

COMMUNITY GOVERNANCE REVIEW STAGE 2 CONSULTATION RESPONSES

BISLEY-WITH-LYPIATT & CHALFORD PARISH

Reference	Parish/Town Council	Postcode	Connection to the Parish/Town Council	Do you agree with the draft recs?	Comments
CGR2P1	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford		CHALFORD PARISH COUNCIL	Yes	Chalford Parish Council considered the draft recommendations at their meeting in March and accept the recommendations made by Stroud District Council
CGR2P2	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford		BISLEY-WITH-LYPIATT PARISH COUNCIL	Yes/No	No objection to Stonecote Ridge becoming part of Chalford Parish, although they have received some objections from residents who were advised to contact Stroud District Council. Amendments suggested to Aberlink, The Ridge, New Homestead, Middle Hill
CGR2P3	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford	GL6 8JY	Resident	No	I would not want to move to another parish due to the increase in poll tax
CGR2P4	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford	GL6 8JY	Resident	No	I object to it as it will increase our Council tax payments without any added benefits to the services that we currently receive.
CGR2P5	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford	GL6 8JY	Resident	Yes	The current boundary currently dissects the area where my home is. It appears to be a logical move to make the whole area part of the Chalford Parish Council. The one caveat I would add is that I would be happy to change provided parish services are not affected.
CGR2P6	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford	GL6 8JY	Resident	Yes	Takes away all the confusion in the community as to which parish the top end of Stonecote Ridge is in. Community cohesion!
CGR2P7	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford	GL6 8JY	Resident	Yes	I don't think it will make a lot of difference
CGR2P8	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford	GL6 8JY	Resident	Yes	If my address will change as a result of this then I am firmly against it
CGR2P9	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford	GL6 8JY	Resident	Yes	

CGR2P10	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford	GL6 8JY	Resident	Yes	Makes sense
CGR2P11	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford	GL6 8BB	Resident	Yes	The proposed boundary changes appear to be mainly rational by taking account of a. housing developments b. traffic lines e.g. roads c. anomalies which have historic origins. Our property falls into categories b. and c.
CGR2P12	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford	GL6 7DY	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P13	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford	GL6 8JY	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P14	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford	GL6 8JY	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P15	Bisley-with-Lypiatt & Chalford	GL6 8JY	Resident	Yes	

BRIMSCOMBE & THRUPP AND STROUD TOWN COUNCIL

Reference	Parish/Town Council		Connection to Parish/Town Council	Do you agree with the draft recs?	Comments
CGR2P16	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	No	I strongly object to it. Dynamics both geographical and social very different. No wish to be stuck out far end of a long, linear parish. No rationale to change. Thrupp Lane, is historic, defined boundary. Well served in past by Town Council - i.e we have been. Fields shown on plan are all AONB, part of continuous valley landscape, they flow from one to another, Boundary proposed looks very arbitrary. We look to and actively support Stroud Town ventures and enjoy its facilities. Thrupp holds nothing for us. It serves as a corridor through which we pass.
CGR2P17	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	No	The only vehicular access to Gunhouse Lane is at the STC end of the lane. We go to Stroud for shopping, social activities, facilities such as the library and so on. Our only reason for going to Thrupp is to travel eastwards towards Cirencester. The fields to the NE of Gunhouse Lane form a continuous sweep as part of the AONB the boundary to which runs along the hedgeline of Gunhouse Lane. It seems entirely arbitrary to split these fields with a new boundary between Stroud and Thrupp. Over the years applications have been made to build on the fields in question. I feel we have been well supported by our representatives on STC in successfully contesting these applications and would wish this to continue.

CGR2P18	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2LA	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P19	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2ER	Resident	Yes	It makes sense for the whole of Thrupp Lane to be in the Brimscombe & Thrupp Parish Council, instead of a division as at present.
CGR2P20	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	Gun house lane and the surrounding fields are rural in feel even though on London road and are surprisingly a haven for wildlife and as such a regular walk through for many local people who appreciate this green space. Due to the more rural feel and links with Thrupp primary school I feel we more in nature as a small community like Thrupp
CGR2P21	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	I have always felt part of Thrupp
CGR2P22	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	-
CGR2P23	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P24	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	I agree that Gunhouse Lane residents would be better serviced by moving to Brimscombe & Thrupp
CGR2P25	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	Gunhouse Lane is in Bowbridge, it is a rural community and fits in well with Thrupp, we feel part of Thrupp. The Town has a different 'feel' to it.
CGR2P26	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	The proposed area to be transferred will be better served and is more aligned to Brimscombe & Thrupp than to Stroud Town
CGR2P27	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	We feel we are more involved with Thrupp and Bowbridge than with the Town. Far more part of this area.
CGR2P28	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	I have always felt part of Thrupp
CGR2P29	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	

CGR2P30	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DF	Resident	Yes	I am happy to be part of the Thrupp & Brimscombe Parish as we live on Thrupp Lane it does make sense
CGR2P31	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P32	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P33	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	The proposed new boundary line seems to be far more in keeping with the character of Thrupp. Gunhouse Lane is used regularly for local families and the general public walking to and from Thrupp. This is a quiet lane on the edge of an A.O.N.B and has a natural geographical connection to Thrupp rather than the Town.
CGR2P34	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P35	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2ER	Resident	Yes	We are located in the village of Thrupp - not Stroud and it seems ridiculous that we are not part of the village. We have to go to Stroud to vote!
CGR2P36	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	The proposed new boundary seems to be more in keeping with the character of Thrupp. Gunhouse lane is used regularly for local families and the general public walking to and from Thrupp. This is a quiet lane on the edge of an AONB and has a natural geographical connections to Thrupp rather than the Town.
CGR2P37	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2ER	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P38	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P39	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	I live with my 90 year old mother who is still active. I am disabled and totally blind. I manage to enjoy the peaceful lane and am fully in favour of the transfer to Thrupp.
CGR2P40	Brimscombe & Thrupp	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	I agree that Gunhouse Lane residents would be better served by moving to Brimscombe & Thrupp
CGR2P41	Brimscombe & Thrupp and Stroud	GL5 2DB	Resident	Yes	The proposed area to be transferred will be better served and is more aligned to Brimscombe & Thrupp are than to Stroud Town

