



		Highways Development Management Shire Hall Gloucester <u>GL1 2TH</u>	
Amy Robertson Stroud District Council Council Offices Ebley Mill Stroud Gloucestershire GL5 4UB		Email: devcoord@gloucestershire.gov.uk	
Our Ref: S/2019/042855		Your Ref: S.19/0810/REM	Date: 21 November 2019
Proposal:	Approval of reserved matters following permission S.15/2804/OUT for the erection of 90 residential dwellings (including affordable housing), access related works, with public open space, and associated works (375076 - 202009)	Received date:	10 May 2019
Recommendation:	No objection		No objection (Subject to conditions)
	Refusal	x	Further information
Document(s), drawing(s) and reference(s):	Movement Plan Parking Strategy Refuse Strategy Adoptable Areas Strategic Landscape Masterplan RSA 1 and Designer's response Vehicle Tracking	Planning history ref(s):	
Details of recommendation:	<p>This response has been produced in the context of Gloucestershire County Council as the Statutory Consultee operating in its duty as Highway Authority. Further to the response dated 5th November 2019, serves the following as GCC's latest observations to this Reserved Matters planning application.</p> <p>Stage 1 Road Safety and Mobility Audit/ Designer's response</p> <p>A stage 1 Road Safety Audit was carried out by Cotswold Transport Planning on the S38 internal layout associated with the development. A designer's response has been submitted against the items raised in the audit report. Whilst acknowledged that these assessments have been</p>		

carried out in pursue of a S38 and that the adoption plan cannot be agreed as part of the reserved matters application (as this would preclude the Highways Authority on adoption under S38 of the Highways Act 1980) the adoption plan has been removed, however the assessments do transpire various material issues associated to the general layout which is a reserved matter thought for approval. As such, and in response to these assessments, GCC determines that at this point in time, insufficient measures took occurrence to address the raised points on the audits. Consideration is however given to a Traffic Order to be proposed on the reserved matters streets which would to prevent parking and decrease foreseen highway safety issues.

Swept Path analysis

Details of inter-visibility and sightlines are to be submitted in accord with the proposed design speed limit (this includes vehicles making access from the spine road and those exiting shared surface areas). This requirement would to be mitigated prior to the adoption process could be secured, and is therefore requested for alteration as part of this reserved matters application. If any visibility splays cross land on plots these need to be secured via condition in order to future proof the maintenance and protection of those plays prior to adoption. To add to this, GCC considers the submitted swept path analysis to be of poor design (with, yet not restricted to overrun sections of the proposed carriageway and no demonstration of estate vehicle movements).

Parking

GCC will not accept any visitor parking in private areas which will originate displacement onto the public highway and intensify highway safety issues. This matter can however be resolved with the layout.

S106 agreement – until such times as parking restrictions are brought forward on Box Road, users will continue to park closer to the train station (as historically identified). GCC considers that the proposed layout does not deliver the benefit it intends under the S106 agreement.

Additional amendments previously requested at this consultation stage which have failed to be addressed will be subject to conditions on the basis that it fulfils the 6 tests.

Required consultation:	ITU		Highways Records	
	Rd Safety		Fire Service	
	PROW		Structures	
	LHM		Police	

