportunity to provide passenger services on the Sharpness rail branch line.</p> <p>The transport assessment work has identified a range of highway mitigation measures to ensure traffic and safety issues can be addressed and also opportunities for sustainable transport measures to provide realistic options to the use of the private car. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
<i>Saul</i>							
Fretherne Nurseries	SAU002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is generally not suitable for housing development because of the remoteness of the site from a sustainable settlement and the likely significant heritage impact. Sensitive re-use and partial redevelopment for mixed use may be acceptable to secure the long term future of this important heritage asset. A comprehensive masterplan and supporting heritage justification would be required and an allocation is considered inappropriate at this time.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Slimbridge</i>							

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Cambridge/ Coaley A	SLI002		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS37)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the wider site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.</p>
Land east of Gossington	SLI004		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS37)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives,</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							the Council considers that the wider site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.
Land south of Cambridge	SLI005		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS37)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the wider site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.</p>
Land at Cambridge/ Coaley A, Land east of Gossington and Land south of Cambridge	SLI002, SLI004, and SLI005		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS37)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The site is considered</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.</p> <p>The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the M5 motorway and gas mains on-site, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Strategic landscaping buffers around the site and a strong design code will prevent physical and visual coalescence with neighbouring villages.</p> <p>There are positive benefits related to proximity to Cam &amp; Dursley station with opportunities to improve access to the station for walkers and cyclists, opportunities to link the Uley-Dursley- Cam Greenway with the Sustrans route at Slimbridge, the ability to connect to bus services along the A4135 and A38 and relative proximity to jobs, services and facilities at Cam &amp; Dursley.</p> <p>The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
M D Collins Steel Buildings Ltd	SLI006		Yes	No	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS37)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the wider site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.</p> <p>The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the M5 motorway and gas mains on-site, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Strategic landscaping buffers around the site and a strong design code will prevent physical and visual coalescence with neighbouring villages.</p> <p>There are positive benefits related to proximity to Cam &amp; Dursley station with opportunities to improve access to the station for walkers and cyclists, opportunities to link the Uley-Dursley- Cam Greenway with the Sustrans route at Slimbridge, the ability to connect to bus services along the A4135 and A38 and relative proximity to jobs, services and facilities at Cam &amp; Dursley.</p> <p>The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land south of Slimbridge (Wisloe) roundabout, Slimbridge	SLI007		Yes	No	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS37)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the wider site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.</p> <p>The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the M5 motorway and gas mains on-site, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Strategic landscaping buffers around the site and a strong design code will prevent physical and visual coalescence with neighbouring villages.</p> <p>There are positive benefits related to proximity to Cam &amp; Dursley station with opportunities to improve access to</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							the station for walkers and cyclists, opportunities to link the Uley-Dursley- Cam Greenway with the Sustrans route at Slimbridge, the ability to connect to bus services along the A4135 and A38 and relative proximity to jobs, services and facilities at Cam & Dursley. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
<i>Stone</i>							
Land adjoining the Pines, Stone	STN001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new mixed use development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to east of A38 at Stone	STN004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> : The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Stonehouse</i>							
Land South of Bristol Road	STO006	STO A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land North West of Stonehouse	STO016	STO B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS19a)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan with increased capacity to make efficient use of land. This urban extension to Stonehouse, a Tier 1 settlement, is a good fit with the development strategy and will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the Bristol-Birmingham rail line and visibility from the Cotswolds escarpment, strategic landscaping along boundaries will minimise any potential amenity or visual impacts. The development will enhance planned open space provision at Great Oldbury and will have no direct recreational impacts on Haresfield Beacon and Standish Woods. The development will link seamlessly with the adjoining Great Oldbury development whilst providing a well planted northern edge to the development. There are positive benefits related to

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							proximity to the local centre and services and facilities at Great Oldbury and employment at Stonehouse, with the development providing opportunities to improve walking and cycling links within the local area and to connect to bus services along the A419. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
<i>Stroud</i>							
Tricorn House	STR002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has the benefit of deemed planning permission for residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the rear of Avocet Business Park	STR004	STR A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site has potential for partial redevelopment, maintaining and enhancing employment provision and enhancing the IHCA. However, there are major challenges, including flood risk, contaminated land and difficult access issues to address. Whilst landowners within the area are actively considering opportunities for redevelopment, it is premature to allocate the site for redevelopment in this