CAINSCROSS PARISH AND STONEHOUSE TOWN COUNCIL

Reference	Parish/Town Council	Postcode	Connection to the Parish/Town Council	Do you agree with the draft recs?	Comments
CGR2P42	Cainscross & Stonehouse		CAINSCROSS PARISH	Yes	At meeting of the Full Council on Monday evening, members considered the draft recommendation in the review. I am pleased to say, that Cainscross Parish Council will be happy to have Stonehouse (Ebley Ward) transferred to Cainscross and agree it will be sensible to make the District and Parish boundaries coterminous. Our newsletter is due to go out this month and I will report back to you on any suggestions regarding a name change. We are also seriously discussing whether we should consider becoming a Town Council due to the size of the Parish. FURTHER: We only received three responses asking that the name remains the same although no concerns were raised about becoming a Town Council. At a recent meeting it was resolved that following further consultation in our next Fourwards, we have a preference to become a Town Council due to the size of the Council and possibility of taking on some of the Ebley (Stonehouse) area.
CGR2P43	Stonehouse	GL10 2NG	STONEHOUSE TOWN COUNCIL	No	Stonehouse Town Council object to the loss of the Ebley Ward as historically this has long been part of Stonehouse; it will result in the loss of one of the few areas of green space within the town boundaries. Stonehouse Town Council repeats its request that Great Oldbury becomes part of Stonehouse parish. It is noted that the Governance Draft Recommendations state that "it was felt that the community of Great Oldbury, when established may feel disconnected to Stonehouse because it is on the other side of an industrial estate which limits direct the access to the Town" (p.27). This is in contrast with Stroud District Council's Local Plan which states that "Land west of Stonehouse is identified as a sustainable urban extension to Stonehouse" (para. 3.20, p.58). Being within the Stonehouse town boundary may assist in residents of Great Oldbury feeling part of a sustainable urban extension rather than "disconnected." For clarity it is requested that a map is provided to show the amendment to the boundary between Stonehouse and Standish.
CGR2P44	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BS	Resident	No	
CGR2P45	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BT	Resident	No	As my address is Stonehouse then its appropriate that I stay with Stonehouse Town Council not Cainscross, its confusing.

CGR2P46	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LA	Resident	No	We make use of facilities/shops in Stonehouse not Ebley/Cainscross. There appears to be a divide between Ryeford and Ebley, although this would suggest that Foxes Field and Houses opposite on Ebley Rd should be Cainscross with the Western end of Ebley Rd and Ryeford Rd north remaining in Stonehouse (so not co-terminous with current ward boundaries). Wycliffe would end up split between two parishes under the proposals.
CGR2P47	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LW	Resident	No	We live at what would be the extreme boundary of Cainscross Parish. We dont have anything to do with Cainscross, other than passing through it on the way to Stroud. I dont see how the change would increase community identity, we feel part of Stonehouse, where we shop and participate in activities. I do see that changing the boundary might be more convenient for the District Council.
CGR2P48	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BT	Resident	No	I like Stonehouse to remain my address and I see know obvious reason for change.
CGR2P49	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LQ	Resident	No	There is no economic nor perception relationship between this part of Ebley road and Cainscross. All contact looks towards Stonehouse which is easily reached on foot and possesses social economic facilities which do not exist in Cainscross. Any contact with Cainscross requires a car (for which there is no parking space anyway). Contact with Stonehouse town centre is made two or three times a week but with Cainscross is made no more than once in a year. The move of the district ward boundary was a big mistake as it requires a car or bus but as it is rarely but once a year for elections it is tolerated but very inconvenient. Admittedly the building of housing on Foxes field needed a review of boundaries but they ought to be made on the basis of what people actually do - not just to balance electoral numbers. The parish boundary should remain at Orchard road for that takes account of where residents believe they belong. Is there any cogent reason why the Parish + ward boundaries should be coterminous? Administrative rather than human convenience?
CGR2P50	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BS	Resident	No	I do not wish to be associated with Cainscross Parish Council. It is a very unkempt area, I have always lived in the Stonehouse postcode.
CGR2P51	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LA	Resident	No	There is a clue in the address above - the postal address is 'Ryeford, Stonehouse' not Ryeford, Cainscross, Stroud. Since the development of local government and postal systems in the C19 Ryeford has been considered part of Stonehouse. I would far rather have Stonehouse Town Council fighting my corner on local issues than distant, misunderstood Cainscross. If bureaucratic tidy-mindedness demands co-terminous boundaries, then transfer Ryeford back to Stonehouse for district council, as well as for town council purposes. "More convenient and effective local government" For whom?
CGR2P52	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LW	Resident	No	I dont support the amendment, because all of the business here will have the extra expense of changing all their paper (unnecessary costs!)
CGR2P53	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BS	Resident	No	Where I live at Renard Rise is considered the outskirts of Stonehouse and Orchard Rd the beginning of Ebley which is in the Parish of Cainscross. I would prefer to be connected to Stonehouse rather than Stroud, as I am a GL10 postcode.

