Stroud District Council

Town and Country Planning Act, 1990 (As amended)

COMPLIANCE WITH EXISTING CONDITION(S)

Under the above Act the District Council as Local Planning Authority HEREBY CONFIRMS compliance the condition(s) as set out below.

Applicant:
Partridge Homes (Cotswold) Ltd
Springfield House
Littleworth
Amberley
Stroud
Gloucestershire
GL5 5AG

Planning Ref:S.12/0637/DISCON
Application Date: 30/03/2012
Site No:
Dated: 24/05/2012

Description of Land
Land At Woodborough, Knapp Lane, Painswick, Gloucestershire

Description of Request
Discharge of conditions three (landscaping) and seven (dust control measures) from planning permission S.11/1724/FUL.

Painswick Parish Council Easting:386688 Northing:209298

Decision Details

Considerations
Condition three - Notwithstanding the submitted information the development hereby permitted shall not be commenced until full details of a scheme of hard and soft landscaping for the site have been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority.

Details Submitted:
Full hard and soft landscaping proposals were submitted on Drawing number WP/11/19. The details submitted are considered to be acceptable.

Condition seven - The development shall not be commenced until a scheme specifying the provisions to be made to control dust emanating from the site has been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning authority.

Details Submitted:
A "Construction Site Impacts & Environmental Management Assessment" was submitted that includes "Best Practise Policy for Dust (Air) Pollution Arising from Site Activities. These details are considered acceptable.

RECOMMENDATION
The details submitted are considered to be acceptable but the condition cannot be discharged as it requires ongoing compliance as part of the construction phase.

HUMAN RIGHTS
In compiling this recommendation we have given full consideration to all aspects of the Human Rights Act 1998 in relation to the applicant and/or the occupiers of any neighbouring or affected properties. In particular, regard has been had to Article 8 of the ECHR (Right to Respect for private and family life) and the requirement to ensure that any interference with the right in this Article is both permissible and proportionate. On analysing the issues raised by the application no particular matters, other to those referred to in this report, warranted any different action to that recommended.

Philip Skill
Head of Planning

Duly Authorised in that behalf