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Local Plan as too many issues remain unresolved. However, the area is identified within Policy EI2 as an area where there are opportunities to regenerate employment land for mixed use redevelopment and proposals which can satisfactorily address the outstanding constraints will be supported through the development management process.
Lodgemore/ Fromehall Mills	STR006	STR A2	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site has potential for partial redevelopment, maintaining and enhancing employment provision and enhancing the IHCA. However, there are major challenges, including flood risk, contaminated land and difficult access issues to address. Whilst landowners within the area are actively considering opportunities for redevelopment, it is premature to allocate the site for redevelopment in this Local Plan as too many issues remain unresolved. However, the area is identified within Policy EI2 as an area where there are opportunities to regenerate employment land for mixed use redevelopment and proposals which can satisfactorily address the outstanding constraints will be supported through the development management process.</p>
Railway Land/ car parks	STR014		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS10)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre NDP as part of a wider area suitable for redevelopment for town centre and mixed uses, including housing. The site is located at a Tier 1</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site within Stroud, a Tier 1 settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints such as neighbouring heritage assets and potential flood risk which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. Whilst the site is not currently being actively promoted, there is a reasonable expectation that the site will be taken forward during the lifetime of the Plan.</p>
Merrywalks Arches (former Cotswold Indoor Bowls)	STR015		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS11)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre NDP as suitable for reuse/ redevelopment for mixed use commercial and residential development. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> : Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site within Stroud, a Tier 1 settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints such as the sites location within the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							constraints preventing allocation. Whilst the site is not currently being actively promoted, there is a reasonable expectation that the site will be taken forward during the lifetime of the Plan
Merrywalks area (surgery, McDonalds, car park)	STR016		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre Neighbourhood Development Plan as part of a wider area suitable for mixed use redevelopment. The site is not currently available. However, the site is located within settlement development limits where, should it become available in the future, development opportunities could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Market Tavern	STR017		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre Neighbourhood Development Plan as suitable for mixed use redevelopment. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Daniels Industrial Estate	STR032	STR B1	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for mixed use redevelopment. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Whitminster</i>							
Highfield Garden Centre	WHI003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the SALA identified that the site may have future potential for mixed use development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the site is currently in active commercial use and there is no evidence to suggest that any suitable land will be made available for re-development in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Grove End Farm	WHI007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for housing development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site, visible on the top and slopes of a low ridge as part of the wider rural area to the south-east and separated from the main settlement by the A38. There are therefore potential impacts and constraints preventing sustainable mixed use development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<b>Community sites</b>							
<i>Eastington</i>							
Land at Junction 13 of the M5	EAS007	STO D1, STO D2	Yes	Yes (now split into 2 portions) b - sports stadium and community uses	Yes – as a single site for mixed use	Yes (PS20)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan as part of a wider land parcel located at the Junction of the M5 motorway and the A419. The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for new employment land located at the key employment property market areas identified in the ELR. The overall quantum of employment land is intended to meet labour supply requirements and to deliver the aspirations of GFirst Local Industrial Strategy. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including impacts upon the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area (IHCA) and flood risk, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. A comprehensive mitigation package for impacts upon the IHCA will require a Canal cut,



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							towpath and operational uses as part of the restoration of the Stroudwater Canal to navigable uses from Saul Junction to Stroud. The location of primarily grass training pitches within the IHCA will minimise flood risk, minimise the impact of built development on the IHCA and ensure uses are compatible with sensitive neighbouring uses including William Morris House. There are positive benefits related to significantly improved facilities for Forest Green Rovers Football Club, a high quality business park focussed on green technologies in an accessible location and the potential to significantly improve sustainable transport services along the A419 corridor including multi-modal transport interchange facilities, enhancing local walking and cycling routes and contributions to the reopening of Stonehouse railway station. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
<i>Nailsworth</i>							
Land at Avening Road	NAI003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for community development because of the likely high landscape impact and steep, wooded slopes. It is located outside the settlement limits, adjacent to a key wildlife site and within the Cotswold AONB. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Stroud</i>							
Capel Mill	STR009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impacts. Development would be highly visible and would erode the conservation area's 'green corridor', remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough. The site also has

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							significant physical constraints relating to topography and access. There are therefore potential impacts/ constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Wotton-under-Edge</i>							
Land south of Symn Lane	WUE009	WUE A	Yes	Yes	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential for school or community use subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the owners of the site have not confirmed that it is available for such development and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<b>Open space sites</b>							
<i>Berkeley</i>							
Land west of Parkview play area	BER001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended standards in the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council supports the provision and protection of open space in accordance with the recommendations of the Stroud Open Space and Green Infrastructure Study (June 2019) and Stroud District Playing Pitch Strategy (June 2019). Whilst it is not proposed to allocate sites for open space uses at this stage, the Council welcomes the provision of

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							land for open space uses through Neighbourhood plans and the development management process.
Land north of Canon Park sports ground	BER008		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended standards in the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council supports the provision and protection of open space in accordance with the recommendations of the Stroud Open Space and Green Infrastructure Study (June 2019) and Stroud District Playing Pitch Strategy (June 2019). Whilst it is not proposed to allocate sites for open space uses at this stage, the Council welcomes the provision of land for open space uses through Neighbourhood plans and the development management process.</p>
<i>Chalford</i>							
Land at the west side of Middle Hill	CHA002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site is suitable for future community uses which maintain the site's role as a green buffer between settlements. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended standards in the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council supports the provision and protection of open space in accordance with the recommendations of the Stroud Open Space and Green Infrastructure Study (June 2019) and Stroud District Playing Pitch Strategy (June 2019). Whilst it is not proposed to allocate sites for open space uses at this stage, the Council welcomes the provision of land for open space uses through Neighbourhood plans and the development management process.
<i>Stonehouse</i>							
Land north of Bristol Road	STO005		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended standards in the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council supports the provision and protection of open space in accordance with the recommendations of the Stroud Open Space and Green Infrastructure Study (June 2019) and Stroud District Playing Pitch Strategy (June 2019). Whilst it is not proposed to allocate sites for open space uses at this stage, the Council welcomes the provision of land for open space uses through Neighbourhood plans and the development management process.</p>
Cotswold Green	STO011		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended standards in the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council supports the provision and protection of open space in accordance with the recommendations of the Stroud Open Space and Green Infrastructure Study (June 2019) and Stroud District Playing Pitch Strategy (June 2019). Whilst it is not proposed to allocate sites for open space uses at this stage, the Council welcomes the provision of land for open space uses through Neighbourhood plans and the development management process.</p>
<i>Stroud</i>							
School playing field	STR028		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides important, well used recreational space and makes a significant contribution to local amenity.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> as above</p>
<i>Whitminster</i>							
Land at Parklands Farm	WHI013		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council supports the provision and protection of open space in accordance with the recommendations of the Stroud Open Space and Green Infrastructure Study (June 2019) and Stroud District Playing Pitch Strategy (June 2019). Whilst it is not proposed to allocate sites for open space uses at this stage, the Council welcomes the provision of land for open space uses through Neighbourhood plans and the development management process.</p>