CGR2P54	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BS	Resident	No	
CGR2P55	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LQ	Resident	No	We consider that we are part of Stonehouse. We shop in Stonehouse and support most of what goes on. We use Stonehouse for "strolling" Stroud (Tuesday healthy walk). We do not believe that changing to Cainscross district council would increase our "community identity". Due to traffic problems in Cainscross we travel to Stroud by car using the Ebley Bypass. We do not like Cainscross. Finally, this change may reduce our house prices as Stonehouse has a better name than Cainscross!!!
CGR2P56	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LQ	Resident	No	We consider that we are part of Stonehouse. We shop in Stonehouse and support most of what goes on. We use Stonehouse for strolling around Stroud (Tuesday healthy walk). We do not believe that changing to Cainscross District Council would increase our community identity. Due to the traffic problems in Cainscross we travel to Stroud using the Ebley bypass. I do not like Cainscross. Finally this change would reduce house prices as Stonehouse has a better name than Cainscross.
CGR2P57	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LA	Resident	No	
CGR2P58	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LQ	Resident	No	I feel quite the other end from Cainscross roundabout and that area. I feel very connected to Stonehouse and often use the railway bridge behind my house to cut up into Stonehouse effortlessly and easily and quickly and feel quite proud to be in the Stonehouse district.
CGR2P59	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LW	Resident	No	We own the Old Brickworks (a small industrial Estate) with a large number of small businesses. This would cause unnecessary cost problems for struggling small businesses which they do not need at the moment
CGR2P60	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LQ	Resident	No	I have no desire for my address to be transferred from Stonehouse Town Council
CGR2P61	Cainscross	GL10 2LQ	Resident	No	I am a resident of 5 Merton Close, Ebley Road, Stonehouse, GL10 2LQ I do not want this address to be "zoned" as within Cainscross, I consider my address to be part of Stonehouse.
CGR2P62	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LW	Resident	No	I strongly feel that my address on Ebley Road should remain within Stonehouse Town Council rather than Cainscross. Ebley Road begins at the Horsetrough roundabout and therefore properties along this road are far more aligned with Stonehouse Town Council and the Stonehouse community than that of Cainscross. There is a natural boundary of the mini roundabout as Westward Road ends and Ebley Road begins which makes a clear demarcation. Ebley Road is part of Stonehouse and the Stonehouse community. It should remain so and not be swallowed up by Cainscross thereby becoming an outskirt of Stroud. I therefore strongly disagree with the draft recommendation that my address is moved from Stonehouse Town Council to Cainscross Parish Council.

CGR2P63	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LW	Resident	No	I have always considered myself (and our street) as belonging to and being part of Stonehouse. The change to Cainscross District Council was a mistake that leaves us feeling outside the Cainscross community.. In fact the only contact has been when votes were required for election purposes. Stonehouse on the other hand delivers a newsletter regularly.
CGR2P64	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2L1	Resident	No	My house was built in September 1939, and Its original address was 'Monknash', Ryeford, Stonehouse. Its locational identity was, and still is – as is mine – with Stonehouse. Stonehouse has a recognisable focal identity with shops, banking, social and cultural facilities, and it is also within easy walking distance of my house. Where is Cainscross' focus? To those of us at the west end of Ebley Road, and thus more identifiable with Stonehouse, Cainscross is but a large roundabout with a takeaway (or 2) a tanning parlour (very conveniently situated for the Cainscross Parish Council offices!), a Co-op and Original Factory Shop – hardly what one could call a diverse retail and social focus! Even Stonehouse Council has stated that it wishes to retain the Ryeford element within its administrative area. Also, interestingly, the proposal appears to sever one half of Wycliffe College from the other in terms of administrative area. The stated aim of the review is 'to bring about improved and stronger community engagement, more cohesive communities, better local democracy and more effective and convenient delivery of local services; ensuring electors across the whole District are treated equitably and fairly'. I feel that the proposal to transfer the western end of Ebley into Cainscross does not meet the stated aims, and whether the latter aim is respected remains to be seen. I strongly disagree with the assertion in the covering letter that 'the amendment would therefore increase community identity..' Further, it is of note that there is already existing concern that the current name 'Cainscross' does not actually reflect the present area it serves. To extend it even more out of area makes the name totally unsuitable and unrepresentative. I suggest, instead, that the Cainscross District Ward boundary be redrawn to reflect more accurately whatever area Cainscross currently constitutes, and leave us with Stonehouse - our historic focus. My address (and my identity), whatever, is decided, will remain with 'Stonehouse'.
CGR2P65	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BS	Resident	No preference	
CGR2P66	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LW	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P67	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BT	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P68	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BS	Resident	Yes	I don't care where my address is as long as it has a working post code! I cannot give anyone trying to find my flat my postcode as it will take them to the middle of Stonehouse! Why could this new build not have been given a post code that actually works!

CGR2P69	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BS	Resident	Yes	I support the proposed boundary amendment, it will help to improve community identity within the area and would be a welcome change.
CGR2P70	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BS	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P71	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BT	Resident	Yes	I agree we should be classed as Cainscross Parish. I always tell people I live in Stonehouse but it's really Ebley! When will we find out our address.
CGR2P72	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BS	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P73	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 3HG	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P74	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BS	Resident	Yes	Stonehouse is a separate entity and living here I feel closer to Ebley and Cainscross
CGR2P75	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BS	Resident	Yes	This is a fantastic idea, as it stands at the moment I feel Renard Rise is a no mans land as it is disconnected from Stonehouse. There is a lack of community so this move my improve community ties. I really hope it is carried through.
CGR2P76	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LW	Resident	Yes	Questions I would like answers to: 1. will the postcode change to GL5 2. Will the Council Tax increase? 3. Will there be any changes to refuse/recycling changes
CGR2P77	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LW	Resident	Yes	Geographically we are nearer Cainscross
CGR2P78	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BS	Resident	Yes	I have not lived here long enough to give a candid comment. However, I associate myself with Stroud more than Stonehouse. I am not sure what the advantage/disadvantages will be
CGR2P79	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2LQ	Resident	Yes	I only support the boundary amendment providing I dont have to change my address.
CGR2P80	Cainscross & Stonehouse	GL10 2BS	Resident	Yes	

