

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 January 2020 12:57
To: _WEB_Local Plan
Subject: Wisloe Green

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Sirs

I wish to complain about the impact that the proposed plans for the Wisloe Green will have on the area. These complaints are based on the following points.

- 1) Down grading the Agricultural classification from grade 2 to grade 3b begs the question on the validity of the report and the findings will need a review of that report. If over the passed 54 years, since classification was first developed, why has the land managed to produce a high yield of a range of crops. Even land classified as 3b has a principal use of extensive arable cropping.
- 2) Protection of the environment and its ecology plays a huge role here. We have Golden Crested Newts in our garden which we discovered in the water course around the house. We were informed that disturbing their sheltering places is a criminal offence. Why then, would you be able to disturb them bearing in mind your planned development is but a stone's throw from us. Please note that in your own modification requirement document there was an inclusion for ecological protection including Protected Species.
- 3) The water course runs very high too, and during rain is clearly visible in bubbling up from underground in our own garden.
- 4) Your proposed New sustainable Urban extension, explosion more like, was to supply sustainable transport links. Your Settlement role and Function Study states that an improved walking and cycling connectivity will be encouraged with the implementation of routes. All of these will incur further flood risks. Also there is no published research which proves that this works. What has been found is that the connectivity is debateable over how to measure this and what levels are appropriate. It has proved that it may be effective for short distances, cycling 5 miles and walking 2 miles, but increase in that distance actively discourages both walking and cycling. Bearing in mind that Gloucester is 16.1 miles, Thornbury 12.5 miles Dursley 4.4 miles and Stroud 8.9 miles away, then the probability of anyone doing either of these activities is remote...
- 5) A government paper states that 2 of every 3 personal trips are within 5 miles. Three quarters of children live within 15minutes cycle of their school and 90% of people live within 15minutes walk or bus journey. This is a rural community and NONE of those facts can be applied to this area. The new incumbents will, therefore HAVE to drive. How will the already rammed A38 cope when we can only realistically go north to Gloucester and south to Bristol, which is where most will be working. I already travel on a crammed train to Bristol as the journey by car is on the A38 which is congested.
- 6) Under your own Settlement role and Function Study, published less than a year ago, you contradict yourselves. Cambridge is clearly in Tier 4. The current local plan seeks to target growth in Tiers 1 to 3 with lesser levels in Tier 3 settlements. So why are you considering Tier 4!!!!
- 7) The already surveyed noise levels are well beyond that which is permissible. Do you honestly believe that adding more people and yet more vehicles will do any other than add to the noise pollution!!
- 8) The areas that have been selected as far more suitable, and yet rejected without even an assessment, are Hardwicke and Stonehouse.

I also work in General Medical Practice where we are already at full capacity. Where do you also expect those coming into the area to go for their Medical care? No provision for a Medical Centre is in place.

It would appear that you have given limited , if any, thought to the already present residents, and only considered your own very lucrative end result.

Signed.

[Redacted signature]

--
[Redacted signature]