

From: [REDACTED]
Sent:
To: _WEB_Local Plan
Subject: Wisloe Green Decelopment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Stroud District Council,

Re: Stroud local plan; Wisloe Green development

I would like it to be known that I, [REDACTED] do not agree with the consideration of Wisloe Green as a development site.

- In the Stroud Local Plan, it talks about maintaining the rural nature of communities however this development would essentially link the Box Road houses in Cam with Cambridge and Slimbridge, creating a large mass and coalescence which is in contradiction to effective planning. It also means the whole Parish would no longer be rural.

-It also notes that Wisloe Green is a green field development, which contradicts Stroud Council's plan of brown field development.

- The Wisloe Green development was not noted in the 2017 local plan, therefore has not been given the full evidence-based selection criteria as other sites. Dispersal of housing sites has always been favoured, which is not in accordance with the huge number of house (and retail sites) as suggested in the Wisloe Green proposal material. The houses are clustered in Wisloe Green and the Sharpness area which is not dispersal.

- I can't understand how the infrastructure is going to support this. There are already bottlenecks through Slimbridge and Durlsey with regards to traffic. The stroud local plan wanted everyone to have access to sports facilities, but the road around the Pulse in Dursley is already too busy. I can understand how they will access these facilities.

- Slimbridge was noted as not being an area of significant employment. This is also limited in Cam, therefore a lot of families moving to this area would be commuting which doesn't support Stroud's local plan suggesting that Wisloe will be focusing on cycling and public transport. And certainly does not support the environmental/carbon neutral plans.

- As a mother of young children, I also note the there is no clear plan with regards to schools. The plan mentioned 'school(s)' which suggests that the number of schools hasn't been agreed.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]