EASTINGTON AND STONEHOUSE

Reference	Parish/Town Council	Postcode	Connection to the Parish/Town Council	Do you agree with the draft recs?	Comments
CGR2P81	Stonehouse	GL10 2NG	STONEHOUSE TOWN COUNCIL	No	Stonehouse Town Council object to the loss of the Ebley Ward as historically this has long been part of Stonehouse; it will result in the loss of one of the few areas of green space within the town boundaries. Stonehouse Town Council repeats its request that Great Oldbury becomes part of Stonehouse parish. It is noted that the Governance Draft Recommendations state that "it was felt that the community of Great Oldbury, when established may feel disconnected to Stonehouse because it is on the other side of an industrial estate which limits direct the access to the Town" (p.27). This is in contrast with Stroud District Council's Local Plan which states that "Land west of Stonehouse is identified as a sustainable urban extension to Stonehouse" (para. 3.20, p.58). Being within the Stonehouse town boundary may assist in residents of Great Oldbury feeling part of a sustainable urban extension rather than "disconnected." For clarity it is requested that a map is provided to show the amendment to the boundary between Stonehouse and Standish.
CGR2P82	Eastington	GL4 4WE	EASTINGTON PARISH COUNCIL	No	Eastington Parish Council would prefer an increase to 11 councillors to avoid tied votes and ensures less use of the Chairman's casting vote (voting is then more democratic). The draft recommendation to increase to 10 councillors is suitable for our parish at the current size but we will be experiencing significant growth in the next few years before another governance review is completed; an increase to 11 councillors in May 2020 would be preferred. Eastington Parish Council request that another community governance review is carried out for Eastington in 2022 due to the new development at Great Oldbury.
CGR2P83	Eastington		Residents Association	No	Increase to 11 Councillors, Great Oldbury should be warded, defined period for further governance review

HARDWICKE, HARESFIELD & HUNTS GROVE

Reference	Parish/Town Council	Postcode	Connection to the Parish/Town Council	Do you agree with the draft recs?	Comments
CGR2P84	Hardwicke		HARDWICKE PARISH COUNCIL	Yes	"Hardwicke Parish Council recognises the strong views expressed by residents in response to the autumn 2018 first round of consultation for the Local Governance Review and the recommendations outlined by Stroud District Council following the consultation. As such, the Parish Council confirms that it will support the majority opinion as expressed by parishioners in the first and second round of consultation, whatever that may be."
CGR2P85	Haresfield & Hunts Grove	GL10 3DZ	HARESFIELD PARISH COUNCIL	Yes	On behalf of Haresfield parish council: The pc has examined the draft recommendations for the Community Governance Review of the Stroud District to amend the boundary of Hardwicke and Haresfield in order to create a new Parish Council for Hunts Grove. These proposals are supported by Haresfield parish council.
CGR2P86	Hunts Grove	GL2 2LP	HUNTS GROVE RESIDENTS ASSOCIATION	Yes	Hunts Grove Residents Association (HGRA) is founded and run by three volunteers who are also Parish Councillors with Hardwicke Parish Council. Our aim is to represent the residents of Hunts Grove and to grow the community in the best way for all. On behalf of HGRA, we appreciate and understand the wishes of the vast majority of residents that came forth from the Phase 1 consultation which have led to the draft recommendations. We hear the same message every day locally and believe the draft recommendations represent an exciting opportunity to unify Hunts Grove under a new parish council, address the distinct needs and differences of Hunts Grove compared to the rural parishes of Haresfield and Hardwicke and invest monies raised in Hunts Grove for the benefit of Hunts Grove residents. We hope that the second round of consultation results in confirmation of the draft recommendations. HGRA would like to thank and acknowledge the support received from the chair and council of Haresfield Parish and their focus from the start on backing whatever residents decide is the best option for their future local governance arrangements. HGRA would like to thank and acknowledge the change in position of the chair and council of Hardwicke Parish in their response and support to of residents wishes, whatever the majority position may be. Hardwicke Parish council and councillors have taken the Hunts Grove development under their wing since the initial planning.
CGR2P87	Hardwicke	GL2 2RG	Resident	No	My house was built in the 1930's and has always been in the parish of Hardwicke, I object to being put into Hunts Grove just because we happen to be on the wrong side of the A38 in the view of who ever undertook the review
CGR2P88	Hardwicke	GL2 4QA	Resident	No	I am disappointed that the Parish Councils initial proposal to have a warded and extended parish was not considered. The government white paper of 2006 states that " parish councils are an established and valued form of neighbourhood democracy and management. They are not only important in Rural areas but increasingly have a role to play in urban areas. The government proposed that they build on the existing parish structure so as tom increase its capacity to deliver better services and represent the community interests." The proposal, far from building on the existing parish, will reduce its size and ability to thrive and develop through the development in Hunts Grove

CGR2P89	Hardwicke	GL2 4QA	Resident	No	<p>The parish should not be divided and the Hunts Grove development should remain within Hardwicke. The proposed development and the recreational facilities to be provided will complement those already existing .It will produce a diverse but rounded parish if HG remains.</p>
CGR2P90	Hardwicke & Hunts Grove	GL2 4QQ	Resident	No	<p>Whilst of no material effect in the decision making process I believe the underlying population data in the original review based on the electoral role does not reflect population estimates using ONS 2011 data and actual developments.</p> <p>Hunts Grove used a third of Hardwicke Parish land to provide it with a new community that included much needed infrastructure support and a Local Service Centre. It seems logical then that the integration of the new community be through the standing tier 1 governance of the Hardwicke Parish Council with its own independent Hunts Grove ward as it grows.</p> <p>There appears to be many communities in the district whose tier 1 governance is based on community wards working under an over-arching Parish Council. This seems the most efficient and effective way of providing continuity and cohesion of communities without duplication of effort.</p> <p>The reasons put forward for a Hunts Grove Parish are perfectly valid but most of them can be accommodated within the Hunts Grove ward concept.</p> <p>The most compelling reason, and the largest obstacle, is the use an independent management company for the on-going maintenance of areas traditionally managed by Parish Councils. In my humble opinion the abdication of this responsibility, then charging residents for it, is fundamentally unfair and undermines the traditional role of tier 1 governance.</p> <p>I may stand corrected but it appears that this preference for an independent Parish sets precedence in the district that has implications for many communities. At what point does a new development warrant an independent tier 1 governance; 10 dwellings, 200 dwellings?. What about built communities in a similar situation, can they request an independent tier 1 governance? If the current draft LP entry of a further 1500+ development in Hardwicke comes to fruition will Hardwicke lose even more of its land to an independent Parish Councils?</p> <p>For these reasons I request that SDC reverts to Hunts Grove being a ward within the Hardwicke Parish and removes the charging of some Hunts Grove residents for a management company whose remit duplicates that of the standing tier 1 governance arrangements.</p> <p>Using the A38 as the west boundary of Hunts Grove will effectively annex Bath Road residents from the Hardwicke Parish. The dwellings in Bath Road are identified as part of 'original Hardwicke' in the Hardwicke Neighbourhood Development Plan. Should Hunts grove become an independent Parish I recommend that the Hunts Grove west boundary be redrawn to retain the Bath Road dwellings in the Hardwicke Parish.</p>

