

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 January 2020 20:35
To: _WEB_Local Plan
Subject: Objection to building on sites PS33, PS34, PS35 and PS36

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Sir/Madam

I wish to object to proposed building on the following sites PS33, 34, 35 and 36 for the following reasons:

There is inadequate road infrastructure to take this amount of houses into this area; it is unrealistic to expect people to live and work in one area without travelling to city hubs such as Bristol and Gloucester.

A secondary school is not proposed until the second phase - this would in itself mean that residents would need good enough transport access. The idea of introducing a railway is theoretically a good one but would there be enough services? I believe that it has been acknowledged that it would not be possible to get to Bristol via the proposed new halt. Charging points for electric cars are not currently included in the developer's plan - the Government have recently proposed provision for all future residential developments which will probably be a future legal requirement. What provision might there be for increased bus services? (currently very poor).

The area is green field and a development of this scale would destroy the rural landscape forever; existing communities will lose their character.

How might this affect Berkeley Town? Would this be integrated?

There is currently no evidence of significant additional employment opportunities in this area; land is available but there has been little or no take-up.

5000 houses is not a 'garden community' or 'garden village' - it is a town which is hugely expanded from the rural community currently existing.

There is an opportunity for the need for houses to be dispersed in different ways throughout the county - and this is what should happen, rather than placing them all in one area to the detriment of resident's current standard of living.

Thank you for your attention.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]