

[REDACTED]

Dear Sir/Madam.

I am writing to reject the consultant again plans on the following basis:

The information that have been supplied with regards of the Agriculture Land classification does not provide the full information of the quality of land. There has not been any soil surveys carried out this will provide information on the quality of the soil as some of the land within the plan is either quality pasture and arable land The assessment that was taking place in Sept 2019 provides data that is based on a very outdated process, this hand digging of 70 plus holes is a very long process, there is no evidence that this was carried out over a 2 day period there is speculation that this is a desktop server and that this was not carried out at this time. There is evidence of previous servers carried out giving the land a higher rating. This cannot be 3b rated land as it provides high crop yields proving that the survey is incorrect.

This area has a very high water table that already causes flooding problems with the old Dursley road running off the allocated fields and beyond, If this area is to be covered with housing this will cause further flooding issues.

There is a traffic problem already with connections to the A38, also with the M5 junctions, this area is not suitable to hold such volumes of traffic with 1500 houses and the cost of the houses would mean at least 2 persons would have to work as there are not local jobs and many would have to commute this could equate to 3000 extra vehicles in the vicinity. Even if the train station was moved to the A38 many would still either drive to the station or would have to drive to work due to the transport connections not being adequate.

I hope my information will be taken into account as part of the consultation process

With Regards

[REDACTED]