CGR2P91	Hardwicke	GL2 4ax	Resident	Yes	I am not happy Hardwicke Parish Council have bloomed SDC proposal for Hunts Grove to become an independent parish council. As a resident of Hunts Grove I support SDC proposal that Hunts Grove has its own council. This will ensure that the taxes paid by Hunts Grove residents is spent in Hunts Grove as opposed to mainly benefitting the residents in Hardwicke. I never visit Hardwicke and would like money allocated for Hunts Grove to be spent on providing more facilities for the estate. Also having attended a parish meeting with an issue affecting myself, I felt many of the councillors were focused on the Hardwicke area only, and issues that arose were about Hardwicke with Hunts grove being less of a priority. If smaller areas such as Haresfield have a parish council, with residents in their area to voice and make decisions for Haresfield, Hunts Grove should have the same opportunity and it will eventually be significantly bigger. I am also unhappy that the parish did not support SDC with Hunts Grove being a separate council. Hardwicke Parish council will oppose an issue/proposal etc on the grounds it is not within the 'SDC plan' when it suits them, yet will ignore the plan to benefit their agenda. This is unacceptable. For the reasons above for Hunts Grove to have its own parish council
CGR2P92	Hardwicke & Hunts Grove	GL2 4AR	Resident	Yes	Money collected within our council tax should be spent equally on the areas it is collected from
CGR2P93	Hunts Grove	GL2 4BH	Resident	Yes	Would like to see separate parish council for Hunts Grove.
CGR2P94	Hunts Grove	GI24aw	No	Yes	I support the formation of a separate hunts grove parish council
CGR2P95	Hunts Grove	GL2 4AT	Resident	Yes	I want a separate parish council for Hunts Grove that is equitable. Hardwicke parish council take our funding and use it away from hunts grove. Parish boundaries are not fit for purpose based on the hunts grove estate size and mixed borders.
CGR2P96	Hardwicke & Haresfield	GL2 4AQ	Resident	Yes	The projected size of Hunts Grove by the end of 2019 is > 1500 residents. The creation of a new parish and council would allow for better community lead decisions and allocation of funds.
CGR2P97	Hardwicke	GL2 4AQ	Resident	Yes	I agree that Hunts Grove should have its own Parish separate to Hardwicke
CGR2P98	Hardwicke	GL2 4DD	Resident	Yes	Establishing a parish council for Hunts Grove is clearly beneficial for the wider community
CGR2P99	Hardwicke	GL2 4BH	Resident	Yes	I am in support of a new parish for Hunts Grove.
CGR2P100	Hardwicke	GL2 4AR	Resident	Yes	I am a resident at Hunts Grove and whilst I understand and appreciate that the existing parish council has existed for sometime, when complete Hunts Grove will be a substantial community, with its own separate issues. The A38 is a division between both existing communities which make it difficult to appreciate issues on the other side of the Road. Equally residents on the other side of the A38 are probably not interested in the issues faces by HG residents. Parish Councils for each of the distinct areas would benefit both communities.
CGR2P101	Hardwicke	GL2 4AR	Resident	Yes	Hunts Grove is separated by the A38 from Hardwicke but it could be a world away. It does not seem right that the Parish Council money goes to Hardwicke for them to ignore any needs of Hunts Grove. Redrawing the Parish Council lines makes perfect sense going forward to provide this new and rapidly growing community its own say.

CGR2P102	Hardwicke	GL2 4AQ	Resident	Yes	I agree with the draft recommendation to form a Hunts Grove Parish Council.
CGR2P103	Hardwicke	GL24BH	Resident	Yes	A Hunts Grove parish council would represent the interests of the residents of Hunts Grove better than Hardwicke because Hunts Grove is a rapidly growing development with its own needs.
CGR2P104	Hardwicke	GL2 4qq	Resident	Yes	Hunts grove is a new and separate area to Hardwicke so to retain it's own identify feel it should have it owns parish council. This ensure Hardwicke remains a village feel with a parish council serving it.
CGR2P105	Hardwicke	GL2 4BH	Resident & Parish Councillor	Yes	I welcome and support the draft recommendations. I think a new Parish Council for Hunts Grove is the best outcome.
CGR2P106	Hardwicke	GL24AY	Resident	Yes	Hunts Grove should have it's own parish council, as Hardwick does not seem to represent us fully.
CGR2P107	Hardwicke	GL2 4BH	Resident	Yes	I agree with the following. The working group at Stroud District Council have recommended that the boundaries of Haresfield Parish and Hardwicke Parish are changed to create a new parish for Hunts Grove with five councillors representing. It is unfair on Hunts Grove residents to pay for the services privately for maintaining the public spaces. There are new houses being added to the Hunts Grove day by day and the sooner the Hunts Grove parish is created it will make things clear for residents moving in or out of Hunts Grove
CGR2P108	Hardwicke	GL24BH	Resident	Yes	I would like Hunts Grove has its own Parish Council. It will bring the community together. It is currently split with Hardwicke and Haresfield and it is growing.
CGR2P109	Hardwicke	GL2 4YL	Resident	Yes	I agree Hunts Grove should have it's own Parish Council
CGR2P110	Hardwicke	GL2 4BH	Resident	Yes	I am fully in favour of a new parish of hunts grove being established. As a Hunts Grove resident this would greatly enhance our community.
CGR2P111	Hardwicke & Haresfield	GL24BH	Resident	Yes	I would like to support the new Hunts Grove parish council recommendation. Splitting from Hardwicke Parish council and creating a new parish council that will encompass the whole of the proposed Hunts Grove development to make decisions for its residents will bring a greater sense of community.
CGR2P112	Hardwicke & Hunts Grove	GL2 4DG	Resident	Yes	I do agree that Hunts Grove should have its own Council, the reason for this is that whilst I pay my council tax to Hardwicke, the council are unable to spend money in Hunts Grove as it is maintained by a management company. My concern over the recommendations is that there are 3 councillors from Hunts Grove on Hardwicke Council. They all reside in phase 1 and this phase is not maintained by the management company, if there are only 5 councillors on Hunts Grove council these 3 councillors would hold a monopoly and money would still not be spent on phase 2. There should be 7 councillors on the Hunts Grove Council.

CGR2P113	Hardwicke & Hunts Grove	GL2 4QD	Resident	Yes	The size of the Hunt's Grove development means that it feels underrepresented within Hardwicke. I am convinced from a distance that Hardwicke PC have taken their responsibilities towards Hunt's Grove seriously, but it would seem better for the residents of Hunt's Grove to have their own council to look after concerns relevant to them.
CGR2P114	Hardwicke & Hunts Grove	GL2 4JD	Resident	Yes	Hunts Grove is completely separated from Hardwicke by A38 so ought to be a separate parish. The current Hardwicke Parish Councillors who live on Hunts Grove support the change and state that the money paid by residents in their council tax to Hardwicke Parish Council is unable to be spent in Hunts Grove due to arrangements with the management company, I do wonder though if Hardwicke Parish Council are unable to spend the money in Hunts Grove how will Hunts Grove Parish Council be able to spend it there?
CGR2P115	Hardwicke & Hunts Grove	GL2 4AT	Resident & Parish Councillor	Yes	I firmly believe in Hunts Grove becoming its own Parish. Hunts Grove will be an extremely large development which has different demographics from the old Hardwicke Village. Despite living on Phase 1 of this development and being outside of the scope of the HG management company, I appreciate that the management company impacts on what involvement in the open spaces the parish council can have. As a result all finances accrued from the precept taken from HG residents is currently only able to be used in the old Hardwicke Village part of the parish. This seems hugely unfair on the residents who are paying into a parish which cannot support their requirements directly where they live.
CGR2P116	Hardwicke & Hunts Grove	GL2 4BH	Resident	Yes	I believe that Hunts Grove would benefit from having their own Parish Council. We have a unique situation with part of the site falling under Hardwicke and the other part under Haresfield. Hardwicke are opposed to Hunts Grove being a separate parish and Haresfield are in favour which would mean that one part of Hunts Grove would have a different set up to the other. Taking into account other developments that are within the HPC envelope I believe that HPC will be plenty big enough without taking on Hunts Grove. Hunts Grove also has the added uniqueness of having the Estate Management and our residents would be better served under a Parish of our own. To have a fragmented Hunts Grove is not acceptable to me as a resident.
CGR2P117	Hardwicke, Haresfield & Hunts Grove	GL2 4yl	Resident	Yes	I wasn't happy to hear that Hunts Grove wasn't its own Parish, so I'm very keen to hear that this is a possibility soon?
CGR2P118	Hunts Grove	GL2 4BD	Resident	Yes	Needs to be own Parish, own identity, own decisions.
CGR2P119	Hunts Grove	GL24AT	Resident	Yes	I would like Hunts Grove residents to be fairly represented by having its own parish and councillors

CGR2P120	Hunts Grove	GL2 4DG	Resident	Yes	However see below re number of parish councillors. However I feel that there should be more than 5 Parish Councillors as it is likely that the 3 existing Parish Councillors at Hardwicke will move onto Hunts Grove and as they are all residents who don't pay any management charges for the development (and will only represent 350 houses out of an eventual 8000) it would not be representative on the majority of residents who will pay management charges as well as Parish Council charges. Additionally, will existing parishes of Hardwicke and Haresfield give 'back' funds to residents of Hunts Grove as very little income has been spent in the development.
CGR2P121	Hunts Grove	GL2 4AP	Resident	Yes	I believe that with growing as it is it would be more beneficial for the residents and give us more input
CGR2P122	Hunts Grove	GL2 4DD	Resident	Yes	Hunts Grove residents are not currently well served by neighbouring parishes of Hardwicke and Haresfield and needs to have better access to funding, thereby ensuring greater control of its future destiny as it grows and becomes fully fledged in its own right.
CGR2P123	Hunts Grove	GL2 4AR	Resident	Yes	As a large and growing community separated from other areas it has requirements unique to the community. To include it in another area would impact the other area and not give Hunts Grove the specific planning and other requirements that it needs.
CGR2P124	Hunts Grove	GL2 4DD	Resident	Yes	We moved into Hunts Grove last year from Buckinghamshire and have seen new housing areas living in their own parish councils successfully. I feel that as a Council tax payer, my money should be spent in an area where I live. The fact that the money paid at the moment goes to two different areas and cannot be used or spent in Hunts Grove is wrong, ridiculous and criminal. Why should I financially support a district I don't live in and never see the benefits of that money? Having our own parish Council which will benefit mine and my neighbours day to day living seems to be the most sensible and appropriate way forward to securing an independent future.
CGR2P125	Hunts Grove	GL2 4ax	Resident	Yes	As a Hunts Grove resident I would like to see the area form a new parish. It has a completely different identity to Haresfield and Hardwicke and different needs.
CGR2P126	Hunts Grove	GL2 4AX	Resident	Yes	I would like to see Hunts Grove as its own parish.
CGR2P127	Hunts Grove	GL2 4BH	Resident	Yes	I am a resident of the Hunts Grove estate. I am fully in favour of Hunts Grove becoming a Parish Council in it's own right as at the moment our contribution goes to Hardwicke Parish Council and as we pay towards the management fees to maintain the estate, we do not receive any services from the Hardwicke Parish Council. In the future the estate will encroach onto the Haresfield Parish Council which will mean that the estate falls into two Parishes. For continuity and responsibility it makes perfect sense that the two parts of the estate form it's own Parish Council, that can be administered by it's residents.
CGR2P128	Hunts Grove	GL2 4BJ	Resident	Yes	will help unify hunts grove

CGR2P129	Hunts Grove	GL2 4DQ	Resident	Yes	Yes, I feel that Hunts Grove would benefit from its own representation due to the differences between it and the surrounding area.
CGR2P130	Hunts Grove	GL2 4AZ	Resident	Yes	To spend money on our area rather than other areas.
CGR2P131	Hunts Grove	gl2 4aq	Resident	Yes	As a new and growing area I think it would be in the residents best interests to have our own Parish Council to deal with local matters rather than come under Hardwicke Parish Council.
CGR2P132	Hunts Grove	GL2 4AX	Resident	Yes	Yes I support and want to see Hunts Grove as it's own parish and split away from Hardwicke parish.
CGR2P133	Hunts Grove	GL2 4ay	Resident	Yes	It would be nice to have this new community having its own parish council so it can represent Hunts Grove
CGR2P134	Hunts Grove	GL24AW	No	Yes	I think hunts grove should have its own independent parish council
CGR2P135	Hunts Grove	GL2 4AY	Resident	Yes	Supportive of local needs
CGR2P136	Hunts Grove	GL2 4DB	Resident	Yes	Hunts Grove is becoming a large estate and would benefit from having it's own parish council
CGR2P137	Hunts Grove	GL2 4AY	Resident	Yes	Hunts Grove needs its own parish council.
CGR2P138	Hunts Grove	GL2 4BH	Resident	Yes	I feel strongly that Hunts Grove should have their own Parish Council in the future, considering the amount of houses on completion of this site.
CGR2P139	Hunts Grove	GL2 4AY	Resident	Yes	Hunts Grove is set to have a minimum of 1750 households and it's own community area. It makes sense to me for Hunt Grove to have it's own parish.
CGR2P140	Hunts Grove	GL2 4DQ	Resident	Yes	If it doesn't happen, we'll be left with the status quo of Hunts Grove sending its council tax to Hardwicke Parish Council, and it only benefiting residents in Hardwicke, not Hunts Grove. Hardwicke has taken this money since 2011 and has now added insult to injury by taking a further 10.7% increase this year.
CGR2P141	Hunts Grove	GL2 4DQ	Resident	Yes	If it doesn't happen, we'll be left with the status quo of Hunts Grove sending its council tax to Hardwicke Parish Council, and it only benefiting residents in Hardwicke, not Hunts Grove. Hardwicke has taken this money since 2011 and has now added insult to injury by taking a further 10.7% increase this year.
CGR2P142	Hunts Grove	GL2 4DD	Resident	Yes	With a population that will be larger than both Hardwicke and Haresfield, I believe that the tax money paid by the residents of Hunts Grove should be spent on facilities and amenities that will be needed for a rapidly growing community.
CGR2P143	Hunts Grove	GL2 4DD	Resident	Yes	Hunts Grove is growing ever bigger every day and requires the monies to be spent in the Hunts Grove area and not Hardwicke, so that the local residents of the area benefit from the funding to be used in Hunts Grove

CGR2P144	Hunts Grove	GL2 4AT	Resident	Yes	It allows Hunts Grove residents to have a more involved participation in their own area of concern. Allowing them to determine outcomes conducive to the residents.
CGR2P145	Hunts Grove	GL24AU	Resident	Yes	Hunts grove council tax should benefit the people of hunts grove.
CGR2P146	Hunts Grove	GL24BH	Resident	Yes	I support recommendations to establish a Hunts Grove Parish if this will enable funds to be used to enhance this Parish.
CGR2P147	Hunts Grove	GL24AS	Resident	Yes	Hunts Grove needs to be a separate Parish. Our council tax goes to Hardwicke Parish where they can't, don't spend any of it in Hunts Grove. Therefore residents see no benefit and are subsidising the residents of Hardwicke. Make Hunts Grove a separate Parish
CGR2P148	Hunts Grove	GL2 4az	Resident	Yes	So that taxes and finances can be spent on the individual parish.
CGR2P149	Hunts Grove	GL24DD	Resident	Yes	A new parish council for Hunts Grove would unite the boundaries of Hunts Grove village and fully represent it's residents and no other area to ensure community governance is reflective of the identities and interests of the community in the area.
CGR2P150	Hunts Grove	GL2 4AY	Resident	Yes	I would like Hunts Grove to become a parish
CGR2P151	Hunts Grove	GL2 4BH	Resident	Yes	From the draft on the Stroud district council website 29/04/19, it suggest that Hunts Grove becomes its own parish. I fully endorse this move.
CGR2P152	Hunts Grove	GL2 4AR	Resident	Yes	Hunts Grove being a new community has differing requirements to Hardwicke. With the number of councillors on the council Hardwicke can over-rule any requests to maintain Hunts Grove and allocate all spending to Hardwicke. Hardwicke was presumably raising enough money to resource and expenditure before Hunts Grove existed and can continue to do so. Any money received from Hunts Grove is currently a huge bonus to Hardwicke and should really be spent on Hunts Grove so it is self supporting. This may also enable Hunts Grove to resolve any inequity for residents who are paying maintenance charges on there area so that all Hunts Grove residents pay the same proportion overall. As part of Hardwicke they could be paying the maintenance charge and the same Hardwick charges.
CGR2P153	Hunts Grove	GL2 4BH	Resident	Yes	THE COUNCIL TAX SHOULD BE SPENT IN HUNTS GROVE
CGR2P154	Hunts Grove	GL2 4DF	Resident	Yes	Yes. Hunts Grove is now becoming a significant entity in its own right! The local parish council is needed to ensure the local community is fully represented as well as enjoy the financial benefits of the council tax precept
CGR2P155	Hunts Grove	GL2 4AT	Resident	Yes	I live on Hunts Grove estate and would like to have a parish council representing our needs, not one which a bias towards "OLD" Hardwicke. Please proceed with forming a new parish council

MINCHINHAMPTON AND RODBOROUGH

Reference	Parish/Town Council	Postcode	Connection to the Parish/Town Council	Do you agree with the draft recs?	Comments
CGR2P156	Minchinhampton		MINCHINHAMPTON PARISH COUNCIL	Yes	Minchinhampton Parish Council met last night, 29th April and supported two of the recommendations within the SDC Community Governance Review Draft. They were the p64 recommendations about transferring the 16 Houndscroft properties from Rodborough in the Amberley Ward of Minchinhampton, and the p15 recommendation a) that there be no change between Brimscombe and Thrupp and the Brimscombe Ward of Minchinhampton.
CGR2P157	Minchinhampton & Rodborough	GL5 5DF	Resident	No	I have lived in Rodborough in 3 different houses for 34 years and identify strongly with Rodborough. Our property backs onto the Bear of Rodborough hotel car park. My wife and family feel the same.
CGR2P158	Minchinhampton & Rodborough	GL5 5DQ	Resident	No	I have lived at my current address for thirty years. All groups I belong to have a Rodborough base; Reading group, church, political etc. The area in question is part of a corridor from Stroud which has an urban identity with Rodborough. Residents do not have social or cultural links with Minchinhampton or for the most part even Amberley.
CGR2P159	Minchinhampton & Rodborough	GL5 5DE	Resident	No	As the hotel is named the Bear of Rodborough it would be appropriate to remain as Rodborough Parish
CGR2P160	Minchinhampton	GL6 9JJ	Resident & Parish/Town Councillor	Yes	Happy with the additions to Minchinhampton, I'm pleased the Thrupp & Brimscombe/Minchinhampton boundaries haven't changed.
CGR2P161	Minchinhampton & Rodborough	GL5 5DQ	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P162	Minchinhampton & Rodborough	GL5 5DF	Resident	Yes	This proposed change is very sensible. It has always seemed an anomaly that we were in Rodborough parish - we identify more with Amberley Ward and go to school in Amberley etc so it would make more sense to change.

CGR2P163	Minchinhampton & Rodborough	GL5 5DG	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P164	Minchinhampton & Rodborough	GL5 5DF	Resident	Yes	-
CGR2P165	Minchinhampton & Rodborough	GL5 5DQ	Resident	Yes	
CGR2P166	Minchinhampton & Rodborough	GL5 5DF	Resident	Yes	I think it makes sense to be more aligned with Amberley as we are geographically closer than Rodborough.

NAILSWORTH

Reference	Parish/Town Council	Connection to the Parish/Town Council	Do you agree with the draft recs?	Comments
CGR2P167	Nailsworth	NAILSWORTH TOWN COUNCIL	No	Nailsworth TC would like to suggest some possible changes to the parish boundary, to include some properties which might sit more naturally in Nailsworth parish than in neighbouring ones. I've attached a map showing all four suggested changes and another PDF showing these in more details. Of them all I think number 4, Shortwood football ground is the most obvious change, but the Town Council have requested that all of these are considered as part of SDC's consultation.

KINGSWOOD AND WOTTON-UNDER-EDGE

Reference	Parish/Town Council	Postcode	Connection to the Parish/Town Council	Do you agree with the draft recs?	Comments
CGR2P168	Kingswood & W-u-E		KINGSWOOD PARISH COUNCIL	No	Kingswood Parish Council (KPC) resolved to request that Stroud District Council (SDC) amend the parish boundary in line with the district ward boundary and include the whole of K5 within the Kingswood Parish Boundary. With the exception of Wortley that KPC believe should form part of the area of Alderley as this keeps it within the District Boundary of K5.
CGR2P169	Kingswood & W-u-E		WOTTON-UNDER-EDGE TOWN COUNCIL	No	The Town Council's preference remains that the Ward boundary is adjusted to return the whole of K5 to within the existing Wotton parish boundary.
CGR2P170	Kingswood & W-u-E	GL12 7AG	County Councillor & Town Councillor	No	The proposal splits the hamlet of Wortley equally (35 registered voters each) between WUE4 and K4, I have an issue with the layout of the registers, There is no entry for Wortley itself but several for different bits of what is described as Wortley Road which taken together include all addresses actually in the hamlet of Wortley. (The registers have other anomalies of this sort). It is quite preposterous to tinker with such small changes in the registered elector numbers, The whole of K5 currently has only 63 electors, Kingswood Parish is growing whereas the review says it won't. The forecast increase of 500 in the electorate of Wotton parish in the next few years is unbelievable. Move all of K5 back into WuE3 and WuE4 with the possible exception of a small parish boundary change to put Park Mill Farm, Penwood Lodge (and the proposed new build there) and possibly Kingswood House into K4. This would also remove the boundary apparently wandering from side to side of Vineyard Lane. Park Mill Farm can only be accessed via Kingswood. Penwood Lodge is geographically and for services very closely associated with Kingswood. Regardless of an unchanging parish boundary, in the past Kingswood House has fluctuated between appearing in the Wotton electoral register and the Kingswood electoral register.

CGR2P171	Kingswood & W-u-E	GL12 8RA	Resident	No	The lack of coterminosity between Wotton-under-Edge Town Council and Kingswood Parish Council has never raised problems for us. The case for the boundary change appears to be based on a number of hypothesized benefits, some of which would not be realistically realised by a boundary change, some that could easily be achieved by simpler actions and others which border on inconsequential. The whole process seems an unnecessary change and we would prefer to stay within the bounds of Wotton-under-Edge Town Council.
----------	-------------------	----------	----------	----	---

OTHER SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

Reference	Parish/Town Council	Postcode	Connection to the Parish/Town Council	Do you agree with the draft recs?	Comments
CGR2P172	Owlpen	GL11 5BY	Resident & Parish Clerk	Yes	There has been no change to the area for some while and there is unlikely to be any in the near future.
CGR2P173	Randwick & Westrip		RANDWICK AND WESTRIP PARISH COUNCIL		Randwick and Westrip parish will be reorganised from April 2020. The PDF map of the proposed wards on the SDC site is difficult to follow. Can you tell me when lists of the properties in each of the new wards will be available or will this not be possible before the next electoral roll is published?
CGR2P174	Slimbridge		SLIMBRIDGE PARISH COUNCIL	Yes	Slimbridge Parish Council have noted the consultation on the Community Governance Review and wish to add no further comments
CGR2P175	Standish	GL12 7AG	STANDISH PARISH COUNCIL	Yes	On behalf of Standish Parish Council, I am writing to thank the team for implementing Standish's comments into the Community Governance Review
CGR2P176	Whiteshill & Ruscombe		WHITESHILL & RUSCOMBE PARISH COUNCIL	Yes	Whiteshill and Ruscombe Parish Council have noted the draft recommendations and have no further comments